Role of anisotropy for protein-protein encounter
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Protein-protein interactions comprise both transport and reaction steps. During the transport step, anisotropy of proteins and their complexes is important both for hydrodynamic diffusion and accessibility of the binding site. Using a Brownian dynamics approach and extensive computer simulations, we quantify the effect of anisotropy on the encounter rate of ellipsoidal particles covered with spherical encounter patches. We show that the encounter rate $k$ depends on the aspect ratios $\xi$ mainly through steric effects, while anisotropic diffusion has only a little effect. Calculating analytically the crossover times from anisotropic to isotropic diffusion in three dimensions, we find that they are much smaller than typical protein encounter times, in agreement with our numerical results.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.81.030902 PACS number(s): 87.15.km, 02.50.Ey, 05.40.Jc, 82.39.–k

Protein-protein interactions are at the heart of most molecular processes in biological systems and are intensively investigated both by experiment and theory [1]. Conceptually, protein-protein binding consists of transport and reaction steps. Brownian dynamics simulations have been introduced to study transport toward association of spherical model particles [2,3]. Isotropy of the diffusion process can be used to develop computer time efficient methods for propagating reactive particles in time and space [4]. However, assuming spherical particles does not address two important aspects of protein encounter. First, proteins and their complexes are typically not spherical, but their shape and diffusional behavior can be highly anisotropic. Second, their binding sites are strongly localized and thus binding is not isotropic neither. Both of these issues have been addressed in Brownian dynamics simulations, e.g., by using approximate schemes for the mobility tensor of arbitrarily shaped proteins [5] and by incorporating all-atom force fields [6]. However, the full role of anisotropy for protein encounter has not been systematically studied before in a generic model for protein-protein encounter. Although not suited to answer specific biological questions, simple models without atomic details also have the advantage that they can be easily upscaled to large system sizes.

In order to study theoretically the effect of shape anisotropy on protein-protein encounter, we systematically vary the aspect ratio $\xi=R_\parallel/R_\perp$ of ellipsoids with a rotational symmetry, compare Fig. 1(a). This is one of the few systems for which closed analytic expressions of the translational ($t$) and rotational ($r$) friction coefficients $\zeta^{tr}_{\parallel,\perp}(R_\parallel,R_\perp)$ are known [7,8]. Recently, the diffusional properties of such anisotropic particles have been investigated in great detail for two dimensions [9,10]. Here we study three dimensions, both numerically and analytically. In addition, we not only consider anisotropy in diffusion but also anisotropy in binding by placing spherical encounter patches at various positions on the ellipsoids. This approach allows us to assess in quantitative detail the relative role of hydrodynamic and steric anisotropy for protein-protein encounter.

To quantify the effect of anisotropy on molecular encounter, we performed Brownian dynamics simulations with a pair of ellipsoids in a periodic boundary box of edge length $L$, which represents the concentration of our system. The appropriate Langevin equation is evolved in discrete time steps $\Delta t$ via the Euler algorithm [11]:

$$X(t + \Delta t) = X(t) + g(\Delta t) + O(\Delta t^2).$$

All vectors are six-dimensional generalized coordinates including the orientational information. The noise term $g(\Delta t)$ is determined by the Einstein relation $\langle g(t) \cdot g(\Delta t) \rangle = 2k_BTaM\Delta t$, where $T_a$ is ambient temperature and $M=\xi^{-1}$ is the $6 \times 6$ mobility matrix. In the following, all lengths are given in units of $U_L=R_\parallel$ and times are scaled by $U_T=\zeta^{tr}(R_\perp)/k_BT_a$. In the simulations we chose $U_L=2\text{ nm}$ and thus $U_T=50\text{ ns}$ at viscosity of water $\eta=1\text{ mPa s}$. As time step we use $\Delta t=2\times10^{-5}\text{ s}$. We do not consider direct forces between the model particles in this study; in particular, we neglect electrostatic and two-body hydrodynamic interactions. Otherwise we implement hard-core repulsion. An analytic overlap criterion for a pair of ellipsoids is difficult to derive, but suitable algorithms based on the solution of the characteristic equation of the two ellipsoids have been derived for hard body fluids [12].

Each of the model particles carries a spherical reactive patch of radius $r$ on its surface whose location is described by the angle $\psi$, compare Fig. 1(a). An overlap of these reactive patches is considered as an encounter, compare Fig. 1(b). We average over all initial conditions by starting $10^4$ simulations at random initial positions and orientations for

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Geometry of an ellipsoidal model particle with a reactive patch on its surface at some angle $\psi$ with respect to the symmetry axis $e_z$. (b) Illustration of an encounter configuration.
rates obtained by our simulations by to depend linearly on the mobility and the concentration of to the Smoluchowski equation, the encounter rate is expected data is shown in Figs.2.

In a coordinate system spanned by the principal axes, the friction matrix of an ellipsoid is diagonal. Taking the corresponding values for a sphere of radius $R$, as a reference scale, the diffusion coefficients $D_{1}^{c}$ only depend on $\xi$. The relative translational mobility of an ellipsoid compared to a sphere is then given as $\lambda(\xi)=(D_{1}(\xi)+2D_{1c}(\xi))/3$. According to the Smoluchowskian equation, the encounter rate is expected to depend linearly on the mobility and the concentration of the reacting particles. Therefore we normalize the encounter rates obtained by our simulations by $\lambda(\xi)$ and by the volume of our simulation box (here $L^{3}=100^{3}$). The corresponding data is shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(c). The rates are given in $1/U_{T}$. In each case, we exclude aspect ratios for which the reaction patches span the whole ellipsoid. Our simulation results show that encounter rates can vary up to two orders of magnitude depending on aspect ratio and patch position. While for patches located at the tips ($G_1$), encounter efficiency increases with aspect ratio, it decreases for patches located at the sides ($G_3$). For the mixed case ($G_2$), changes in aspect ratio have only a weak effect.

When interpreting these results, an important systematic difference between different aspect ratios $\xi$ has to be noted: as the geometry of the ellipsoid is changing with $\xi$, the exposed volume fraction $f_{G}$ of the reactive patches not covered by the steric particle is changing. We estimated the steric effect on the encounter rate by studying the fraction of non-overlapping ellipsoid configurations with touching reactive patches $f_{\text{iso}}$. A scheme of the setup is shown in Fig. 1(b). Particularly, the centers of the patches are placed at the distance $2r$ and both ellipsoids are randomly rotated around the center of their respective patch. The results for $f_{\text{iso}}$ from drawing $10^{5}$ of such random encounter configurations are shown in Figs. 2(d)–2(f) for the parameters corresponding to Figs. 2(a)–2(c). The plots show that the qualitative features of the encounter rates are well reproduced by the steric constraints. This leads to the conclusion that the main reason for the changes in the encounter rate in the preceding study is not the altered hydrodynamic behavior of the ellipsoids but the steric hindering of encounters due to the changing geometry.

To obtain another measure for the effect of hydrodynamics, we compared our simulations with explicit anisotropic diffusion to simulations, where we do not account for the anisotropy in the diffusion matrix. Particularly, we use an isotropic diffusion matrix with the average translational and rotational diffusion coefficients $D_{avg}^{c}=(D_{c}^{x}+D_{c}^{y})/3$, which is equal to the isotropic limit, and $D_{avg}^{c}=(D_{c}^{x}+2D_{c}^{y})/3$, respectively. We perform the same simulations as in Fig. 2 with this $D$. Moreover, we compared to simulations at higher effective concentration ($L=20$). Figure 3(a) shows the relative deviation of the encounter rates $\Delta_{iso}=k_{\text{isotropic}}/k_{\text{anisotropic}}-1$. Interestingly, in case $G_3$ there is no significant deviation from the original results. However, considering $G_1$ (the artificial) isotropic encounter rate is larger for prolates ($\xi>1$). This effect can also be observed in the mixed case $G_2$, decreased by roughly a factor 2. This is reasonable as here only one of the two encountering ellipsoids has its patch at $\psi=0$. These findings show that the anisotropic diffusion of elongated ellipsoids leads to a decrease in the encounter rates. However, the deviation up to $\xi=5$ is moderate ($\Delta_{iso}=0.7$), so we conclude
that the impact of anisotropic diffusion on molecular encounter is rather weak.

Anisotropic diffusion in isotropic environments is only relevant on small time and length scales. In the following, we derive the effective translational diffusion properties for a finite time window $\Delta t$ considering an arbitrary body with three different translational ($D_i$) and rotational ($D_{ij}^R$) diffusion coefficients along the principal axis (in the body-fixed coordinate system). As the rotations due to rotational diffusion are supposed to be completely independent, the crossover only affects the effective translational diffusion which is described by a $3 \times 3$ diffusion matrix: $D'_{ij} = \delta_{ij} D_i$, where $\delta_{ij}$ is the Kronecker delta. A rotation determined by a vector of angles $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ around the three principal axes can be described by the rotation matrix $S = e^{-\theta J}$, with $J = (J_1, J_2, J_3)^T$. $\theta J$ denotes a formal scalar product and $J^2$ are matrices defined by $J_{ij}^2 = \delta_{ij}$, with $\epsilon$ is the Levi-Civita symbol. We proceed considering only small rotations occurring at small times so that we can expand the rotation in orders of $\theta$:

$$S_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{\theta_i^2}{2} + \epsilon_{ijk} \theta_k \frac{6 - \theta_i^2}{6} + \frac{\theta_i \theta_j}{2} + O(\theta^3) \quad (2)$$

where $\theta^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \theta_i^2$. This rotation is applied to $D_i$, and we get $D'=SDS^T$, where we will only consider terms up to second order in $\theta$ in the following. Furthermore we average over all possible orientations, weighted by the probability density $p(\theta_i, t) \sim \exp(-\theta_i^2/(4D_{ij}^R t))$ due to rotational diffusion. As we consider only small $\theta$ and $t \ll 1$, it will now also be sufficient to expand $p(\theta_i, t)$ up to second order in $\theta$. Hence, the Gaussian probability distribution can be replaced by an uniform distribution regarding correct integral boundaries. The non-diagonal entries are odd in $\theta$ and thus vanish. The average of the diagonal entries is the central quantity for the calculation of the mean-square displacement:

$$\langle \overline{V}_{ij} \rangle_t = \frac{1}{w_1 w_2 w_3} \int_{-w_1/2}^{w_1/2} d\theta_1 \int_{-w_2/2}^{w_2/2} d\theta_2 \int_{-w_3/2}^{w_3/2} d\theta_3 \overline{V}_{ij}$$ \quad (3)

where $w_i = \sqrt{24D_{ij}^R t}$ is the width of the uniform distribution interval. Only terms of even orders of $\theta$ will contribute. That is, the integral in Eq. (3) will only lead to zeroth and second moments of the angular distribution. By the average action of the rotational diffusion, $D_i$ transforms into an effective translational diffusion constant $\overline{D}_{ij}^R$ over time $t$ in the fixed laboratory coordinate space. Considering a vector $D = (D_1, D_2, D_3)$, the evolution of the effective, orientation averaged vector of diffusion coefficients $(D)(t) = (\overline{D}_{11}^R, \overline{D}_{22}^R, \overline{D}_{33}^R)$ can be expressed in a matrix form, not taking into account nonlinear terms in $\epsilon$:

$$(D)(t) = D \cdot R(t) + O(\epsilon^2) \quad (4)$$

$$R_{ij}(t) = 2\epsilon_{ijk} D_k^R + \delta_{ij} [1 + 2\epsilon(D_i^R - D^R)]$$ \quad (5)

where $D^R = \sum_{k=1}^3 D_k^R$. The principal axes of effective motion are constant since the coupling terms between the translational degrees of freedom vanish when averaging over all possible orientations. Because rotational diffusion is independent of time and orientation, $R$ keeps its form for all times. We can also apply $R(\theta)$ to some diffusion vector $\langle D \rangle(t)$. Thus, it is possible to evaluate the effective change in the diffusion coefficients for large times $t$ in small steps $\theta = t/N$ with only making errors of $O(N\theta^2)$:

$$(D)\langle N\theta \rangle = \langle D \cdot R(\theta) \rangle + O(N\theta^2) \quad (6)$$

In the limit of large $N$ the error in Eq. (6) vanishes: $O(N\theta^2/N^2) = O(\theta^2/N) \rightarrow 0$. This basically means that we can calculate $(D)(t)$ with infinite accuracy. We can now evaluate the overall, orientation averaged mean-square displacement by integrating $(D)(t)$ with respect to $t$.
friction coefficients and their implication for increased for large \( H \).

In Fig. 3, the findings from Fig. 3 are shown into the simulation box, encounter times are larger than \( T_2 \) for all values of \( \xi \). Thus our analytical calculation confirms that anisotropic diffusion does not have a strong effect on protein-protein encounter rates. This finding also validates computational schemes which assume isotropic diffusion for efficient description of the diffusion steps [4].

An interesting question that has not been addressed yet is whether the effect of hydrodynamic anisotropy is stronger regarding re-encounter. Dynamic dissociation and reassociation can be easily realized in our model for example by introducing a finite average lifetime for each bond. Recently it has been shown with a similar Brownian dynamics approach that productive protein-protein encounter is preceded by many nonproductive contacts [11]. In a similar vein, dissociation after binding is likely to be followed by additional encounters. Because prolatas have a lower overall rotational diffusion coefficient, one expects that they are more likely to return to an encounter. It might well be that for re-encounter, the aspect ratio plays a more important role for accessibility of the binding site than found here for protein diffusion to the first encounter. Similar considerations might be valid for protein dynamics in the context of protein clusters, where diffusion might be restricted by the presence of other components.

$$\langle x(t) \rangle = \begin{cases} 2ft + (da_1 - ba_2)g_- + cd_1g_+ & \text{if } a \neq 0, \\ 2ft + (da_2 - ba_1)g_- + cd_2g_+ & \text{if } a = 0, \end{cases}$$

with \( a = D_1^0 - D_2^0 \), \( b = D_1^0 + D_2^0 \), \( c = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + ab} \), \( d_i = 3(D_i - f) / f \), \( f = \sum_{j=1}^{3} D_j / 3 \), and

$$g_+ = \frac{1 - e^{-2(\Delta_D - c)\xi}}{6(\Delta_D - c)c} \quad g_- = \frac{1 - e^{-2(\Delta_D + c)\xi}}{6(\Delta_D + c)c}.$$  \( \xi \) and \( c \) are shown in Fig. 3(b). The asymptotic behavior indicated in the plot can be derived from the full solution of the friction coefficients. For \( \xi < 0 \) both \( D_1^0 \) and \( D_2^0 \) approach a constant value. Therefore, regarding rotational diffusion no significant differences are expected for oblates, which corresponds well to the findings from Fig. 3(a). In contrast, rotational diffusion particularity around \( c \), which governs \( T_2 \), is strongly decreased for large \( \xi \). Therefore, the relevant range of anisotropic diffusion grows and the indication of the scaling in Fig. 3(a) shows that this again corresponds well to the simulation data. Assuming this scaling to govern the impact of hydrodynamic anisotropy, one might argue that the effect will be strongest for \( \xi \gg 1 \) and small \( L \), i.e., large concentrations. However, if we require \( L > 2 \xi \), so that the particles fit into the simulation box, encounter times are larger than \( T_2 \) for all values of \( \xi \). Thus our analytical calculation confirms that anisotropic diffusion does not have a strong effect on protein-protein encounter rates. This finding also validates computational schemes which assume isotropic diffusion for efficient description of the diffusion steps [4].

An interesting question that has not been addressed yet is whether the effect of hydrodynamic anisotropy is stronger regarding re-encounter. Dynamic dissociation and reassociation can be easily realized in our model for example by introducing a finite average lifetime for each bond. Recently it has been shown with a similar Brownian dynamics approach that productive protein-protein encounter is preceded by many nonproductive contacts [11]. In a similar vein, dissociation after binding is likely to be followed by additional encounters. Because prolatas have a lower overall rotational diffusion coefficient, one expects that they are more likely to return to an encounter. It might well be that for re-encounter, the aspect ratio plays a more important role for accessibility of the binding site than found here for protein diffusion to the first encounter. Similar considerations might be valid for protein dynamics in the context of protein clusters, where diffusion might be restricted by the presence of other components.