The Higgs and High Scale SUSY

Johns Hopkins Workshop 2014 Heidelberg

Alexander K Knochel

RWTH Aachen

22.07.2014

Partly based on 1304.2767 and 1204.2551 (with A. Hebecker and T. Weigand)



The new boson at $m \sim 125~{\rm GeV}$ looks like the SM Higgs

- alternative spin/parity disfavored theoretically+experimentally
- correct production rates + branchings (including $\gamma\gamma$)
- no BSM physics @ LHC8
- m_h is in a special range

The SM Higgs in the UV Assuming an SM(-like) Higgs, we have now measured λ via $m_h \sim v\sqrt{\lambda}!$

$$16\pi^2 \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \log \mu} \sim \lambda (-9g_2^2 - 3g_1^2 + 12y_t^2) + 24\lambda^2 + \frac{3}{4}g_2^4 + \frac{3}{8}(g_1^2 + g_2^2)^2 - 6y_t^4$$



$$V(\phi) \sim \lambda(\phi) \, (\phi^\dagger \phi)^2$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

The Near-Critical Higgs



[Degrassi et al.][Buttazzo et al. '13]

- Special location in the "phase diagram" a hint against non-standard EWSB at the TeV scale?
- modified by high scale new physics

Wo spielt die Musik?



 $g_1 = g_2$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Is this a coincidence?

Intermediate scale SUSY

- $\lambda = 0$ has generated predictions and attracted some interest
 - Gogoladze, Okada Shafi '07 (high scale GHU)
 - Shaposhnikov, Wetterich '10 $(\lambda=eta_{\lambda}=0)$
 - Holthausen, Lim, Lindner '11

[Hebecker, AK, Weigand '12][Hebecker, AK, Weigand '13]

 We embed the SM into a supersymmetric stringy model with flat treelevel Higgs potential

(compare [Hall, Nomura '09] where λ is maximal - ruled out)

- The observed quartic coupling and weak scale are generated radiatively (the latter probably finetuned)
- Objective: unified description of λ = 0, Axion CDM, Seesaw, gauge unification [Ibanez, Marchesano, Regalado, Valenzuela '12][Hebecker, Unwin '14][Hall, Nomura '13]

Shift Symmetry

[A. Hebecker, AK, T. Weigand: A Shift Symmetry in the Higgs Sector (arXiv:1204.2551)] Mechanism (4D FT): a shift symmetry *in the Higgs sector*

 $H_u \longrightarrow H_u + c, \quad H_d \longrightarrow H_d - \overline{c}$

This gives us $\mu(W) = 0$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(H_u + \overline{H}_d)$,

 $\mathcal{K} = f(S,\overline{S})|H_u + \overline{H}_d|^2 + \dots$

SUSY breaking $F^S \neq 0 \implies$ "shift symmetry relations" in soft parameters see e.g. [Ibáñez, Muñoz], [Choi et al '04][Hebecker et al. '09][Brümmer et al. '09]

$$B\mu = |\mu|^2 + m_{H_u}^2 = |\mu|^2 + m_{H_d}^2$$

Realizations known in Heterotic [Lopes Cardoso et al '94][Antoniadis et al. '94][Brignole et al. '97]..., IIA [Hebecker, AK, Weigand '12][Ibanez et al '12], IIB/F-Theory [Hebecker, AK, Weigand '12,'13] Higgs mass matrix from shift symmetry:

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} H_{u} \\ \overline{H}_{d} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} |\mu|^{2} + m_{H}^{2} & |\mu|^{2} + m_{H}^{2} \\ |\mu|^{2} + m_{H}^{2} & |\mu|^{2} + m_{H}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{u} \\ \overline{H}_{d} \end{bmatrix} \sim |H_{u} + \overline{H}_{d}|^{2}$$



Mass eigenstates ~ flat directions of EW *D*-Term: $\sin 2\beta = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = 0$

Higgs mass matrix from shift symmetry:

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} H_u \\ \overline{H}_d \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} |\mu|^2 + m_H^2 & |\mu|^2 + m_H^2 \\ |\mu|^2 + m_H^2 & |\mu|^2 + m_H^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_u \\ \overline{H}_d \end{bmatrix} \sim |H_u + \overline{H}_d|^2$$





Mass eigenstates ~ flat directions of EW *D*-Term: $\sin 2\beta = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = 0$

How stable is this scenario against (MSSM) radiative corrections?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Radiative corrections

I. Violation of Higgs sector shift/exchange symmetry [Hebecker, AK, Weigand '12] (remember: $\tan \beta = 1 \Rightarrow y_t \gg y_b$)

 $\mathcal{W} = y_t H_u T_R Q_L \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \delta \mathcal{K} \sim |\mathcal{H}|^2$

RG evolution of Kähler Potential/quadratic thresholds

- perturbs $\tan \beta = 1$
- lifts massless mode

This is where the m_w fine tuning happens:

$$LL: \quad \left[2m_{\tilde{t}}^2 + (A_t - \mu)^2\right]\epsilon_y + 2\left[2M_{1/2}^2 - m_H^2 + 2\mu M_{1/2} + \mu^2\right]\epsilon_g \approx 0.$$

$$\cos 2\beta = \epsilon_y \frac{m_H^2 + m_{Q_3}^2 + m_{u_3}^2 + |A_t|^2}{2(|\mu|^2 + m_H^2)}$$

This yields

$$\lambda_{tree}(m_S) = \delta \lambda_{SV}(m_S) \approx \frac{g_2^2 + g_1^2}{8} \left| \frac{6\overline{y_t^2}}{16\pi^2} \log\left(\frac{m_S}{m_C}\right) \right|^2.$$

So we predict $\lambda \approx 0!$

... but at what scale?

Radiative corrections

II. Threshold corrections to λ [Hebecker, AK, Weigand '13] m_S is unphysical and arbitrary at tree level \longrightarrow 1-Loop matching

Integrating out $\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}, A, H, \tilde{f}$

$$\begin{split} \delta\lambda &= \frac{3y_t^4}{16\pi^2} \Big[\frac{X_t^2}{m_t^2} \Big(1 - \frac{X_t^2}{12m_t^2} \Big) + 2\log(\frac{m_t}{m_s}) \Big] - \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{4} \tilde{b}_\lambda \log\frac{m_A}{m_s} \\ &+ \frac{\tilde{b}_\lambda}{16\pi^2} \left[\log\frac{\mu}{m_s} + \frac{(r-1)(r+1)^2 + 2(r-3)r^2\log r}{2(r-1)^3} \right] \end{split}$$

 $X_t \sim A_t - \mu$

[Okada, Yamaguchi, Yanagida '91][Hollik et al. '96][Giudice, Strumia '12,'14] ... m_h independent of m_5 at LL, can give effective SUSY scale at leading log:

$$m_{S}^{eff} = \left[m_{A}^{-\tilde{b}_{\lambda}/3}m_{\tilde{t}}^{8y_{t}^{4}}m_{\chi}^{4\tilde{b}_{\lambda}/3}\right]^{1/(\tilde{b}_{\lambda}+8y_{t}^{4})}$$

Results for m_h (Thresholds/SV effects)



◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> □豆

Various $\delta\lambda$ thresholds and "stop mixing" effects tend to cancel in scenarios where $M \sim m_0 \sim \mu$

$$\Rightarrow \delta m_h \lesssim \text{GeV}$$
 for $m_S^{eff} \sim 10^9$ GeV.

Dark Matter, Unification and Proton Decay

Unification and Proton Decay

In High Scale SUSY, unification from classical IIB/F-Theory corrections: $_{\mbox{[Blumenhagen '09]}}$

$$S_{DBI} \sim rac{1}{g_s} \int d^8 x Tr_f \left\{ \sqrt{-det(g_{\mu
u} + F_{\mu
u})}
ight\} \quad
i \quad F^4 \stackrel{\langle F_{zz}^2 \rangle}{\longrightarrow} \langle F^2
angle F^2$$

... but the unification scale is reduced [Hebecker, Unwin '14]

$$M_{GUT} = 4.25 imes 10^{15} \text{ GeV} \left(rac{10^5 \text{ GeV}}{M_{SUSY}}
ight)^{2/9} \left(rac{3.3}{\Lambda/M_{KK}}
ight)^{1/3}$$

Generically, KK modes induce proton decay - require new suppression mechanism.



Axion Dark Matter and Unification

Gauge unification allows a concrete connection $m_{GUT} \leftrightarrow m_S \leftrightarrow F_a$ Stringy proposal by Ibanez et al '12: axion= ImT of an SU(5) GUT in a large volume scenario.

Unification and Proton Decay

More optimistic: 4D field theoretic models ([Hall, Nomura '13]):

- GUT-breaking multiplets Σ can fix gauge unification
- ▶ Require higher-dimension effects and Σ_3 , Σ_8 to keep $M_{HiggsTriplet} < M_{Pl}$

 $Tr[\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}] + \frac{c_1}{\Lambda} Tr[\langle \Sigma \rangle \mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}] + \frac{c_2}{\Lambda} Tr[\langle \Sigma \rangle \mathcal{W}] Tr[\langle \Sigma \rangle \mathcal{W}]$

- $M_X > 6 imes 10^{15}$ GeV for $M_{SUSY} \lesssim 2 imes 10^{11}$ GeV [Hall, Nomura '13]



► For High Scale SUSY and lowish T_R : pure axion DM, but connection $M_{SUSY} \leftrightarrow F_a$ less clear

Effects from extended sectors

[A. Hebecker, AK, T. Weigand '13]

Effects from extended SUSY

If Higgs originates from higher dimensional bulk or some sector with ${\cal N}=2$ locally such as a non-generic D6 system

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \dots + rac{1}{2} ec{P}^2 + g \phi^A ec{P} \cdot ec{\sigma}_A{}^B \phi_B^\dagger + \dots$$

where e.g. $\vec{P} \sim (ReF, ImF, D)$

The relation "tan $\beta = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda = 0$ " relies on SUSY decoupling of F! Consider $P^+ \sim S|_{\theta^2}$,

$$V_{\Lambda > M} = |\kappa H_u H_d + MS|^2 + |\kappa SH_u|^2 + |\kappa SH_d|^2 + \left\{ \kappa \overline{\mu} S(|H_u|^2 + |H_d|^2) + \text{h.c.} \right\}$$
$$+ m_1^2 |H_u + H_d^{\dagger}|^2 + \frac{g_2^2 + g_1^2}{8} (|H_u|^2 - |H_d|^2)^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} |H_u \epsilon H_d^{\dagger}|^2 + m_s^2 |S|^2$$

・ロト ・西ト ・ヨト ・ヨー うらぐ

In terms of 4D superfields:

$$\mathcal{W}\sim\kappa SH_{u}H_{d}+rac{M}{2}S^{2}$$

Below scale M, S and in particular F^s decouple.



Consider soft mass term

$$\mathcal{L}_{soft} = -m_s^2 s^\dagger s$$

 $m_s \neq 0$: decoupling of F^s is not exact:

$$V_{\Lambda=M} = \kappa^2 \frac{m_s^2}{m_s^2 + M^2} |H_u H_d|^2$$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Amusing feature:

negative mass squared results in quartic (not tachyonic!) instability

 $\kappa\sim\sqrt{2}g\sim$ 1, so a small hierarchy

 $-M^2 < m_s^2 < 0, ~~|m_s| \sim M/10$

would bring us to arbitrarily high scales:

 $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$ 0.04 0.02 0.00 ~ -0.02-0.048 10 12 16 18 14 6 $\log_{10}\mu/\text{GeV}$

Conclusions

- ► After the Discovery: We seem to live on the verge of instability
- ► Nature's critical location in the m_t m_h plane can be seen as a hint against nonstandard EWSB near weak scale.
- Intermediate scale SUSY with flat LO potential (DM, Neutrinos, Unification!)
- Several promising approaches in Het. and Type II exist
- ► Effects from the extended sector may induce UV completion in the metastable regime λ < 0!</p>
- Depending on BSM@LHC13, intermediate SUSY can be the (string) model building avenue to pursue.

Thank you for your attention!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <