

3 Supersymmetry

3.1 SUSY algebra and superspace

- Recall Poincaré algebra

$$[P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, P_\rho] = i\eta_{\mu\rho} P_\nu - i\eta_{\nu\rho} P_\mu$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] = i\eta_{\mu\rho} M_{\nu\sigma} + \dots + \dots + \dots$$

as a symmetry algebra of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, parameterized by x^0, \dots, x^3 .

- This algebra can be represented by diff. operators acting on fcts. on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, e.g. $P_\mu = i\partial_\mu$ ($\partial_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$).

This indeed generates translations:

$$\exp[\varepsilon^\mu i P_\mu] f(x) = f(x) - \varepsilon^\mu \partial_\mu f(x) + \dots = f(x - \varepsilon).$$

(Analogously for $M_{\mu\nu}$)

- Any larger symmetry (i.e. Lie algebra) of a relativistic QFT is the direct sum of the Poinc. alg. and an "internal" symm., such as U_1 , SU_2 , etc. (Coleman-Mandula theorem).
- This theorem can be avoided if one allows for "super-Lie-algebras". The resulting non-trivial enlargement of the symm. of space-time is unique (more or less; given appropriate assumptions) and is called the supersymm. algebra. (Haag-Lopuszanski-Sohnius theorem)
- The new generators have to be (Weyl) spinors Q_α . The crucial new anti-commutator is

$$\{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 2(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} P_\mu$$

(↑
invariant!)

Furthermore, the Q 's anticommute with each other,

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = 0 \quad ; \quad \{\bar{Q}_\alpha, \bar{Q}_\beta\} = 0 \quad ,$$

and transform like (x -independent) spinors,

$$[P_\mu, Q_\alpha] = 0 \quad ; \quad [M_{\mu\nu}, Q_\alpha] = i(\sigma_{\mu\nu})_\alpha{}^\beta Q_\beta \quad , \quad \text{where}$$

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{1}{4}(\sigma_\mu \bar{\sigma}_\nu - \sigma_\nu \bar{\sigma}_\mu) .$$

- To construct a QFT invariant under this symm., let us represent the algebra on an appropriate larger space ("superspace"). This space is "parameterized" by

$$x^\mu \quad (\mu = 0 \dots 3) \quad \& \quad \theta^\alpha \quad (\alpha = 1, 2)$$

↑
spinor index

"fermionic coordinates"

$$[(\theta^\alpha)^* = \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \quad ; \quad \{\theta^\alpha, \theta^\beta\} = 0 \quad \& \quad \text{h.c.} \quad ; \quad \{\theta^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 0 \quad ;$$

$$\text{explicitly: } (\theta^1)^2 = (\theta^2)^2 = 0 \quad ; \quad \theta^1 \theta^2 = -\theta^2 \theta^1 \quad]$$

- Derivatives: $\partial_\alpha \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} \quad ; \quad \partial_\alpha \theta^\beta = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} \theta^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta$

$$\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \quad ; \quad \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} = \dots = \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}$$

$$\partial_\alpha \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} = 0 \quad ; \quad \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} \theta^\beta = 0$$

- θ 's anticommute \Rightarrow ∂ 's anticommute:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\partial_1, \partial_2\} \theta^1 \theta^2 &= \partial_1 \partial_2 \theta^1 \theta^2 + \partial_2 \partial_1 \theta^1 \theta^2 = \\ &= -\partial_1 \partial_2 \theta^2 \theta^1 + \partial_2 \partial_1 \theta^1 \theta^2 = -1 + 1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Natural to try something like $Q_\alpha \sim \partial_\alpha + \dots$.

Correct definition: $Q_\alpha = \partial_\alpha - i(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_\mu$

$$\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} + i\theta^\alpha (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_\mu$$

Problem: Derive from this that $\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = 0$, $\{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0$

$$\{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 2i(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \partial_\mu$$

3.2 Superfields

A (complex) general SF is a fct.

$$F(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = f(x) + \theta \phi(x) + \bar{\theta} \bar{\chi}(x) + \theta^2 m(x) + \bar{\theta}^2 n(x) \\ + \theta \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta} \psi_\mu(x) + \theta^2 \bar{\theta} \bar{\lambda}(x) + \bar{\theta}^2 \theta \chi(x) + \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2 d(x)$$

Taylor expansion

all higher terms vanish

[Notation: $\theta \phi(x) = \theta^\alpha \phi_\alpha(x)$; $\theta^2 = \theta^\alpha \theta_\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \theta_\beta \theta_\alpha = 2\theta^\alpha \theta_\alpha$,
 $(\alpha \rightarrow \dot{\alpha}; \dot{\alpha} \rightarrow \alpha)$ $\theta \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta} = \theta^\alpha (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}$; $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$; $\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$]

• To derive what is intuitively a "symm. trf." from our rather abstract objects Q , recall

- translation: $\delta_\epsilon \varphi = i\epsilon^\mu P_\mu \varphi = -\epsilon^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi$

^(*) also: $\psi \chi = \psi^\alpha \chi_\alpha = -\chi_\alpha \psi^\alpha = -\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \chi^\beta \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma} \psi_\gamma = \chi^\beta \psi_\beta = \chi \psi$

- SUSY trf.: $\delta_\xi F = (\xi Q + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q}) F = [(\xi \partial - i\xi \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta} \partial_\mu) + h.c.] F$

Note: By "h.c." we mean here the application of a "formal star operation" on the algebra of fcts. & diff. operators. In essence, this means compl. conjugation, except for $(\partial_\alpha)^* = -\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}$, which is required by consistency.

Explanation of $(\partial_\alpha)^* = -\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}$: $(\partial_\alpha \theta^\beta)^* = \delta_\alpha^\beta = \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}$ 17

(with $\alpha = \dot{\alpha}, \beta = \dot{\beta}$)

$$(\partial_\alpha \theta^\beta)^* = (\theta^\beta)^* (\partial_\alpha)^* = \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} (-\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}})$$

extra "-" sign \nearrow = $\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}$
from exchange of two fermionic objects

- $\mathcal{D}_\zeta F$ continued:
 - calculate $\mathcal{D}_\zeta F$ by simple differentiation
 - expand the result in $\theta, \bar{\theta}$ analogously to the expansion of F
 - "call" the coefficient of 1 : $\mathcal{D}_\zeta f$
 - " " " : $\mathcal{D}_\zeta \psi$
 - etc.
- This defines the SUSY-tr. of the component fields

3.3 Chiral SFs

- It will prove useful to introduce "SUSY covariant" derivatives (in analogy to the Q 's):

$$D_\alpha = \partial_\alpha + i(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_\mu$$

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} - i\theta^\alpha (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_\mu$$
- They fulfil $\{D_\alpha, D_\beta\} = 0$ (& h.c.), $\{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = -2i(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_\mu$
- In addition, they anticommute with the Q 's : $\{D_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0$ etc.
- From this last feature, we can conclude that

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} F = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}_\zeta F = 0.$$

- Thus, superfields fulfilling $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} F = 0$ form a subrepresentation of the representation of the SUSY-als. provided by general SFs.

- Such SFs are called chiral SFs (and are often denoted by ϕ)

- Every chiral SF can be written as $\phi = \phi(y, \theta)$ with $y^\mu = x^\mu + i\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}$ and decomposed as

$$\phi = A(y) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi(y) + \theta^2 F(y).$$

- Expanding $\delta_\xi \phi$ in this way, we find:

$$\delta_\xi A = \sqrt{2}\psi\xi$$

$$\delta_\xi \psi = i\sqrt{2}\sigma^\mu\xi\bar{\xi}\partial_\mu A + \sqrt{2}\xi F$$

$$\delta_\xi F = i\sqrt{2}\bar{\xi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\xi\partial_\mu\psi.$$

Note:

$$\bar{D}_\alpha\phi = 0 \not\Rightarrow \bar{D}_\alpha\bar{\phi} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow D_\alpha\bar{\phi} = 0$$

(antichiral SF)

3.4 SUSY-invariant Lagrangians

$$\mathcal{L} = K(\phi, \bar{\phi})|_{\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2} + \left(W(\phi)|_{\theta^2} + \bar{W}(\bar{\phi})|_{\bar{\theta}^2} \right)$$

↑
This is the "D-term"
 $d_k(\kappa)$ of the general SF K .

↑ i.e. + h.c.
This is the "F-term" F_w of the chiral SF W (Note: ϕ chiral $\Rightarrow W(\phi)$ chiral).

K = "Kähler potential" (real fct. of ϕ & $\bar{\phi}$)

W = "superpotential" (holomorphic fct. of ϕ)

Reason for SUSY-invariance of action: The highest component of any SF transforms into a total derivative. (for dimensional reasons).

- Another way to write this Lagrangian: $(\dots)|_{\theta^2} = \int d^2\theta (\dots)$

$$(\dots)|_{\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2} = \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} (\dots)$$

where $\int d\theta^1 \theta^1 = 1$ & $\int d\theta^1 \cdot 1 = 0$.

(This abstract integral satisfies the fundamental relations

$$\int d\theta^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^1} (\dots) = 0 \text{ etc. , which implies the invariance}$$

under SUSY trfs.)

- Wess-Zumino model : $K = \bar{\phi}\phi$; $W = \frac{m}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{3} \phi^3$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = -|\partial A|^2 - i\bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + \left(-\frac{m}{2} \psi^2 + \lambda \psi^2 A\right) + \text{h.c.} + (mA + \lambda A^2)F + \text{h.c.}$$

$\Rightarrow F$ has no propagator ("auxiliary field") $+ |F|^2$

$$\text{EOMs for } F \Rightarrow F = -m\bar{A} - \lambda\bar{A}^2$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = \text{kinetic} + \text{fermionic mass} + \text{Yukawa int.} - \underbrace{V(A, \bar{A})}_{\text{"scalar potential"}}$

$$\text{with } V(A, \bar{A}) = |F|^2 = |mA + \lambda\bar{A}^2|^2$$

3.5 Real SFs (\equiv vector SFs)

$$V = \bar{V} \Rightarrow V(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = C + \theta\chi + \bar{\theta}\bar{\chi} + \theta^2 M + \bar{\theta}^2 \bar{M} - \theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}A_\mu + i\theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{D} - i\bar{\theta}^2\theta D + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 D$$

($\{C, \dots, D\}$ - fcts. of x)

• Let $\Lambda = \Lambda(y, \theta) = A + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi + \theta^2 F$ ($\{A, \psi, F\}$ - fcts. of y) be chiral SF.

- Define SUSY gauge hf.: $2V \rightarrow 2V + \Lambda + \bar{\Lambda}$

(in components: $2C \rightarrow 2C + A + \bar{A}$)

$$2A_{\mu} \rightarrow 2A_{\mu} - i\partial_{\mu}(A - \bar{A})$$

- Choose Λ such that $C = X = M = 0$ ("Wess-Zumino gauge")

$$\Rightarrow V = -\theta\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}A_{\mu} + i\theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\Lambda} - i\bar{\theta}^2\theta\Lambda + \frac{1}{2}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 D$$

(technically very convenient since $V^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2 A_{\mu}A^{\mu}$
& $V^3 = 0$ in this gauge)

- Field strength superfield: $W_{\alpha} \equiv -\frac{1}{4}\bar{D}^2 D_{\alpha} V$ (chiral & gauge inv.)

component form:

$$W = i\Lambda(y) + [D(y) + i\sigma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}(y)]\cdot\theta + \theta^2\sigma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\bar{\Lambda}(y)$$

$$\text{where } F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4}(\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu} - \sigma^{\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu})$$

- $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4g^2} (W^{\alpha}W_{\alpha}|_{\theta^2} + \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}|_{\bar{\theta}^2})$

$$= \frac{1}{g^2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - i\bar{\Lambda}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\Lambda + \frac{1}{2}D^2 \right\}$$

↑ gauginos ↑ auxiliary field

- Charged matter: chiral SF ϕ with hf. $\phi \rightarrow e^{-\Lambda}\phi$.

- For invariant lagrangian replace

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\phi}\phi|_{\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} = \bar{\phi}e^{2V}\phi|_{\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2}$$

- Simplest model:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\phi}e^{2V}\phi|_{\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2} + \frac{1}{2g^2}W^2|_{\theta^2}$$

Non-abelian generalization:

- V takes values in $\text{Lie}(G)$: $V(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})_{ij} = (T^a)_{ij} \underbrace{V^a(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})}_{\text{set of real SFs}}$
- gauge tfs.: $e^{2V} \rightarrow e^{\Lambda^\dagger} e^{2V} e^\Lambda$

$$\phi \rightarrow e^{-\Lambda} \phi$$

\uparrow matrix \nwarrow vector
 $\Lambda_{ij} = T^a_{ij} \Lambda^a$ ϕ_i

- gauge inv. Kähler potential: $\phi^\dagger e^{2V} \phi$
- field strength SF: $W_\alpha = -\frac{1}{8} \bar{D}^2 e^{-2V} D_\alpha e^{2V}$

(Note: W is not gauge-inv. any more. It transforms

as $W_\alpha \rightarrow e^{-\Lambda} W_\alpha e^\Lambda$.)

- $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{tr} (W^2|_{\theta^2} + \text{h.c.}) + \phi^\dagger e^{2V} \phi|_{\theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2} + W(\phi)|_{\theta^2} + \text{h.c.}$

\uparrow
could, in principle,
transform in a complicated,
reducible repres. of G

\uparrow
must be made
from gauge-singlets,
which can be built
on the basis of the
repres. of ϕ .

Component form:

(given that $\phi = \{\phi, \psi, F\}$ & $V = \{A_\mu, \lambda, D\}$)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{g^2} \text{tr} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - 2i \bar{\lambda} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} D_\mu \lambda + D^2 \right\}$$

$$- |D_\mu \phi|^2 - i \bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} D_\mu \psi + |F|^2$$

$$[D_\mu = \partial_\mu + i A_\mu^a T^a]$$

$$+ i\sqrt{2} (\phi^\dagger \lambda \psi - \bar{\psi} \bar{\lambda} \phi) + \phi^\dagger D \phi$$

\Leftarrow "D-term"

Note the involved index structure of the Yukawa-type interactions:

$$\phi^{\dagger} \lambda \psi = \bar{\phi}_i (\lambda^a)^{\alpha} (T^a)_{ij} (\psi_j)_{\alpha} .$$

- Integrating out \mathcal{D} gives $\mathcal{D}^a = -g^2 \phi^{\dagger} T^a \phi$ and

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{g^2}{2} (\phi^{\dagger} T^a \phi) (\phi^{\dagger} T^a \phi) .$$

(In the SUSY-SM this "D-term potential" is crucial since, at tree level, it is the only source of a quartic Higgs potential term. This implies that the Higgs mass is "predicted" in SUSY.)