Anke Biekötter Heidelberg University

with Fabian Keilbach, Rhea Moutafis, Tilman Plehn and Jennifer Thompson

Higgs Couplings, November 8, 2017



INTERNATIONAL MAX PLANCK RESEARCH SCHOOL



FOR PRECISION TESTS OF FUNDAMENTAL SYMMETRIES

### Motivation

#### • Higgs decays to invisible particles

• [Shrock, Suzuki, 1982]

#### Higgs portal models

- [Silveira, Zee, 1985]
- [Burgess, Pospelov, Veldhuis, 2001]
- [Patt, Wilczek, 2006]
- [Englert, Plehn, Zerwas, Zerwas, 2011]
- Dark matter candidates
  - Scalar (minimal/extended Higgs sector)
  - Fermion (MSSM) [Butter, Murgia, Plehn, Tait, 2016]
  - . . .

## Outline

- Introduction: Signatures of invisible Higgs decays
- Weak boson fusion and its backgrounds
- Quark gluon discrimination
- BDT analysis
- Conclusion and outlook

work in progress

## Outline

- Introduction: Signatures of invisible Higgs decays
- Weak boson fusion and its backgrounds
- Quark gluon discrimination
- BDT analysis
- Conclusion and **outlook**
- Discussion: Your input?

work in progress

# Introduction







strongest channels [ATLAS: CERN-PH-EP-2015-191]

## Weak boson fusion

#### WBF signature

EW process: Jets + missing energy

- 2 jets with large  $\eta$  separation
- opposite hemispheres  $\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2 < 0$
- large MET
- no central jet activity



[Eboli, Zeppenfeld, 2000]

[Bernaciak, Plehn, Schichtel, Tattersall, 2014]

Trigger

- CMS-HIG-16-016:
  - *p*<sub>*T,j*</sub> > 40 GeV
  - $m_{jj} > 600 \, {
    m GeV}$
  - $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 140 \text{ GeV}$
  - $\Delta \eta_{jj} > 3.5$
  - $\eta_{j1} * \eta_{j2} < 0$
- outlook for HL-LHC
  - $E_{\tau}^{\text{miss}} > 200 \,\text{GeV}?$

• ...?

• How dangerous is this?

## WBF backgrounds



 $Z \rightarrow \nu \nu$ 

 $W \to (l) \nu$ 





Z EW

W EW

## WBF backgrounds



 $Z \rightarrow \nu \nu$ 





 $W \rightarrow (l) \nu$ 

Z EW

W EW



Z QCD



W QCD

#### WBF backgrounds



 $Z \rightarrow \nu \nu$ 





Z EW

W EW losing a lepton

 $W \rightarrow (l) \nu$ 



Z QCD

W QCD Losing a Lepton

## WBF distributions



#### WBF distributions



W and Z backgrounds similar in signal region

## WBF distributions





• W background peaks at 3 jets



W and Z backgrounds different for  $N_{\text{jets}}$  distribution

• W background peaks at 3 jets



W and Z backgrounds different for  $N_{jets}$  distribution

- W background peaks at 3 jets
- W background contains single-top events

 $(m_{jj} > 200 \text{ GeV}: 30\% \text{ 2jet}, 50\% \text{ 3jet}; \text{ preselection}: 5\%, 12\%)$ preselection:  $p_{T,j} > 40 \text{ GeV}, m_{jj} > 600 \text{ GeV}, \Delta \eta_{jj} > 3.5, N_{\text{Lep}} = 0, p_T(V) > 80 \text{ GeV}$ 



W and Z backgrounds different for  $N_{jets}$  distribution

- W background peaks at 3 jets
- W background contains single-top events

 $(m_{jj} > 200 \text{ GeV}: 30\% \text{ 2jet}, 50\% \text{ 3jet}; \text{ preselection}: 5\%, 12\%)$ 

#### WBF - dependence on jet cone size

Simulated process: h + 2/3 jets merged (Sherpa, parton shower) variation of jet cone size in Delphes



kinematics unchanged



Signal grows stronger with R than EW background

preselection:  $p_{T,j} > 40 \text{ GeV}, m_{jj} > 600 \text{ GeV}, \Delta \eta_{jj} > 3.5, N_{\text{Lep}} = 0, p_T(V) > 80 \text{ GeV}$ 

## WBF - dependence on jet cone size (2)



similar results in fixed-order calculation [Rauch, Zeppenfeld, 2017]

#### Dependence on jet cone size - hZ, $Z \rightarrow j j$

## same final state, different topology

variable	cut
MET	120 - 160 GeV
Njets	2 - 3
$\Delta R_{jj}$	0.7 - 2.0
$m_{jj}$ (2jets)	70 - 100
$m_{jj}$ (3jets)	50 - 100





#### Dependence on jet cone size - hZ, $Z \rightarrow j j$

## same final state, different topology

variable	cut
MET	120 - 160 GeV
Njets	2 - 3
$\Delta R_{jj}$	0.7 - 2.0
$m_{jj}$ (2jets)	70 - 100
$m_{jj}$ (3jets)	50 - 100





#### Dependence on jet cone size - hZ, $Z \rightarrow j j$



#### Quark gluon discrimination

QCD backgrounds more likely to have hard gluon jets

- wider angle soft emissions
- more splittings in parton evolution

Variables for quark gluon discrimination

•  $n_{\mathsf{PF}}$ : number of particle flow (PF) objects (tracks and towers)

$$w_{\mathsf{PF}} = \frac{\sum_{\mathsf{PF} \in jet} p_{\mathcal{T},\mathsf{PF}} \Delta R_{\mathsf{PF},jet}}{\sum_{\mathsf{PF} \in jet} p_{\mathcal{T},\mathsf{PF}}}$$
$$C = \frac{\sum_{i_{\mathsf{PF}},j_{\mathsf{PF}}} p_{\mathcal{T},i} p_{\mathcal{T},j} (\Delta R_{ij})^{0.2}}{(\sum_{i_{\mathsf{PF}}} p_{\mathcal{T},i})^2}$$

[ATLAS-CONF-2016-034]



preselection:  $p_{T,j} > 40 \text{ GeV}, \ m_{jj} > 600 \text{ GeV}, \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 3.5, \ N_{\text{Lep}} = 0, \ p_T(V) > 80 \text{ GeV}$ 



Expect best discrimination power for second jet.

preselection:  $p_{T,j} > 40 \text{ GeV}, m_{jj} > 600 \text{ GeV}, \Delta \eta_{jj} > 3.5, N_{\text{Lep}} = 0, p_T(V) > 80 \text{ GeV}$ 



Expect best discrimination power for second jet.

preselection:  $p_{T,j} > 40 \text{ GeV}, \ m_{jj} > 600 \text{ GeV}, \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 3.5, \ N_{\text{Lep}} = 0, \ p_T(V) > 80 \text{ GeV}$ 



Expect best discrimination power for second jet.

preselection:  $p_{T,j} > 40 \text{ GeV}, \ m_{jj} > 600 \text{ GeV}, \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 3.5, \ N_{\text{Lep}} = 0, \ p_T(V) > 80 \text{ GeV}$ 

#### Quark gluon discrimination - distributions



preselection:  $p_{T,j} > 40 \text{ GeV}, \ m_{jj} > 600 \text{ GeV}, \ E_T^{\text{miss}} > 140 \text{ GeV}, \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 3.5, \ N_{\text{Lep}} = 0$ 

#### Quark gluon discrimination - distributions



#### Quark gluon discrimination variables are $p_T$ dependent

preselection:  $p_{T,j} > 40$  GeV,  $m_{jj} > 600$  GeV,  $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 140$  GeV,  $\Delta \eta_{jj} > 3.5$ ,  $N_{\text{Lep}} = 0$ 

#### Quark gluon discrimination - distributions



**Third jet** gives best separation (here:  $p_T > 20$  GeV)

preselection:  $p_{T,j} > 40 \text{ GeV}, m_{jj} > 600 \text{ GeV}, E_T^{\text{miss}} > 140 \text{ GeV}, \Delta \eta_{jj} > 3.5, N_{\text{Lep}} = 0$ 

# **BDT** analysis

#### BDT - WBF



 $p_T$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\phi$  of third jet +  $p_T$  of fourth jet

same  $+ C + n_{\rm PF}$  of jet 1 - 3

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:preselection: $p_{T,j} > 40 \, {\rm GeV}, $m_{jj} > 600 \, {\rm GeV}, $E_T^{\rm miss} > 140 \, {\rm GeV}, $\Delta\eta_{jj} > 3.5, $N_{\rm Lep} = 0$ \\ \mbox{variables used: $p_T(j), $\Delta\eta_{jj}, $\Delta\phi_{jj}$ of leading two jets, $E_T^{\rm miss}, $\Delta\phi(E_T^{\rm miss}, j1), $\Delta\phi(E_T^{\rm miss}, j2), $m_{jj}, $N_{\rm jets}(p_T > 20 \, {\rm GeV})$ \\ \end{array}$ 

**BDT - WBF** 



 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:preselection: $p_{T,j} > 40 \, {\rm GeV}, \; m_{jj} > 600 \, {\rm GeV}, \; E_T^{\rm miss} > 140 \, {\rm GeV}, \; \Delta\eta_{jj} > 3.5, \; {\it N}_{\rm Lep} = 0 \\ \mbox{variables used: $p_T(j), \; \Delta\eta_{jj}, \; \Delta\phi_{jj}$ of leading two jets,} \\ E_T^{\rm miss}, \; \Delta\phi(E_T^{\rm miss}, j1), \; \Delta\phi(E_T^{\rm miss}, j2), \; m_{jj}, \; {\it N}_{\rm jets}(p_T > 20 {\rm GeV}) \end{array}$ 

**BDT - WBF** 



 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:preselection: $p_{T,j} > 40 \, {\rm GeV}, \; m_{jj} > 600 \, {\rm GeV}, \; E_T^{\rm miss} > 140 \, {\rm GeV}, \; \Delta\eta_{jj} > 3.5, \; {\sf N}_{\rm Lep} = 0 \\ \mbox{variables used: $p_T(j), \; \Delta\eta_{jj}, \; \Delta\phi_{jj}$ of leading two jets, $$ $E_T^{\rm miss}, \; \Delta\phi(E_T^{\rm miss}, j1), \; \Delta\phi(E_T^{\rm miss}, j2), \; m_{jj}, \; {\sf N}_{\rm Jets}(p_T > 20 {\rm GeV}) $ \end{array}$ 



## Conclusion

## WBF

- Backgrounds: different behavior for N<sub>jets</sub>
- Signal cross section growing with  ${\bf R}$
- Useful quark gluon discrimination variables:  $n_{\rm PF}, C$
- Third jet best for quark gluon discrimination  $p_{\mathsf{T}} > 10 \text{ GeV}$
- However, no large improvement by QG variables when full information of additional jets is present

## Conclusion

## WBF

- Backgrounds: different behavior for N<sub>jets</sub>
- Signal cross section growing with  ${\bf R}$
- Useful quark gluon discrimination variables:  $n_{\rm PF}, C$
- Third jet best for quark gluon discrimination  $p_{\mathsf{T}} > 10 \text{ GeV}$
- However, no large improvement by QG variables when full information of additional jets is present

## Outlook

- Compare to Zh,  $Z \rightarrow ll$
- WBF still most sensitive channel after trigger update?

# Thank you for your attention!



## Tool chain



Use TMVA with

- 70 trees
- 3 Layers
- nCuts = 20
- $\bullet\,$  minimum node size 5  $\%\,$
- preselection

## Associated Zh production

## Zh production - signature

- boosted SFOS leptons  $m_{\iota\iota} \sim m_Z$
- Z+ jets not taken into account (irrelevant at high MET)





## Zh production - backgrounds



## Zh production - backgrounds





WΖ

WW



 $t\bar{t}$ 

Z+jets

## Zh - distributions



signal: Z boosted

## Zh - distributions





signal: Z boosted

## Zh - distributions



non-resonant bkgs flat



signal: Z boosted