

PROBLEM SHEET 6

(Due: November 28, 2012)

Problem 6.1:

(a) Given the bc -ghost, quantized as

$$\{c_m, b_n\} = \delta_{m+n} \quad \text{with} \quad L_0 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} -nb_n c_{-n}$$

and the identification $c_n, b_n \equiv$ creation operators for $n < 0$, find the normal ordered $:L_0:$ and, via ζ -function regularization, the normal-ordering constant.

(b) Given $L_0 = L_0^X + L_0^{\text{ghost}} - a$, compute the normal-ordering constant a using ζ -function regularization for a system of D X -bosons and a bc -ghost.

- Compare the result with the one obtained in light-cone quantization.
- What is the ‘net’-effect of the ghosts, regarding a ?

Problem 6.2:

(a) Check that the BRST charge is such that $Q^2 = 0$, i.e. take

$$\begin{cases} \delta_\epsilon \phi_i = -i\epsilon c^\alpha \delta_\alpha \phi \\ \delta_\epsilon B_A = 0 \\ \delta_\epsilon b_A = \epsilon B_A \\ \delta_\epsilon c^\alpha = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon c^\beta c^\gamma f_{\beta\gamma}{}^\alpha \end{cases}$$

and show that $\delta_\epsilon(\delta_{\epsilon'} \phi) = \delta_\epsilon(\delta_{\epsilon'} b_A) = \delta_\epsilon(\delta_{\epsilon'} c^\alpha) = 0$.

Hint: Remember the Jacobi identity for $f_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma$.

(b) Show that $\delta_\epsilon (b_A F^A) = i\epsilon (S_{gf} + S_g)$.

Problem 6.3:

For some $n \times n$ matrix M , show that

$$\int \left(\prod_{i=1}^n d\psi_i d\theta_i \right) e^{\theta^T M \psi} = \det M,$$

where $\theta_i, \psi_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are Grassmann variables.

Hint: Use the power series of e^x and the fact that $\int d^n \theta \theta_{i_1} \theta_{i_2} \dots \theta_{i_n} = \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$.

Problem 6.4:

A conformal transformation is a diffeomorphism $x \rightarrow x'$ that changes the metric only by an overall prefactor. In flat d -dimensional space this amounts to

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x'^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x'^\beta} = \Lambda \eta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \Lambda = e^{w(x)}.$$

(a) Show that an infinitesimal diffeomorphism

$$x'^{\rho} = x^{\rho} - \epsilon^{\rho}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

has to satisfy

$$\partial_{\mu}\epsilon_{\nu} + \partial_{\nu}\epsilon_{\mu} = \omega \eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad \omega = \frac{2}{d}(\partial \cdot \epsilon), \quad (1)$$

in order to be a *conformal* transformation.

(b) Take the derivative ∂^{ν} of (1) and sum over ν . Take the derivative ∂_{ν} of the resulting equation. You should find

$$\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}(\partial \cdot \epsilon) + (\partial \cdot \partial)\partial_{\nu}\epsilon_{\mu} = \frac{2}{d}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}(\partial \cdot \epsilon). \quad (2)$$

Interchange $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ in (2), add the resulting equation to (2) and use (1) to eliminate the term multiplying $\partial \cdot \partial$. Contract with $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ to find the result

$$(d-1)(\partial \cdot \partial)(\partial \cdot \epsilon) = 0, \quad \text{i.e. } (d-1)\partial^2\omega = 0 \quad (3)$$

(c) Take the derivative ∂_{ρ} of (1). From the resulting equation produce two more equations by relabelling $(\rho, \mu, \nu) \rightarrow (\nu, \rho, \mu)$ and $(\rho, \mu, \nu) \rightarrow (\mu, \nu, \rho)$. Subtract the original equation from the sum of the last two to obtain

$$2\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\epsilon_{\rho} = \frac{2}{d}(-\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\rho} + \eta_{\rho\mu}\partial_{\nu} + \eta_{\nu\rho}\partial_{\mu})(\partial \cdot \epsilon), \quad (4)$$

$$\text{i.e. } (\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial^2 + (d-2)\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu})\omega = 0. \quad (5)$$

(d) This shows that the cases $d = 2$ and $d \geq 3$ are clearly different. Let us now consider the case $d \geq 3$. Assuming a polynomial expansion for ω and ϵ_{μ} and using (1), (3), and (5), show that ω is at most linear and ϵ_{μ} is at most quadratic in x^{ν} , such that we can make the ansatz

$$-\epsilon_{\mu} = a_{\mu} + b_{\mu\nu}x^{\nu} + c_{\mu\nu\rho}x^{\nu}x^{\rho}, \quad (6)$$

where $a_{\mu}, b_{\mu\nu}, c_{\mu\nu\rho} \ll 1$ are constants and the latter is symmetric in the last two indices.

(e) We now want to see how (1) constrains these constants.

- It is easy to see that a_{μ} is not constrained and that it corresponds to translations $x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + a^{\mu}$, for which the generator is the momentum operator $P_{\mu} = -i\partial_{\mu}$.
- $b_{\mu\nu}$ can be decomposed into an antisymmetric piece and a symmetric piece. Argue that the antisymmetric part of $b_{\mu\nu}$ is unconstrained and thus describes rotations with the angular momentum operator as the generator. Argue further that the symmetric part of $b_{\mu\nu}$ describes scale transformations: $x \rightarrow x' = (1 + \alpha)x$ for some α .

(f)* For $c_{\mu\nu\rho}$ insert (6) into (1) and take the derivative ∂_{ν} of the resulting equation to obtain

$$\partial_{\nu}(\partial \cdot \epsilon) = -2c_{\mu\nu}^{\mu}.$$

Use this equation in (4) to show that the $c_{\mu\nu\rho}$ can be written as

$$c_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{d}(\eta_{\mu\rho}c_{\alpha\nu}^{\alpha} + \eta_{\mu\nu}c_{\alpha\rho}^{\alpha} - \eta_{\nu\rho}c_{\alpha\mu}^{\alpha}).$$

The resulting transformations are called *Special Conformal Transformations* (SCT) and have the following infinitesimal form:

$$x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + 2(x \cdot b)x^{\mu} - (x \cdot x)b^{\mu}.$$

The corresponding generator is written as $K_{\mu} = -i(2x_{\mu}x^{\nu}\partial_{\nu} - (x \cdot x)\partial_{\mu})$. The finite version of these transformations is

$$x'^{\mu} = \frac{x^{\mu} - x^2 b^{\mu}}{1 - 2(b \cdot x) + b^2 x^2}, \quad \text{i.e. } \frac{x'^{\mu}}{x' \cdot x'} = \frac{x^{\mu}}{x \cdot x} - b^{\mu}.$$

Show that indeed these transformations can be thought of as the successive application of inversion $x^{\mu} \rightarrow \frac{x^{\mu}}{x \cdot x}$, translation and inversion.