QCD 2- and 3- point Green's functions: From lattice results to phenomenology



de Huelva

In collaboration with:



A. Athenodorou, D. Binosi, Ph. Boucaud, C. Mezrag, F. De Soto, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, S. Zafeiropoulos.

> José Rodríguez-Quintero Universidad de Huelva Spain

> > Bad Honnef, 3-6 April, 2018

Lattice two- and three-point Green's function



The gauge fields are to be nonperturbatively obtained from lattice QCD simulations and applied then to get the gluon Green's functions



Quenched lattice gluon propagators for different large volumes!

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_{\mu}(q) A^b_{\nu}(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

where $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$, implies directly that \mathcal{G} is totally transverse: $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$.

Duarte, Oliveira, Silva PRD94(2016)014502



Quenched lattice gluon propagators for different beta and similar volume!

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_{\mu}(q) A^b_{\nu}(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

where $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$, implies directly that \mathcal{G} is totally transverse: $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$.

Duarte, Oliveira, Silva PRD94(2016)014502



Quenched lattice gluon propagators for different beta and similar volume!

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_\mu(q) A^b_\nu(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

where $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$, implies directly that \mathcal{G} is totally transverse: $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$.

Duarte, Oliveira, Silva PRD94(2016)014502





ArXiv:1704.02053 (PRD): Essentially, a scale setting problem!!

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_\mu(q) A^b_\nu(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

where $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$, implies directly that \mathcal{G} is totally transverse: $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$.

Ayala et al. PRD86(2012)074512

Effective gluon mass increases with the number of flavours



Unquenched lattice gluon propagators!

$$\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_\mu(q) A^b_\nu(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

Ayala et al. PRD86(2012)074512

where $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$, implies directly that \mathcal{G} is totally transverse: $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$.

Effective gluon mass increases with the number of flavours



Unquenched lattice gluon propagators!



Ayala et al. PRD86(2012)074512

where $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$, implies directly that \mathcal{G} is totally transverse: $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0$.



Unquenched lattice gluon propagators!

The ghost propagator

$$\dots \qquad \left(F^{(2)}\right)^{ab}(x-y) \equiv \left\langle \left(M^{-1}\right)^{ab}_{xy}\right\rangle, \ M(U) = -\frac{1}{N}\nabla \cdot \widetilde{D}(U)$$

Ayala et al. PRD86(2012)074512

$$\widetilde{D}(U)\eta(x)=rac{1}{2}\left(U_{\mu}(x)\eta(x+\mu)-\eta(x)U_{\mu}(x)+\eta(x+\mu)U_{\mu}^{\dagger}-U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x)\eta(x)
ight)$$



Unquenched lattice ghost propagators!



$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \mathbf{g}\Gamma_{\alpha'\mu'\nu'}(q,r,p)\Delta_{\alpha'\alpha}(q)\Delta_{\mu'\mu}(r)\Delta_{\nu'\nu}(p),$$

$$G_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = T^{sym}(q^2) \lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{tree}(q,r,p) + S^{sym}(q^2) \lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{S}(q,r,p)$$

$$T^{sym}(q^2) = g \Gamma_T^{sym}(q^2) \Delta^3(q^2),$$

$$S^{sym}(q^2) = g \Gamma_S^{sym}(q^2) \Delta^3(q^2).$$

$$S^{sym}(q^2) = g \Gamma_S^{sym}(q^2) \Delta^3(q^2).$$

$$\lambda_{\mu\nu}^{tree}(q,r,p) = \Gamma_{\alpha'\mu'\nu'}^{(0)}(q,r,p)P_{\alpha'\alpha}(q)P_{\mu'\mu}(r)P_{\nu'\nu}(p).$$

$$\lambda_{\mu\nu}^{s}(q) = \langle A_{\mu}^{a}(q)A_{\nu}^{b}(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab}\Delta(p^2)P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$
where $P_{\mu\nu}(q) = \delta_{\mu\nu} - q_{\mu}q_{\nu}/q^2$, implies directly that \mathcal{G} is totally transverse: $q \cdot \mathcal{G} = r \cdot \mathcal{G} = p \cdot \mathcal{G} = 0.$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = g\Gamma_{\alpha'\mu'\nu'}(q,r,p)\Delta_{\alpha'\alpha}(q)\Delta_{\mu'\mu}(r)\Delta_{\nu'\nu}(p),$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{abc}(q,r,p) = \langle A_{\alpha}^{a}(q)A_{\mu}^{b}(r)A_{\nu}^{c}(p) \rangle = f^{abc}\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p), \qquad \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \text{Asymmetric configuration:} \\ q \neq 0; r^{2} = p^{2} = -p \cdot r \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) + \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) \\ \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = T^{\text{sym}}(q^{2}) \lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{\text{rec}}(q,r,p) + S^{\text{sym}}(q) \lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) \\ \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = T^{\text{sym}}(q^{2}) \lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{\text{rec}}(q,r,p) + S^{\text{sym}}(q) \lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) \\ \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = g \Gamma_{T}^{\text{sym}}(r^{2}) \Delta(0) \Delta^{2}(r^{2}), \qquad T^{\text{asym}}(r^{2}) = \frac{W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)}{W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)} \\ \mathcal{G}_{\mu\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \Gamma_{T}^{\text{sym}}(q^{2}) \lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{\text{rec}}(q,r,p) + \Gamma_{S}^{\text{sym}}(q^{2}) \lambda_{\alpha\mu\nu}^{\text{rec}}(q,r,p) \\ \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \Gamma_{T}^{(0)}(q,r,p) \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) \\ \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) \\ \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) \\ \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) \\ \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) \\ \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r$$

Symmetric configuration: $\mathcal{G}^{abc}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \langle A^a_{\alpha}(q)A^b_{\mu}(r)A^c_{\nu}(p)\rangle = f^{abc}\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p), \qquad \text{Symmetric configuration.}$ $\Delta_{R}(q^{2};\mu^{2}) = Z_{A}^{-1}(\mu^{2}) \,\Delta(q^{2}),$ $g^{\text{sym}}(q^2) = q^3 \frac{T^{\text{sym}}(q^2)}{[\Delta(q^2)]^{3/2}} = q^3 \frac{T_R^{\text{sym}}(q^2;\mu^2)}{[\Delta_R(q^2;\mu^2)]^{3/2}}$ $T_{P}^{\text{sym}}(q^2;\mu^2) = Z_{A}^{-3/2}(\mu^2)T^{\text{sym}}(q^2),$ MOM renormalization prescription: $T^{\rm sym}(q^2) = g \, \Gamma_T^{\rm sym}(q^2) \, \Delta^3(q^2),$ $\Delta_R(q^2; q^2) = Z_A^{-1}(q^2) \Delta(q^2) = 1/q^2,$ $T_R^{\text{sym}}(q^2; q^2) = Z_A^{-3/2}(q^2) T^{\text{sym}}(q^2) = g_R^{\text{sym}}(q^2)/q^6.$ $g^{sym}(\mu^{2})\Gamma^{sym}_{T,R}(q^{2};\mu^{2}) = \frac{g^{sym}(q^{2})}{\left[q^{2}\Delta_{P}(q^{2};\mu^{2})\right]^{3/2}}$ $\Delta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \langle A^a_{\mu}(q) A^b_{\nu}(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \Delta(p^2) P_{\mu\nu}(q),$ $T^{\text{sym}}(q^2) = \left. \frac{W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)}{W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)} \right|_{\text{sum}},$

After the required projection and the appropriate renormalization, one can define a QCD coupling from the Green's functions, and relate it to the 1PI vertex form factor, in both symmetric...

 $\mathcal{G}^{abc}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p) = \langle A^a_{\alpha}(q)A^b_{\mu}(r)A^c_{\nu}(p) \rangle = f^{abc}\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p), \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Asymmetric configuration:} \\ q \to 0; \ r^2 = p^2 = -p \cdot r \end{array}$

$$\Delta_{R}(q^{2};\mu^{2}) = Z_{A}^{-1}(\mu^{2}) \Delta(q^{2}),$$

$$T_{R}^{\text{sym}}(q^{2};\mu^{2}) = Z_{A}^{-3/2}(\mu^{2})T^{\text{sym}}(q^{2}),$$

$$g^{\text{asym}}(r^{2}) = r^{3} \frac{T^{\text{asym}}(r^{2})}{[\Delta(r^{2})]^{1/2}\Delta(0)} = r^{3} \frac{T_{R}^{\text{asym}}(r^{2};\mu^{2})}{[\Delta_{R}(r^{2};\mu^{2})]^{1/2}\Delta_{R}(0;\mu^{2})}$$

$$MOM \text{ renormalization prescription:}$$

$$\Delta_{R}(q^{2};q^{2}) = Z_{A}^{-1}(q^{2}) \Delta(q^{2}) = 1/q^{2},$$

$$T_{R}^{\text{asym}}(r^{2};r^{2}) = Z_{A}^{-3/2}(r^{2}) T^{\text{asym}}(r^{2}) = \Delta_{R}(0;q^{2}) g_{R}^{\text{asym}}(r^{2})/r^{4},$$

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(q) = \langle A_{\mu}^{a}(q)A_{\nu}^{b}(-q) \rangle = \delta^{ab}\Delta(p^{2})P_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

$$T^{\text{asym}}(r^{2}) = \frac{W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)}{W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)W_{\alpha\mu\nu}(q,r,p)}\Big|_{\text{asym}}$$

$$g^{asym}(\mu^{2})\Gamma_{T,R}^{asym}(q^{2};\mu^{2}) = \frac{g^{asym}(q^{2})}{\left[q^{2}\Delta_{R}(q^{2};\mu^{2})\right]^{3/2}}$$

After the required projection and the appropriate renormalization, one can define a QCD coupling from the Green's functions, and relate it to the 1PI vertex form factor, in both symmetric and asymmetric kinematical configurations.



Let's then focus (again) on the symmetric case: the form factor appears to change its sign at very deep IR momenta and show then a zero-crossing. This appears to happen below ~0.2 GeV.



Let's then focus (again) on the symmetric case: the form factor appears to change its sign at very deep IR momenta and show then a zero-crossing. This appears to happen below \sim 0.2 GeV.



Let's consider now the asymmetric case: the results are much noisier (surely because of the zero-momentum gluon field in the correlation function), although there appear to be strong indications for the happening of the zero-crossing.







After leg amputation, the 1PI form factor for the tree-level tensor shows clearly the zerocrossing. The trend is the same for both Wilson and tlSym actions and symmetric and asymmetric configurations. **DSE-based explanation:**

$$\Gamma_{T,R}^{i,(B)}(p^2;\mu^2) \underset{p^2/\mu^2 \ll 1}{\sim} F_R(0;\mu^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial p^2} \Delta_R^{-1}(p^2;\mu^2) + \dots$$

In PT-BFM truncation

cf. D. Binosi's talk!!!



DSE-based explanation:

$$\Gamma_{T,R}^{i,(B)}(p^2;\mu^2) \underset{p^2/\mu^2 \ll 1}{\sim} F_R(0;\mu^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial p^2} \Delta_R^{-1}(p^2;\mu^2) + \dots$$

In PT-BFM truncation



DSE-based explanation:

$$\Gamma_{T,R}^{i,(B)}(p^2;\mu^2) \underset{p^2/\mu^2 \ll 1}{\sim} F_R(0;\mu^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial p^2} \Delta_R^{-1}(p^2;\mu^2) + \dots$$

In PT-BFM truncation



The zero-crossing of the three-gluon vertex A.C Aguilar et al.; PRD89(2014)05008



In PT-BFM



A logarithmic divergent contribution at vanishing momentum, pulling down the 1PI form factor and generating a zero crossing, can be understood within a DSE framework.

$$[1+G(q^2)]^2 \Delta^{-1}(q^2) = \widehat{\Delta}^{-1}(q^2).$$

$$\Lambda_{\mu\nu}(q) = \mu \bigvee_{0} \bigvee_{\nu} + \mu \bigvee_{0} \bigvee_{0} \bigvee_{\nu} + \mu \bigvee_{0} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} + \mu \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} + \mu \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} + \mu \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\mu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\mu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\mu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee_{\mu} \bigvee_{\nu} \bigvee$$



We can thus perform a fit, only over a deep IR domain, of our data to a DSE-grounded formula and describe the behaviour of the 1PI form factor.



We can thus perform a fit, only over a deep IR domain, of our data to the DSE-grounded formula and describe the behaviour of the 1PI form factor.



We can thus perform a fit, only over a deep IR domain, of our data to the DSE-grounded formula and describe the behaviour of the 1PI form factor.



We can thus perform a fit, only over a deep IR domain, of our data to the DSE-grounded formula and describe the behaviour of the 1PI form factor.



The low-momenta asymptotic 1PI form factor obtained from DSE within the PT-BFM is fully consistent with lattice data for both symmetric and asymmetric kinematic configurations.

Quark's gap equation: RGI interaction



Ŕ

Convert vertices/propagators into PT-BFM ones new RG invariant combination appears

 $\widehat{d}(k^2) = \alpha(\mu^2)\widehat{\Delta}(k^2;\mu^2)$

Use symmetry identity to identify the interaction strength

A.C Aguilar, D. Binosi, J. Papavassiliou, J. R-Q, PRD90(2009) D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLb742(2015)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(k^2) &= k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{1}{[1 - L(q^2)F(q^2)]^2} = \left[\frac{1}{1 - L(q^2)F(q^2)}\right]^2 \alpha_T(q^2) \cdot \mathbf{\hat{k}} \\ \widehat{d}(k^2) &= \frac{\alpha(\mu^2)\Delta(k^2;\mu^2)}{[1 + G(k^2;\mu^2)]^2} \end{aligned}$$

1+G and L determined by their own SDEs under simplifying assumptions:

$$\begin{split} 1 + G(p^2) &= Z_c - g^2 \int_k \left[2 + \frac{(k \cdot p)^2}{k^2 p^2} \right] B_1(k) \Delta(k) \frac{F((k+p)^2)}{(k+p)^2}, \\ L(p^2) &= -g^2 \int_k \left[1 - 4 \frac{(k \cdot p)^2}{k^2 p^2} \right] B_1(k) \Delta(k) \frac{F((k+p)^2)}{(k+p)^2}. \\ F^{-1}(q^2) &= Z_c - 3 \ g^2 \int_k \left[1 - \frac{(k \cdot p)^2}{k^2 p^2} \right] B_1(k) \Delta(k) \frac{F((k+p)^2)}{(k+p)^2}. \end{split}$$



- Main source of uncertainties:
 needs assumptions on ghost vertex behavior
- Parametrized by δ∈[0,1] lower bound (δ=0): 1/F=1+G

Top-down vs. Bottom-up approaches





D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)

0.5

0.010

0.001

0.100

1 k² [GeV²] 10

100



$$I(k^2) := k^2 \hat{d}(k^2) = \underbrace{\alpha_T(k^2)}_{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

A running strong coupling in a particular scheme (Taylor), well-known in perturbation and easy-to-handle in Lattice QCD

$$\alpha_T(k^2) = \lim_{a \to 0} g^2(a)k^2 \Delta(k^2; a)F^2(k^2; a)$$





D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009



$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

$$L(p^2) = -g^2 \int_k \left[1 - 4 \frac{(k \cdot p)^2}{k^2 p^2} \right] B_1(k) \Delta(k) \frac{F((k+p)^2)}{(k+p)^2}.$$



D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009

RGI interaction kernel



























$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$
D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009





$$I(k^{2}) := k^{2} \widehat{d}(k^{2}) = \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{T}}(k^{2})}{[1 - L(k^{2})F(k^{2})]^{2}}$$

$$Low-momentum asymptotic expansion$$

$$I(k^{2}) \underset{k^{2}/\Lambda_{\mathrm{T}}^{2} \ll 1}{\approx} k^{2} \widehat{d}(0) \left[1 - \left(\frac{\widehat{d}(0)}{8\pi} + \frac{f_{w}}{m_{g}^{2}} \right) k^{2} \ln \frac{k^{2}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}} \right]$$



$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$
Low-momentum asymptotic
expansion
$$I(k^2) \underset{k^2/\Lambda_{\rm T}^2 \ll 1}{\approx} k^2 \widehat{d}(0) \left[1 - \left(\frac{\widehat{d}(0)}{8\pi} + \frac{f_w}{m_g^2} \right) k^2 \ln \frac{k^2}{\Lambda_{\rm T}^2} \right]$$

$$\widehat{d}(0) = \frac{\alpha_0}{m_0^2} \approx \frac{0.9\pi}{(m_P/2)^2}$$
Ir mass scale of about one half of the proton mass (cf. C. Roberts' talk!!!)

D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)

RGI interaction kernel



A divergent ghost-loop contribution to the gluon vacuum polarization in its DSE

A.C. Aguilar et al,. PRD89(2014)05008 A.K. Cyrol et al. PRD94(2016)054005 Ph. Boucaud et al,. PRD95(2017)114503

QCD effective charge



cf. C. Roberts' talk!!!

Let us first carefully examine the RGI Interaction:

D. Binosi, J. R-Q, C.D. Roberts, PRD95(2017)114009

$$I(k^2) := k^2 \widehat{d}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha_{\rm T}(k^2)}{[1 - L(k^2)F(k^2)]^2}$$

Remarkable QCD feature: saturation of the RG key ingredient $\hat{d}(0)$

$$\widehat{d}(0) = \frac{\alpha_0}{m_0^2} \approx \frac{0.9\pi}{(m_P/2)^2}$$

D. Binosi, L. Chang, J. Papavassiliou, C.D. Roberts, PLB 742 (2015)

Define then the RGI invariant function

$$\mathcal{D}(k^{2}) = \frac{\Delta(k^{2}; \mu^{2})}{\Delta(0; \mu^{2})m_{0}^{2}} = \frac{\Delta(k^{2}; \zeta_{0}^{2})}{z(\zeta_{0}^{2})} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/m_{0}^{2} & k^{2} \ll m_{0}^{2} \\ 1/k^{2} & k^{2} \gg m_{0}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Extract the (process-independent) coupling
Using the quark gap equation
$$\Sigma(p) = Z_{2} \int_{dq}^{\Lambda} 4\pi \widehat{\alpha}_{\mathrm{PI}}(k^{2}) \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(k^{2}) \gamma_{\mu} S(q) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}^{a}(q, p)$$
$$\widehat{\alpha}(k^{2}) = \frac{\widehat{d}(k^{2})}{\mathcal{D}(k^{2})} \xrightarrow{k^{2} \gg m_{0}^{2}} \mathcal{I}(k^{2})$$

D. Binosi, C. Mezrag, J. Papavassiliou, J.R-Q, C.D. Roberts, arXiv:1612.04835



• Lattice contemporary results for the three-gluon Green's functions provide, as a main feature, a zero-crossing at very low-momenta...



- Lattice contemporary results for the three-gluon Green's functions provide, as a main feature, a zero-crossing at very low-momenta...
- ... that can be understood as being driven by a negative logarithmic singularity for the 3-gluon 1-PI vertex.



- Lattice contemporary results for the three-gluon Green's functions provide, as a main feature, a zero-crossing at very low-momenta...
- ... that can be understood as being driven by a negative logarithmic singularity for the 3-gluon 1-PI vertex.
- Combining 2-point Green's functions, within the PT-BFM approach, a RGI interaction kernel can be built...



- Lattice contemporary results for the three-gluon Green's functions provide, as a main feature, a zero-crossing at very low-momenta...
- ... that can be understood as being driven by a negative logarithmic singularity for the 3-gluon 1-PI vertex.
- Combining 2-point Green's functions, within the PT-BFM approach, a RGI interaction kernel can be built...
- ... and applied to define a process-independent effective charge.



• Lattice contemporary results for the three-gluon Green's functions provide, as a main feature, a zero-crossing at very low-momenta



• ... and applied to define a process-independent effective charge.

QCD effective charge



$$\widehat{lpha}(k^2) = rac{\widehat{d}(k^2)}{\mathcal{D}(k^2)} \xrightarrow[k^2 \gg m_0^2]{} \mathcal{I}(k^2)$$



•Parameter free completely determined from 2-points sector

- •No Landau pole physical coupling showing an IR fixed point
- •Smoothly connects IR and UV domains no explicit matching procedure
- •Essentially non-perturbative result continuum/lattice results plus setting of single mass scale (from the gluon)

•Ghost gluon dynamics critical enhancement at intermediate momenta

QCD effective charge: comparison



 Equivalence in the perturbative domain reasonable definitions of the charge

 $\alpha_{g_1}(k^2) = \alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2)[1 + 1.14\alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2) + \cdots]$ $\widehat{\alpha}_{PI}(k^2) = \alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2)[1 + 1.09\alpha_{\overline{\text{MS}}}(k^2) + \cdots]$

- Equivalence in the non-perturbative domain highly non-trivial (ghost-gluon interactions)
- Agreement with light-front holography model for α_{g1}
 Deur, Brodsky, de Teramond, PPNP 90 (2016)

- Process dependent effective charges fixed by the leading-order term in the expansion of a given observable
 Grunberg, PRD 29 (1984)
- Bjorken sum rule defines such a charge

Bjorken, PR 148 (1966); PRD 1 (1970)

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \left[g_{1}^{p}(x,k^{2}) - g_{1}^{n}(x,k^{2}) \right] = \frac{g_{A}}{6} \left[1 - \alpha_{g_{1}}(k^{2})/\pi \right]$$

- g₁^{p,n} spin dependent p/n structure functions extracted from measurements using unpolarized targets
- g^A nucleon flavour-singlet axial charge

Many merits

- Existence of data for a wide momentum range
- Tight sum rules constraints on the integral at IR and UV extremes
- Isospin non-singlet suppress contributions from hard-to-compute processes