Institute for Theoretical Physics, Heidelberg University, November 6-10, 2017





7 November 2017

# HIGGSPLOSION

Valentin V Khoze

IPPP Durham

- VVK & Michael Spannowsky 1704.03447, 1707.01531
- VVK 1705.04365
- VVK, J Reiness, M Spannowsky, P Waite 1709.08655
- & with Jakub Scholtz & Michael Spannowsky to appear



#### 100th Anniversary of Russian Revolution

7 November 2017





#### Now: an altogether different radical idea



- Before the Higgs discovery, massive Yang-Mills theory violated perturbative unitarity

   problem with high-energy growth of 2 -> 2 processes
- Discovery of the (elementary) Higgs made the SM theory self-consistent
- The Higgs brings in the Hierarchy problem: radiative corrections push the Higgs mass to the new physics (high) scale:  $m_h^2 \simeq m_0^2 + \delta m_{new}^2$
- In this talk: consider n~100s of Higgs bosons produced in the final state n lambda
   > 1. Investigate scattering processes at ~ 100 TeV energies.
- HIGGSPLOSION: n-particle rates computed in a weakly-coupled theory can become unsuppressed above critical values of n and E. Perturbative and non-perturbative semi-classical calculations. n! ~ exponential growth with n or E. (Scale n~E/m).
- A new unitarity problem caused by the elementary Higgs bosons appears to occur (?) for processes with large final state multiplicities n >> 1
- HIGGSPLOSION offers a solution to both problems: it restores the unitarity of highmultiplicity processes and dynamically cuts off the values of the loop momenta contributing to the radiative corrections to the Higgs mass.

#### **Compute 1 -> n amplitudes @LO with non-relativistic final-state momenta:**



see classic 1992-1994 papers: Brown; Voloshin; Argyres, Kleiss, Papodopoulos Libanov, Rubakov, Son, Troitski

more recently: VVK 1411.2925

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} h)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} (h^2 - v^2)^2$$

prototype of the SM Higgs in the unitary gauge

Tree-level  $1^* \to n$  amplitudes in the limit  $\varepsilon \to 0$  for any n are given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{n}(p_{1}, \dots p_{n}) = n! \left(\frac{\lambda}{2M_{h}^{2}}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{7}{6}n\varepsilon - \frac{1}{f}\frac{n}{n-1}\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{2})\right)$$

$$\text{growth} \quad \vdots \quad \text{amplitude on the n-particle threshold} \quad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{nM_{h}}E_{n}^{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{n}\frac{1}{2M_{h}^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\vec{p_{i}}^{2}$$

factorial growth

amplitude on the n-particle threshold

kinetic energy per particle per mass

In the large-n-non-relativistic limit the result is

$$\mathcal{A}_n(p_1, \dots p_n) = n! \left(\frac{\lambda}{2M_h^2}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{7}{6}n\varepsilon\right], \quad n \to \infty, \ \varepsilon \to 0, \ n\varepsilon = \text{fixed}$$

#### **Can now integrate over the n-particle phase-space**

The cross-section and/or the *n*-particle partial decay  $\Gamma_n$ 

$$\Gamma_n(s) = \int d\Phi_n \frac{1}{n!} |\mathcal{A}_{h^* \to n \times h}|^2$$

The n-particle Lorentz-invariant phase space volume element

$$\int d\Phi_n = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)} (P_{\rm in} - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j) \prod_{j=1}^n \int \frac{d^3 p_j}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2p_j^0} \,,$$

in the large-n non-relativistic limit with  $n\varepsilon_h$  fixed becomes,

$$\Phi_n \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{M_h^2}{2}\right)^n \exp\left[\frac{3n}{2} \left(\log\frac{\varepsilon_h}{3\pi} + 1\right) + \frac{n\varepsilon_h}{4} + \mathcal{O}(n\varepsilon_h^2)\right]$$

We find:

$$\Gamma_n^{\text{tree}}(s) \sim \exp\left[n\left(\log\frac{\lambda n}{4}-1\right) + \frac{3n}{2}\left(\log\frac{\varepsilon}{3\pi}+1\right) - \frac{25}{12}n\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(n\varepsilon^2)\right]$$

Son 1994;

Libanov, Rubakov, Troitskii 1997; more recently: VVK 1411.2925

- The n! growth of perturbative amplitudes is not entirely surprising: the number of contributing Feynman diagrams is known to grow factorially with n. [In scalar QFT there are no partial cancellations between individual diagrams (unlike QCD).]
- Important to distinguish between the two types of large-n corrections:

(a) *higher-order* perturbative corrections to some leading-order quantities

(b) our case where the *leading-order* tree-level contribution to the 1\*->n Amplitude grows factorially with the particle multiplicity n of the final state.

- This was studied in the 90s in scalar QFTs
- But now realised that the characteristic energy scale for EW applications starts in the 50-100 TeV range. FCC would provide an exciting challenge to realise this in the context of the multi- Higgs and Massive Vector bosons production in the SM.

# Contrast asymptotic growth of higher-order corrections in perturbation theory with the ~n! contributions to Gamma\_n(s)



Not the same types of beasts

# Contrast asymptotic growth of higher-order corrections in perturbation theory with the ~n! contributions to Gamma\_n(s)



Not the same types of beasts

Perturbative as well as semi-classical calculations result in the exponential form for the n-particle width Gamma ~ exp[F\_holy\_grail]

#### • Libanov, Rubakov, Son, Troitsky; Son: 1994-1995

In the non-rel. limit for perturbative Higgs bosons only production we obtained:

bare cross-section [ignoring the width effect for now]

$$\sigma_n \propto \exp\left[n\left(\log\frac{\lambda n}{4}-1\right) + \frac{3n}{2}\left(\log\frac{\varepsilon}{3\pi}+1\right) - \frac{25}{12}n\varepsilon\right]$$

More generally, in the large-n limit with  $\lambda n =$  fixed and  $\varepsilon =$  fixed, one expects

$$\sigma_n \propto \exp\left[\frac{1}{\lambda} F_{\text{h.g.}}(\lambda n, \varepsilon)\right]$$
 [e.g. Libanov, Rubakov, Troitsky review 1997]

where the holy grail function  $F_{h.g.}$  is of the form,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} F_{\text{h.g.}}(\lambda n, \varepsilon) = \frac{\lambda n}{\lambda} \left( f_0(\lambda n) + f(\varepsilon) \right)$$

In our higgs model, i.e. the scalar theory with SSB,

$$f_0(\lambda n) = \log \frac{\lambda n}{4} - 1 \qquad \text{at tree level}$$
$$f(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \frac{3}{2} \left(\log \frac{\varepsilon}{3\pi} + 1\right) - \frac{25}{12} \varepsilon \qquad \text{for } \varepsilon \ll 1$$

#### Can also include *loop corrections* to amplitudes on thresholds:

The 1-loop corrected threshold amplitude for the pure n Higgs production:

$$\phi^4$$
 with SSB:  $\mathcal{A}_{1\to n}^{\text{tree}+1\text{loop}} = n! (2v)^{1-n} \left(1 + n(n-1)\frac{\sqrt{3\lambda}}{8\pi}\right)$ 

There are strong indications, based on the analysis of leading singularities of the multi-loop expansion around singular generating functions in scalar field theory, that the 1-loop correction exponentiates,

Libanov, Rubakov, Son, Troitsky 1994

$$\mathcal{A}_{1 \to n} = \mathcal{A}_{1 \to n}^{\text{tree}} \times \exp\left[B\,\lambda n^2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda n)\right]$$

in the limit  $\lambda \to 0$ ,  $n \to \infty$  with  $\lambda n$  fixed. Here *B* is determined from the 1-loop calculation (as above) – *Smith; Voloshin 1992*):  $B = +\lambda n \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi}$ 

$$f_0(\lambda n) = \log \frac{\lambda n}{4} - 1 + \lambda n \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda n)^2$$
  
$$f(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \frac{3}{2} \left(\log \frac{\varepsilon}{3\pi} + 1\right) - \frac{25}{12} \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \ll 1$$

# Semi-classical approach for computing the rate R(1->n,E) DT Son1995

Multi-particle decay rates  $\Gamma_n$  can also be computed using an alternative semiclassical method. This is an intrinsically non-perturbative approach, with no reference in its outset made to perturbation theory.

The path integral is computed in the steepest descent method, controlled by two large parameters,  $1/\lambda \to \infty$  and  $n \to \infty$ .

 $\lambda \to 0$ ,  $n \to \infty$ , with  $\lambda n = \text{fixed}$ ,  $\varepsilon = \text{fixed}$ .

The semi-classical computation in the regime where,

$$\lambda n = \text{fixed} \ll 1$$
,  $\varepsilon = \text{fixed} \ll 1$ ,

reproduces the tree-level perturbative results for non-relativistic final states.

Remarkably, this semi-classical calculation also reproduces the leading-order quantum corrections arising from resumming one-loop effects.

#### Semi-classical approach for computing the rate R(1->n,E)

The semiclassical approach is equally applicable and more relevant to the realisation of the non-perturbative Higgsplosion case where,

$$\lambda n = \text{fixed} \gg 1$$
,  $\varepsilon = \text{fixed} \ll 1$ .

This calculation was carried out for the spontaneously broken theory with the result given by,

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\lambda; n, \varepsilon) = \exp\left[\frac{\lambda n}{\lambda} \left(\log\frac{\lambda n}{4} + 0.85\sqrt{\lambda n} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\log\frac{\varepsilon}{3\pi} - \frac{25}{12}\varepsilon\right)\right],\,$$

Higher order corrections are suppressed by  $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\lambda n})$  and powers of  $\varepsilon$ .





#### Summary of the main idea

The Dyson propagator (continued to Euclidean space) is,

$$\Delta_R(x_1, x_2) = \langle 0 | \phi(x_1) \phi(x_2) | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2 + \Sigma_R(p^2)} e^{ip_0 \Delta \tau + i\vec{p}\Delta \vec{x}}$$

When the theory enters the Higgsplosion regime, the self-energy undergoes a sharp exponential growth,

$$\Sigma_R(p^2) \sim \begin{cases} 0 & : \text{ for } p^2 < E_*^2 \\ \infty & : \text{ for } p^2 \ge E_*^2 \end{cases}$$

The loop momentum integral becomes cut off by  $\Sigma$  outside the ball of radius  $E_*$ 

$$\Delta_R(x_1, x_2) = \int_{p^2 \le E_*^2} \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2} e^{ip_0 \Delta \tau + i\vec{p}\Delta \vec{x}}$$
$$\sim \begin{cases} 1/|\Delta x|^2 & : \text{ for } 1/E_* \ll |\Delta x| \ll 1/m \\ E_*^2 & : \text{ for } |\Delta x| \lesssim 1/E_* \end{cases}$$

#### Summary of the main idea

A conventional wisdom: in the description of nature based on a local QFT, one should always be able to probe shorter and shorter distances with higher and higher energies.

Higgsplosion is a dynamical mechanism, or a new phase of the theory, which presents an obstacle to this principle at energies above  $E_*$ .

 $E_*$  is the new dynamical scale of the theory, where multi-particle decay rates become unsuppressed.

Schematically,  $E_* = C \frac{m}{\lambda}$ , where C is a model-dependent constant of  $\mathcal{O}(100)$ . This expression holds in the weak-coupling limit  $\lambda \to 0$ .

## Higgsplosion

At energy scales above  $E_*$  the dynamics of the system is changed:

- 1. Distance scales below  $|x| \leq 1/E_*$  cannot be resolved in interactions;
- 2. UV divergences are regulated;
- 3. The theory becomes asymptotically safe;
- 4. And the Hierarchy problem of the Standard Model is therefore absent.

Consider the scaling behaviour of the propagator of a massive scalar particle

$$\Delta(x) := \langle 0|T(\phi(x)\phi(0))|0\rangle \sim \begin{cases} m^2 e^{-m|x|} &: \text{ for } |x| \gg 1/m \\ 1/|x|^2 &: \text{ for } 1/E_* \ll |x| \ll 1/m \\ E_*^2 &: \text{ for } |x| \lesssim 1/E_* \end{cases}$$

where for  $|x| \leq 1/E_*$  one enters the Higgsplosion regime.

This is a non-perturbative criterium. Can in principle be computed on a lattice.

## Higgsplosion

Loop integrals are effectively cut off at  $E_*$  by the exploding width  $\Gamma(p^2)$  of the propagating state into the high-multiplicity final states.

The incoming highly energetic state decays rapidly into the multi-particle state made out of soft quanta with momenta  $k_i^2 \sim m^2 \ll E_*^2$ .

The width of the propagating degree of freedom becomes much greater than its mass: it is no longer a simple particle state.

In this sense, it has become a composite state made out of the n soft particle quanta of the same field  $\phi$ .

VVK & Michael Spannowsky 1704.03447, 1707.01531

## Asymptotic Safety

For all parameters of the theory (running coupling constants, masses, etc):



#### Higgsploding the Hierarchy problem

X=heavy state

$$\Delta M_h^2 \sim \lambda_P \int \frac{d^4 p}{16\pi^4} \frac{1}{p^2 + M_X^2 + \Sigma_X(p^2)} \propto \lambda_P \frac{E_\star^2}{M_X^2} E_\star^2 \quad \ll \lambda_P M_X^2.$$

Due to Higgsplosion the multi-particle contribution to the width of X explode at  $p^2 = s_{\star}$  where  $\sqrt{s_{\star}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(25) \text{TeV}$ 

• It provides a sharp UV cut-off in the integral, possibly at  $s_\star \ll M_X^2$ 

Hence, the contribution to the Higgs mass amounts to

For 
$$\Gamma(s_{\star}) \simeq M_X$$
 at  $s_{\star} \ll M_X^2 \implies \Delta M_h^2 \propto \lambda_P \frac{s_{\star}}{M_X^2} s_{\star} \ll \lambda_P M_X^2$   
and thus mends the Hierarchy problem by  $\left(\frac{\sqrt{s_{\star}}}{M_X}\right)^4 \simeq \left(\frac{25 \text{ TeV}}{M_X}\right)^4$ 

# Prospects of *direct* observation of Higgslposion

Vector boson fusion at high-energy pp colliders (FCC)



#### Vector boson fusion at high-energy pp colliders (FCC)



Number of Higgses in the final state

## Effects of Higgsplosion on Precision Observables

• VVK, J Reiness, M Spannowsky, P Waite 1709.08655

Here focus on a class of observables which have no tree-level contributions



At LHC energies effects of Higgsplosion are small (next slide).

However O(1) effects can be achieved for these loop-induced processes if the interactions are probed close to ~ 2E\*.

### Effects of Higgsplosion on Precision Observables



## Summary

- The Higgsplosion / Higgspersion mechanism makes theory UV finite (all loop momentum integrals are dynamically cut-off at scales above the Higgsplosion energy).
- UV-finiteness => all coupling constants slopes become flat above the Higgsplosion scale => automatic asymptotic safety
- [Below the Higgsplosion scale there is the usual logarithmic running]
- 1. Asymptotic Safety
- 2. No Landau poles for the U(1) and the Yukawa couplings
- 3. The Higgs self-coupling does not turn negative => stable EW vacuum
- No new physics degrees of freedom required very minimal solution