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## The Problem

Cosmology has entered a precision era.
The present and future main sources of data are:

- CMB anisotropies (2 dimensional dataset).


CMB sky as seen by Planck

Link between data and models made mostly using linear perturbation theory.
$D_{l}=I(I+1) C_{l} /(2 \pi)$
Planck Collaboration: Planck 2013 results XV CMB power spectra and likelihood


## The Problem

- Large scale structure observations (3 dimensional dataset).


Sloan Digital Sky Team

For LSS, on intermediate to small scales, non-linearities become important. $\Downarrow$
Much more information, but analysis more complicated.
We need not only accurate observations, but also an accurate model!

## Observing the large scale structure of the Universe

- All observations are made over the past light-cone with redshift and incoming photons direction as observable coordinates.
- Both our observable coordinates and the observed volume are distorted by the presence of inhomogeneities.
- Standard Newtonian effects are usually described in k-space, where the result depends not only on the observations but also on the cosmological model assumed which relates redshifts and angles to distances.
- Relativistic lensing effects involve integral over the backward light-cone and their translation in k-space is not straightforward.
- We will report results from perturbation theory in $\ell$-space and redshift space so that they can be directly compared with observations without any assumptions on the cosmology.

[^0]For 3-point correlation functions $\Rightarrow$ We have to go beyond Newtonian gravity and beyond linear theory!
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## Geodesic light-cone coordinates

An adapted light-cone coordinate system $x^{\mu}=\left(w, \tau, \tilde{\theta}^{a}\right), a=1,2$ can be defined by the following metric (Gasperini, GM, Nugier, Veneziano (2011)):
$d s^{2}=\Upsilon^{2} d w^{2}-2 \Upsilon d w d \tau+\gamma_{a b}\left(d \tilde{\theta}^{a}-U^{a} d w\right)\left(d \tilde{\theta}^{b}-U^{b} d w\right) ; \quad a, b=1,2$.
This metric depends on six arbitrary functions ( $\uparrow$, the two-dimensional vector $U^{a}$ and the symmetric tensor $\gamma_{a b}$ ) and is completely gauge fixed.
$w$ is a null coordinate , $\partial_{\mu} \tau$ defines a geodesic flow
$k^{\mu}=g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\nu} w=g^{\mu w}=-\delta_{\tau}^{\mu} \Upsilon^{-1}$ null geodesics connecting sources and observer

Photons travel at constant $w$ and $\tilde{\theta}^{a}$
The exact non-perturbative redshift is given by

$$
1+z_{s}=\frac{\left(k^{\mu} u_{\mu}\right)_{s}}{\left(k^{\mu} u_{\mu}\right)_{o}}=\frac{\left(\partial^{\mu} w \partial_{\mu} \tau\right)_{s}}{\left(\partial^{\mu} w \partial_{\mu} \tau\right)_{o}}=\frac{\Upsilon\left(w_{o}, \tau_{o}, \tilde{\theta}^{a}\right)}{\Upsilon\left(w_{o}, \tau_{s}, \tilde{\theta}^{a}\right)}
$$

where the subscripts " 0 " and " s " denote, respectively, a quantity evaluated at the observer and source space-time position.

## Galaxy Number Counts

Galaxy Number Counts= number $N$ of sources (galaxies) per solid angle and redshift.
The fluctuation of the galaxy number counts in function of observed redshift and direction is given by

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{n}, z) \equiv \frac{N(\mathbf{n}, z)-\langle N\rangle(z)}{\langle N\rangle(z)}
$$

where

$$
N(\mathbf{n}, z)=\rho(\mathbf{n}, z) V(\mathbf{n}, z)
$$

Considering the density and volume fluctuations per redshift bin $d z$ and per solid angle $d \Omega$

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(\mathbf{n}, z)=\bar{V}(z)\left(1+\frac{\delta V^{(1)}}{\bar{V}}+\frac{\delta V^{(2)}}{\bar{V}}\right) \\
\rho(\mathbf{n}, z)=\bar{\rho}(z)\left(1+\delta^{(1)}+\delta^{(2)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

we can give the directly observed number fluctuations

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{n}, z)=\left[\delta^{(1)}+\frac{\delta V^{(1)}}{\bar{V}}+\delta^{(1)} \frac{\delta V^{(1)}}{\bar{V}}+\delta^{(2)}+\frac{\delta V^{(2)}}{\bar{V}}-\left\langle\delta^{(1)} \frac{\delta V^{(1)}}{\bar{V}}\right\rangle-\left\langle\delta^{(2)}\right\rangle-\left\langle\frac{\delta V^{(2)}}{\bar{V}}\right\rangle\right]
$$

## Volume Perturbation

The 3-dimensional volume element $d V$ seen by a source with 4-velocity $u^{\mu}$ is

$$
d V=\sqrt{-g} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} u^{\mu} d x^{\nu} d x^{\alpha} d x^{\beta}
$$

In terms of the observed quantities $\left(z, \theta_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)$
$d V=\sqrt{-g} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} u^{\mu} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial \theta_{s}} \frac{\partial x^{\beta}}{\partial \phi_{s}}\left|\frac{\partial\left(\theta_{s}, \phi_{s}\right)}{\partial\left(\theta_{0} \phi_{0}\right)}\right| d z d \theta_{0} d \phi_{0} \equiv v\left(z, \theta_{0}, \phi_{0}\right) d z d \theta_{0} d \phi_{0}$.
Going to GLC we then have

$$
d V=-\sqrt{-g} u^{w} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial z} d z d \theta_{o} d \phi_{0}
$$

and

$$
d V=\sqrt{|\gamma|}\left(-\frac{d \tau}{d z}\right) d z d \theta_{o} d \phi_{o}, \quad \text { or } \quad v=\sqrt{|\gamma|}\left(-\frac{d \tau}{d z}\right)
$$

This is a non-perturbative expression for the volume element at the source in terms of the observed redshift and the observation angles in GLC gauge.

If we would know $\rho(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{z})$ non-perturbatively we could write the number counts in an exact way in GLC.

## Coordinates Trasformation

Let us consider a stochastic background of scalar perturbations on a conformally flat FLRW space-time to describe the inhomogeneities of our Universe at large scale.

Using spherical coordinates $\left(y^{\mu}=(\eta, r, \theta, \phi)\right)$ in the Poisson gauge (PG) we have

$$
g_{N G}^{\mu \nu}=a^{-2}(\eta) \operatorname{diag}\left(-1+2 \Phi, 1+2 \Psi,(1+2 \Psi) \gamma_{0}^{a b}\right)
$$

where $\gamma_{0}^{a b}=\operatorname{diag}\left(r^{-2}, r^{-2} \sin ^{-2} \theta\right), \Phi=\Psi^{(1)}+\Phi^{(2)}-2\left(\Psi^{(1)}\right)^{2}$ and $\Psi=\Psi^{(1)}+\Psi^{(2)}+2\left(\Psi^{(1)}\right)^{2}$.

To use the previous results we have to re-express this metric in GLC form. We define the coordinates transformation using

$$
g_{G L C}^{\rho \sigma}(x)=\frac{\partial x^{\rho}}{\partial y^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\sigma}}{\partial y^{\nu}} g_{N G}^{\mu \nu}(y)
$$

and imposing the following boundary conditions

- Non-singular transformation around the observer position at $r=0$.
- The two-dimensional spatial section $r=$ const is locally parametrized at the observer position by standard spherical coordinates.


## Cosmological Observables: redshift

The redshift up to second order in perturbation theory is

$$
1+z=\frac{a\left(\eta_{0}\right)}{a\left(\eta_{s}\right)}\left[1+\delta^{(1)} z+\delta^{(2)} z\right]
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta z^{(1)}=-\partial_{r} v_{s}^{(1)}-\Psi_{s}^{(1)}-2 \int_{\eta_{s}}^{\eta_{0}} d \eta^{\prime} \partial_{\eta^{\prime}} \Psi^{(1)}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right) \\
& \delta z^{(2)}=-\partial_{r} v_{s}^{(2)}-\Phi_{s}^{(2)}-\int_{\eta_{s}}^{\eta_{0}} d \eta^{\prime} \partial_{\eta^{\prime}}\left[\Phi^{(2)}+\Psi^{(2)}\right]\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{r} v_{s}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\Psi_{s}\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(-v_{\| s}-\Psi_{s}\right)\left(-\Psi_{s}-2 \int_{\eta_{s}}^{\eta_{0}} d \eta^{\prime} \partial_{\eta^{\prime}} \Psi\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \partial^{a} v_{s} \partial_{a} v_{s}+2 a \partial^{a} v_{s} \partial_{a} \int_{\eta_{s}}^{\eta_{o}} d \eta^{\prime} \Psi\left(\eta^{\prime}\right) \\
& +4 \int_{\eta_{s}}^{\eta_{0}} d \eta^{\prime}\left[\Psi\left(\eta^{\prime}\right) \partial_{\eta^{\prime}} \Psi\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)+\partial_{\eta^{\prime}} \Psi\left(\eta^{\prime}\right) \int_{\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta_{o}} d \eta^{\prime \prime} \partial_{\eta^{\prime \prime}} \Psi\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\Psi\left(\eta^{\prime}\right) \int_{\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta_{o}} d \eta^{\prime \prime} \partial_{\eta^{\prime \prime}}^{2} \Psi\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}\right)-\gamma_{0}^{a b} \partial_{a}\left(\int_{\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta o} d \eta^{\prime \prime} \Psi\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \partial_{b}\left(\int_{\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta_{o}} d \eta^{\prime \prime} \partial_{\eta^{\prime \prime}} \Psi\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)\right] \\
& +2 \partial_{a}\left(\partial_{r} v_{s}+\Psi_{s}\right) \int_{\eta_{s}}^{\eta_{o}} d \eta^{\prime} \gamma_{0}^{a b} \partial_{b} \int_{\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta_{o}} d \eta^{\prime \prime} \Psi\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& +4 \int_{\eta_{s}}^{\eta_{0}} d \eta^{\prime} \partial_{a}\left(\partial_{\eta^{\prime}} \Psi\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)\right) \int_{\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta_{o}} d \eta^{\prime \prime} \gamma_{0}^{a b} \partial_{b} \int_{\eta^{\prime \prime}}^{\eta_{o}} d \eta^{\prime \prime \prime} \Psi\left(\eta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Ben-Dayan, GM, Nugier, Veneziano (2012), Fanizza, Gasperini, GM, Veneziano (2013) and GM (2015) (see also Umeh, Clarkson, Maartens (2014))

## Cosmological Observables

To obtain $\Delta$ in the PG, in function of the observed redshift and of the direction of observation $\left(\theta_{0}, \varphi_{o}\right)$, we have:

Step $1 \rightarrow$ Expand the exact expression of $\Delta$ in function of the PG coordinate using the coordinate transformation.

Sten $2 \rightarrow$ Expand conformal time and radial PG coordinates around a fiducial model as $\eta_{s}=\eta_{s}^{(0)}+\eta_{s}^{(1)}+\eta_{s}^{(2)}$ and $r_{s}=r_{s}^{(0)}+r_{s}^{(1)}+r_{s}^{(2)}$ perturbatively solving $1+z_{s}=\frac{a\left(\eta_{0}\right)}{a\left(\eta_{s}^{(0)}\right)}=\frac{a\left(\eta_{0}\right)}{a\left(\eta_{s}\right)}\left[1+\delta^{(1)} z+\delta^{(2)} z\right] \quad, \quad w_{0}=\eta_{s}^{(0)}+r_{s}^{(0)}=w^{(0)}+w^{(1)}+w^{(2)}$

Step $3 \rightarrow$ Taylor expand the solution of Step 1 around the fiducial model using Step 2, and around the direction of observation using the fact that $\tilde{\theta}^{a}=\theta_{0}^{2}$ are constant along the line-of-sight and therefore
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Step $1 \rightarrow$ Expand the exact expression of $\Delta$ in function of the PG coordinate using the coordinate transformation.

Step $2 \rightarrow$ Expand conformal time and radial PG coordinates around a fiducial model as $\eta_{s}=\eta_{s}^{(0)}+\eta_{s}^{(1)}+\eta_{s}^{(2)}$ and $r_{s}=r_{s}^{(0)}+r_{s}^{(1)}+r_{s}^{(2)}$ perturbatively solving $1+z_{s}=\frac{a\left(\eta_{o}\right)}{a\left(\eta_{s}^{(0)}\right)}=\frac{a\left(\eta_{o}\right)}{a\left(\eta_{s}\right)}\left[1+\delta^{(1)} z+\delta^{(2)} z\right] \quad, \quad w_{o}=\eta_{s}^{(0)}+r_{s}^{(0)}=w^{(0)}+w^{(1)}+w^{(2)}$
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$$
\theta^{a}=\theta^{a(0)}+\theta^{a(1)}=\theta_{o}^{a}-2 \int_{\eta_{s}^{(0)}}^{\eta_{o}} d \eta^{\prime} \gamma_{0}^{a b} \partial_{b} \int_{\eta^{\prime}}^{\eta_{0}} d \eta^{\prime \prime} \Psi^{(1)}\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}, \eta_{o}-\eta^{\prime \prime}, \theta_{o}^{a}\right)
$$

## Galaxy Number Counts

The (second-order, non-homogeneous, non-averaged) expression of $\Delta$ in our perturbed background is so given (in a concise form) by

$$
\Delta=\Delta^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{n}, z_{s}\right)+\Delta^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{n}, z_{s}\right)
$$

To first order we have (Yoo, Fitzpatrick, Zaldarriaga (2009), Yoo (2010), Bonvin, Durrer (2011), Challinor, Lewis (2011))

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{(1)}(\mathbf{n}, z)= & \left(\frac{2}{\mathcal{H} r(z)}+\frac{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}}{\mathcal{H}^{2}}\right)\left(\partial_{r} v^{(1)}+\Psi^{(1)}+2 \int_{0}^{r(z)} d r \partial_{\eta} \Psi^{(1)}\right)-\Psi^{(1)} \\
& +4 \Psi_{1}-2 \kappa+\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}}\left(\partial_{\eta} \Psi^{(1)}+\partial_{r}^{2} v^{(1)}\right)+\delta^{(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with
$\Psi_{1}(\mathbf{n}, z)=\frac{2}{r(z)} \int_{0}^{r(z)} d r \Psi^{(1)}(r), \quad 2 \kappa=-\Delta_{2} \psi=2 \int_{0}^{r(z)} d r \frac{r(z)-r}{r(z) r} \Delta_{2} \Psi^{(1)}(r)$

## Galaxy Number Counts

Keeping only the leading (potentially observables) terms the number counts to second order turns to be

$$
\Delta^{(2)}=\Sigma^{(2)}-\left\langle\Sigma^{(2)}\right\rangle
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{(2)}(\mathbf{n}, z)= & \delta^{(2)}+\mathcal{H}^{-1} \partial_{r}^{2} v^{(2)}-2 \kappa^{(2)}+\mathcal{H}^{-2}\left(\partial_{r}^{2} v\right)^{2}+\mathcal{H}^{-2} \partial_{r} v \partial_{r}^{3} v \\
& +\mathcal{H}^{-1}\left(\partial_{r} v \partial_{r} \delta+\partial_{r}^{2} v \delta\right)-2 \delta \kappa+\nabla_{a} \delta \nabla^{a} \psi \\
& +\mathcal{H}^{-1}\left[-2\left(\partial_{r}^{2} v\right) \kappa+\nabla_{a}\left(\partial_{r}^{2} v\right) \nabla^{a} \psi\right]+2 \kappa^{2}-2 \nabla_{b} \kappa \nabla^{b} \psi \\
& -\frac{1}{2 r(z)} \int_{0}^{r(z)} d r \frac{r(z)-r}{r} \Delta_{2}\left(\nabla^{b} \Psi_{1} \nabla_{b} \Psi_{1}\right)-2 \int_{0}^{r(z)} \frac{d r}{r} \nabla^{a} \Psi_{1} \nabla_{a} \kappa
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\kappa^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{r(z)} d r \frac{r(z)-r}{r(z) r} \Delta_{2}(\Psi+\Phi)^{(2)}\left(-r \mathbf{n}, \eta_{0}-r\right)
$$

## Magnification Bias

In practice, we cannot observe all galaxies, but only those with a flux which is larger than a certain limit $\bar{F}$.

If the fluctuation of the source number density $N$ depends on luminosity we have to further Taylor expand to obtain $\Delta(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{L})$.

This physical threshold impact only the part of the number counts that comes from the galaxy density $\rho$.
We then obtain (see also Bertacca (2014))

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(\mathbf{n}, z, \bar{F})= & N(\mathbf{n}, z)+ \\
= & \frac{\partial}{\partial L} N(\mathbf{n}, z, \bar{L})\left(\delta L^{(1)}+\delta L^{(2)}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial L^{2}} N(\mathbf{n}, z, \bar{L})\left(\delta L^{(1)}\right)^{2} \\
= & {\left[1+\Delta^{(1)}+\Delta^{(2)}+\frac{\partial_{L} \bar{\rho}}{\bar{\rho}}\left(\delta L^{(1)}+\delta L^{(2)}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_{L}^{2} \bar{\rho}}{\bar{\rho}}\left(\delta L^{(1)}\right)^{2}\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(\partial_{L} \rho-\partial_{L} \bar{\rho}\right)^{(1)}}{\bar{\rho}} \delta L^{(1)}+\frac{\partial_{\eta}\left(\partial_{L} \bar{\rho}\right)}{\bar{\rho}} \delta L^{(1)} \frac{\delta z^{(1)}}{\mathcal{H}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $F=L /\left(2 \pi d_{L}^{2}\right)$ and at fixed flux $\delta L=\delta\left(d_{L}^{2}\right)$.

## Magnification Bias

Defining

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{\partial \ln \bar{\rho}}{\partial \ln L}\right)(z, \bar{L})=-\frac{5}{2} s(z, \bar{L}) \quad, \quad \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial(\ln L)^{2}}(\ln \bar{\rho})(z, \bar{L})=-\frac{5}{2} t(z, \bar{L}) \\
\left(1+\delta^{(1)}\right) \frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial \ln L}-\frac{\partial \ln \bar{\rho}}{\partial \ln L}=-\frac{5}{2}(\delta s)^{(1)}(z, \bar{L})
\end{gathered}
$$

We have, to first order (Challinor, Lewis (2011))

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{(1)}(\mathbf{n}, z)= & \left(\frac{2-5 s}{\mathcal{H} r(z)}+5 s+\frac{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}}{\mathcal{H}^{2}}\right)\left(\partial_{r} v^{(1)}+\psi^{(1)}+2 \int_{0}^{r(z)} d r \partial_{\eta} \psi^{(1)}\right) \\
& +(5 s-1) \Psi^{(1)}+(2-5 s)\left(2 \Psi_{1}-\kappa^{(1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}}\left(\partial_{\eta} \psi^{(1)}+\partial_{r}^{2} v^{(1)}\right)+\delta^{(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Magnification Bias

While, the leading second order contribution becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{(2)}(\mathbf{n}, z) & =\delta^{(2)}+\mathcal{H}^{-1} \partial_{r}^{2} v^{(2)}-2\left(1-\frac{5}{2} s\right) \kappa^{(2)}+\mathcal{H}^{-2}\left[\left(\partial_{r}^{2} v\right)^{2}+\partial_{r} v \partial_{r}^{3} v\right] \\
& +\mathcal{H}^{-1}\left(\partial_{r} v \partial_{r} \delta+\partial_{r}^{2} v \delta\right)-2 \delta \kappa+\nabla_{a} \delta \nabla^{a} \psi \\
& +\mathcal{H}^{-1}\left[-2\left(1-\frac{5}{2} s\right) \partial_{r}^{2} v \kappa+\nabla_{a} \partial_{r}^{2} v \nabla^{a} \psi\right]+2\left(1-5 s+\frac{25}{4} s^{2}-\frac{5}{2} t\right) \kappa^{2} \\
& -2\left(1-\frac{5}{2} s\right) \nabla_{b} \kappa \nabla^{b} \psi-\left(1-\frac{5}{2} s\right) \frac{1}{2 r(z)} \int_{0}^{r(z)} d r \frac{r(z)-r}{r} \Delta_{2}\left(\nabla^{b} \Psi_{1} \nabla_{b} \Psi_{1}\right) \\
& -2\left(1-\frac{5}{2} s\right) \int_{0}^{r(z)} \frac{d r}{r^{2}} \nabla^{a} \Psi_{1} \nabla_{a} \kappa+5(\delta s)^{(1)} \kappa
\end{aligned}
$$

If the number of galaxies depend on luminosity like a simple power law, $\rho \propto L^{p}$, we have $s=-2 p / 5, t=0$ and $(\delta s)^{(1)}=s \delta^{(1)}=-2 p \delta^{(1)} / 5$.
For $p=-1$ we have $s=2 / 5, t=0$ and $(\delta s)^{(1)}=2 \delta^{(1)} / 5$
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The pure lensing disappear at first and second order, while the terms $\nabla_{a} \delta \nabla^{a} \psi+\mathcal{H}^{-1} \nabla_{a} \partial_{r}^{2} v \nabla^{a} \psi$ are not affected by magnification bias.

## Reduced bispectrum number counts

We define the bispectrum in real space as

$$
B\left(\mathbf{n}_{1}, \mathbf{n}_{2}, \mathbf{n}_{3}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\left\langle\Delta\left(\mathbf{n}_{1}, z_{1}\right) \Delta\left(\mathbf{n}_{2}, z_{2}\right) \Delta\left(\mathbf{n}_{3}, z_{3}\right)\right\rangle_{c}
$$

Expanding the direction dependence of $\Delta$ in spherical harmonics

$$
B\left(\mathbf{n}_{1}, \mathbf{n}_{2}, \mathbf{n}_{3}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\sum_{\ell_{i}, m_{i}} B_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) Y_{\ell_{1} m_{1}}\left(\mathbf{n}_{1}\right) Y_{\ell_{2} m_{2}}\left(\mathbf{n}_{2}\right) Y_{\ell_{3} m_{3}}\left(\mathbf{n}_{3}\right)
$$

and

$$
B_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\mathcal{G}_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}}^{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}} \quad b_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{G}_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, m_{2}}^{m_{1}, m_{3}} & \text { Gaunt integral } \\
b_{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) & \text { Reduced bispectrum }
\end{array}
$$

## Reduced bispectrum number counts

Assuming Gaussian initial condition

$$
\left\langle\Delta^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{n}_{1}, z_{1}\right) \Delta^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{n}_{2}, z_{2}\right) \Delta^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{n}_{3}, z_{3}\right)\right\rangle_{c}=0
$$

we compute the contribution coming from

$$
\left\langle\Delta^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{n}_{1}, z_{1}\right) \Delta^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{n}_{2}, z_{2}\right) \Delta^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{n}_{3}, z_{3}\right)\right\rangle_{c}+\text { permutations }
$$

taking only the second order leading terms and $\Delta^{(1)}=\delta^{(1)}$.
We then divide our leading reduced bispectrum as follow

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}=b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{\delta(2)}+b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{\nu^{(2)}}+b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{\nu^{\prime \prime}}+b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{v \nu^{\prime \prime}}+b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{v \delta^{\prime}}+b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{\nu^{\prime} \delta} \\
& +b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{\ell_{1}^{\prime 2} \ell_{2}}+b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{\kappa \delta}+b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{\nabla \delta \nabla \psi}+b_{\ell_{1}}^{\prime \prime} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}+b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{\nabla v^{\prime}} \\
& +b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{\kappa^{2}}+b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{\nabla \kappa \nabla \psi}+b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{\int \nabla \kappa \nabla \psi_{1}}+b_{\ell_{1} \ell_{2} \ell_{3}}^{\int \Delta \Delta_{2}\left(\nabla \Psi_{1} \nabla \Psi_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the color coding indicates
Newtonian terms

## Newtonian terms






We plot the contributions from the Newtonian terms to the bispectrum for different values of $\ell=\ell_{1}=\ell_{2}=\ell_{3}$, from $\ell=4$ (red) to $\ell=400$ (purple), as a function of the third redshift $z_{3}=z$ for $z_{1}=z_{2}=1$.

## Newtonian x lensing terms



We plot the contributions from the Newtonian $\times$ lensing terms to the bispectrum for different values of $\ell=\ell_{1}=\ell_{2}=\ell_{3}$, from $\ell=4$ (red) to $\ell=400$ (purple), as a function of the third redshift $z_{3}=z$ for $z_{1-}=z_{2}=1$.

## Lensing terms






We plot the contributions from the pure lensing terms to the bispectrum for different values of $\ell=\ell_{1}=\ell_{2}=\ell_{3}$, from $\ell=4$ (red) to $\ell=400$ (purple), as a function of the third redshift $z_{3}=z$ for $z_{1}=z_{2}=1$.

## Reduced bispectrum number counts: redshift separation



We plot the contributions from the Newtonian terms (blue), the Newtonian $\times$ lensing terms (yellow) and the pure lensing terms (green) for $z_{1}=0.95, z_{2}=1$ and $z_{3}=1.05$ (top left), for $z_{1}=0.9, z_{2}=1$ and $z_{3}=1.1$ (top right) and for $z_{1}=0.5, z_{2}=1$ and $z_{3}=1.5$ (bottom) as function of $\ell=\ell_{1}=\ell_{2}=\ell_{3} / 2$. Dashed lines correspond to negative values.

## Reduced bispectrum number counts: redshift bin



We plot the contributions to the bispectrum with window function of width $\Delta z=1$ and mean redshift $z=1$, for Newtonian (blue), Lensing $\times$ Newtonian (yellow) and Lensing (green). Dashed lines correspond to negative values.

## Conclusions

- We have presented the geodesic light-cone coordinates, a coordinate system adapted to an observer and his past light-cone.
- In the framework of the GLC we can write LSS observables in an exact, non-perturbative way.
- We have show the leading perturbative expressions for the number counts at second order as a function of the observed redshift and the direction of the observation.
- We have defined the number counts reduced bispectrum in the directly observable spherical-harmonics-redshift space.
- In particular configurations the integrated relativistic terms can dominate the signal/be not negligible
- Well separated redshifts.
- Broad window functions.

Outlook: Evaluation of the signal-to-noise to investigate whether planed
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- We have presented the geodesic light-cone coordinates, a coordinate system adapted to an observer and his past light-cone.
- In the framework of the GLC we can write LSS observables in an exact, non-perturbative way.
- We have show the leading perturbative expressions for the number counts at second order as a function of the observed redshift and the direction of the observation.
- We have defined the number counts reduced bispectrum in the directly observable spherical-harmonics-redshift space.
- In particular configurations the integrated relativistic terms can dominate the signal/be not negligible
- Well separated redshifts.
- Broad window functions.

Outlook: Evaluation of the signal-to-noise to investigate whether planed surveys can detect the lensing signal when it dominates.

## THANKS FOR THE ATTENTION!


[^0]:    For 2-point correlation functions $\Rightarrow$ We have to go beyond Newtonian gravity!

