



The structure of the thermal ground state in SU(2) Quantum Yang-Mills theory

5th Winter Workshop on Nonperturbative Quantum Field Theory, ∞ IN Φ NI ∞ , 22 March 2017

R. Hofmann

ITP-Universität Heidelberg, IPS-KIT

 $\beta = \operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\rho} d\tau \int d^{3}x F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$

• motivation for nonperturbative approach to Yang-Mills theory

- motivation for nonperturbative approach to Yang-Mills theory
- top.-charge-unity field configurations at finite temperature: Harrington-Shepard (anti)calorons and nontrivial-holonomy deformations (Kraan-Van-Baal-Lee-Lu)

- motivation for nonperturbative approach to Yang-Mills theory
- top.-charge-unity field configurations at finite temperature: Harrington-Shepard (anti)calorons and nontrivial-holonomy deformations (Kraan-Van-Baal-Lee-Lu)
- spatial coarse-graining over (anti)caloron centers, (anti)caloron structure

- motivation for nonperturbative approach to Yang-Mills theory
- top.-charge-unity field configurations at finite temperature: Harrington-Shepard (anti)calorons and nontrivial-holonomy deformations (Kraan-Van-Baal-Lee-Lu)
- spatial coarse-graining over (anti)caloron centers, (anti)caloron structure
- $U(1) \subset SU(2)$ wave propagation/photonic excitation and deconfining thermal ground state

- motivation for nonperturbative approach to Yang-Mills theory
- top.-charge-unity field configurations at finite temperature: Harrington-Shepard (anti)calorons and nontrivial-holonomy deformations (Kraan-Van-Baal-Lee-Lu)
- spatial coarse-graining over (anti)caloron centers, (anti)caloron structure
- $U(1) \subset SU(2)$ wave propagation/photonic excitation and deconfining thermal ground state
- Lorentz invariance and mixing SU(2)s

- motivation for nonperturbative approach to Yang-Mills theory
- top.-charge-unity field configurations at finite temperature: Harrington-Shepard (anti)calorons and nontrivial-holonomy deformations (Kraan-Van-Baal-Lee-Lu)
- spatial coarse-graining over (anti)caloron centers, (anti)caloron structure
- $U(1) \subset SU(2)$ wave propagation/photonic excitation and deconfining thermal ground state
- Lorentz invariance and mixing SU(2)s
- some physics implications of deconfining SU(2) Yang-Mills gas

motivation

Andrei Linde (1980):

"Infrared Problem in the Thermodynamics of the Yang-Mills Gas"

- soft magnetic sector screened weakly in perturbation theory (infrared instability)
- no "convergence" of series since kinetic and interaction energies comparable in this sector

motivation

- Andrei Linde (1980): "Infrared Problem in the Thermodynamics of the Yang-Mills Gas"
 - - soft magnetic sector screened weakly in perturbation theory (infrared instability)
 - no "convergence" of series since kinetic and interaction energies comparable in this sector
- issue of finite-volume constraints in lattice gauge theory
 - correlations mediated by soft magnetic sector insufficiently probed by available lattice sizes

nonperturbative Yang-Mills thermodynamics: SU(2)

[Herbst & RH (2004), RH (2005-2007), Giacosa & RH (2006), Schwarz, Giacosa & RH (2007), Ludescher & RH (2008), Falquez, Baumbach & RH (2010- 2011), RH (2012), Krasowski & RH (2013), Grandou & RH (2015), RH (2016)]

thermal ground state at high temperature:

- Euclidean action:

$$S = {{
m tr}\over 2} \int_0^eta d au \int d^3x \, F_{\mu
u} F_{\mu
u} \,,$$
 ($eta \equiv 1/T$)
where $F_{\mu
u} \equiv \partial_\mu A_
u - \partial_
u A_\mu - ig[A_\mu, A_
u]$ [Schafer et Shuryak (1996)

nonperturbative Yang-Mills thermodynamics: SU(2)

[Herbst & RH (2004), RH (2005-2007), Giacosa & RH (2006), Schwarz, Giacosa & RH (2007), Ludescher & RH (2008), Falquez, Baumbach & RH (2010- 2011), RH (2012), Krasowski & RH (2013), Grandou & RH (2015), RH (2016)]

thermal ground state at high temperature:

- Euclidean action:

$$S = {{
m tr}\over 2} \int_0^eta d au \int d^3x \, F_{\mu
u} F_{\mu
u} \,,$$
 ($eta \equiv 1/T$)
where $F_{\mu
u} \equiv \partial_\mu A_
u - \partial_
u A_
\mu - ig[A_\mu, A_
u]$ [Schafer et Shuryak (1996)]

 (anti)selfdual gauge fields: [(anti)calorons]

$$\frac{2}{F_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{$$

($A_{\mu}\,$ periodic)

field configs. stabilized by gauge-field winding: $\partial \mathbf{R}^4 = S_3 \rightarrow SU(2) = S_3$

nonperturbative Yang-Mills thermodynamics: SU(2)

[Herbst & RH (2004), RH (2005-2007), Giacosa & RH (2006), Schwarz, Giacosa & RH (2007), Ludescher & RH (2008), Falquez, Baumbach & RH (2010- 2011), RH (2012), Krasowski & RH (2013), Grandou & RH (2015), RH (2016)]

thermal ground state at high temperature:

- Euclidean action:

$$S = \frac{\mathrm{tr}}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3x \, F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \,, \qquad (\beta \equiv 1/T)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig[A_\mu, A_\nu]$ [Schafer et Shuryak (1996)

 - (anti)selfdual gauge fields: [(anti)calorons]

$$\frac{2}{10} \int J = \frac{1}{10} \int J = \frac{1}$$

($A_{\mu}\,$ periodic)

field configs. stabilized by gauge-field winding: $\partial \mathbf{R}^4 = S_3 \rightarrow SU(2) = S_3$

- in particular: (anti)calorons of winding number unity with action:

$$S = \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \implies$$
 essential zero of weight $\exp[-S]$ in partition function \implies PT ignores these field configs.

Calorons of top. charge unity (selfdual field configs. on $S_1 imes {f R}_3$): (singular g

(singular gauge) ['t Hooft, Rebbi & Jackiw (1977)]

Harrington-Shepard (1977): (trivial holonomy)

$$\begin{split} A_{\mu} &= \bar{\eta}^{a}_{\mu\nu} t_{a} \partial_{\nu} \log \Pi(\tau, r) \\ \text{with} \quad \Pi &= \begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{s}{\beta}\right) + \frac{\rho^{2}}{x^{2}} & (|x| \ll \beta) \\ 1 + \frac{s}{r} & (r \gg \beta) \end{cases} \\ \text{and} \quad s &\equiv \frac{\pi \rho^{2}}{\beta} \,, \ \beta &\equiv \frac{1}{T} \,. \end{split}$$

[Gross, Pisarski & Yaffe (1981)]

Calorons of top. charge unity (selfdual field configs. on $S_1 imes {f R}_3$): (singular gauge)

['t Hooft, Rebbi & Jackiw (1977)]

Harrington-Shepard (1977): (trivial holonomy)

$$\begin{split} A_{\mu} &= \bar{\eta}^{a}_{\mu\nu} t_{a} \partial_{\nu} \log \Pi(\tau, r) \\ \text{with} \quad \Pi &= \begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{s}{\beta}\right) + \frac{\rho^{2}}{x^{2}} & (|x| \ll \beta) \\ 1 + \frac{s}{r} & (r \gg \beta) \end{cases} \\ \text{and} \quad s &\equiv \frac{\pi \rho^{2}}{\beta}, \quad \beta &\equiv \frac{1}{T}. \end{split}$$

[Gross, Pisarski & Yaffe (1981)]

 $\Rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} \text{ that of singular-gauge instanton with } \rho'^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{1 + \frac{1}{3}\frac{s}{\beta}} (|x| \ll \beta)$ (action: $S_c = \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \int_{S_3^2} d\Sigma_{\mu} K_{\mu} = \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2}$ localised about instanton center in $S_1 \times \mathbf{R}_3$)

Calorons of top. charge unity (selfdual field configs. on $S_1 \times \mathbf{R}_3$): (singular gauge)

[<u>'t Hooft, Rebbi & Jackiw (1977)</u>]

Harrington-Shepard (1977): (trivial holonomy)

$$\begin{split} A_{\mu} &= \bar{\eta}^{a}_{\mu\nu} t_{a} \partial_{\nu} \log \Pi(\tau, r) \\ \text{with} \quad \Pi &= \begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{s}{\beta}\right) + \frac{\rho^{2}}{x^{2}} & (|x| \ll \beta) \\ 1 + \frac{s}{r} & (r \gg \beta) \end{cases} \\ \text{and} \quad s &\equiv \frac{\pi \rho^{2}}{\beta}, \quad \beta &\equiv \frac{1}{T}. \end{split}$$

[Gross, Pisarski & Yaffe (1981)]

 $\Rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} \text{ that of singular-gauge instanton with } {\rho'}^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{1 + \frac{1}{3}\frac{s}{\beta}} (|x| \ll \beta).$ (action: $S_c = \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} \int_{S_3^\delta} d\Sigma_\mu K_\mu = \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2}$ localised about instanton center in $S_1 \times \mathbf{R}_3$)

$$E_{i}^{a} = B_{i}^{a} = s \frac{\delta_{i}^{a} - 3 \hat{x}^{a} \hat{x}^{i}}{r^{3}} \quad (r \gg s) \,.$$

(static selfdual dipole-field with dipole moment: $p_i^a = s \, \delta_i^a$)

Nahm (1983), Lee-Lu-Kraan-van-Baal (1998): (nontrivial holonomy) - M

- M and A of finite mass and extent:

$$m_M = 4\pi u, m_A = 4\pi \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta} - u\right)$$

(action density on spatial slice)



Nahm (1983), Lee-Lu-Kraan-van-Baal (1998): (nontrivial holonomy) - M



(action density on spatial slice)



- M and A of finite mass and extent:

$$m_M = 4\pi u, m_A = 4\pi \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta} - u\right)$$

 caloron unstable under Gaussian fluctuations
 [Diakonov et al. (2004)]

Nahm (1983), Lee-Lu-Kraan-van-Baal (1998): (nontrivial holonomy) - M



(action density on spatial slice)



- M and A of finite mass and extent:

$$m_M = 4\pi u, m_A = 4\pi \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta} - u\right)$$

 caloron unstable under Gaussian fluctuations
 [Diakonov et al. (2004)]

Nahm (1983), Lee-Lu-Kraan-van-Baal (1998): (nontrivial holonomy) - M



(action density on spatial slice)



- M and A of finite mass and extent:

$$m_M = 4\pi u, m_A = 4\pi \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta} - u\right)$$

- caloron unstable under Gaussian fluctuations
 [Diakonov et al. (2004)]
- M-A attraction (small holonomy ${\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}}$, likely)
- M-A repulsion (small holonomy \mathcal{U} , unlikely) [Diakonov et al. (2004), RH (2005)]

Nahm (1983), Lee-Lu-Kraan-van-Baal (1998): (nontrivial holonomy) - M



(action density on spatial slice)



- M and A of finite mass and extent:

$$m_M = 4\pi u, m_A = 4\pi \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta} - u\right)$$

- caloron unstable under Gaussian fluctuations
 [Diakonov et al. (2004)]
- M-A attraction (small holonomy ${\mathcal U}$, likely)
- M-A repulsion (small holonomy \mathcal{U} , unlikely) [Diakonov et al. (2004), RH (2005)]
- locus of action within $S_3^\delta \ (\delta \to 0)$

Nahm (1983), Lee-Lu-Kraan-van-Baal (1998): (nontrivial holonomy) - M



(action density on spatial slice)



(M-A separation, caloron center)

- M and A of finite mass and extent:

$$m_M = 4\pi u, m_A = 4\pi \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta} - u\right)$$

- caloron unstable under Gaussian fluctuations
 [Diakonov et al. (2004)]
- M-A attraction (small holonomy ${\mathcal U}$, likely)
- M-A repulsion (small holonomy \mathcal{U} , unlikely) [Diakonov et al. (2004), RH (2005)]
- locus of action within $S_3^\delta~(\delta \to 0)$
- trivial-holonomy limit:
 M massless, A still massive, stable

[Herbst & RH (2004)]

$$\{\hat{\phi}^a\} \equiv \sum_{\pm} \operatorname{tr} \int d^3x \int d\rho \, t^a \, F_{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{0}) \, \left\{(\tau,\vec{0}),(\tau,\vec{x})\right\} \, F_{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{x}) \, \left\{(\tau,\vec{x}),(\tau,\vec{0})\right\}$$

- unique, dimensionless definition of family of phases, where

$$\left\{ (\tau, \vec{0}), (\tau, \vec{x}) \right\} \equiv \mathcal{P} \exp \left[i \int_{(\tau, \vec{0})}^{(\tau, \vec{x})} dz_{\mu} A_{\mu}(z) \right] \quad \text{and}$$
$$\left\{ (\tau, \vec{x}), (\tau, \vec{0}) \right\} \equiv \left\{ (\tau, \vec{0}), (\tau, \vec{x}) \right\}^{\dagger}$$

[Herbst & RH (2004)]

$$\{\hat{\phi}^{a}\} \equiv \sum_{\pm} \operatorname{tr} \int d^{3}x \int d\rho \, t^{a} \, F_{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{0}) \, \left\{(\tau,\vec{0}),(\tau,\vec{x})\right\} \, F_{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{x}) \, \left\{(\tau,\vec{x}),(\tau,\vec{0})\right\}$$

- unique, dimensionless definition of family of phases, where

$$\left\{ (\tau, \vec{0}), (\tau, \vec{x}) \right\} \equiv \mathcal{P} \exp \left[i \int_{(\tau, \vec{0})}^{(\tau, \vec{x})} dz_{\mu} A_{\mu}(z) \right] \quad \text{and}$$
$$\left\{ (\tau, \vec{x}), (\tau, \vec{0}) \right\} \equiv \left\{ (\tau, \vec{0}), (\tau, \vec{x}) \right\}^{\dagger}$$

- magnetic-magnetic correlations contribute only [Herbst & RH 2004]

[Herbst & RH (2004)]

$$\{\hat{\phi}^a\} \equiv \sum_{\pm} \operatorname{tr} \int d^3x \int d\rho \, t^a \, F_{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{0}) \, \left\{(\tau,\vec{0}),(\tau,\vec{x})\right\} \, F_{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{x}) \, \left\{(\tau,\vec{x}),(\tau,\vec{0})\right\}$$

- unique, dimensionless definition of family of phases, where

$$\left\{ (\tau, \vec{0}), (\tau, \vec{x}) \right\} \equiv \mathcal{P} \exp \left[i \int_{(\tau, \vec{0})}^{(\tau, \vec{x})} dz_{\mu} A_{\mu}(z) \right] \quad \text{and}$$
$$\left\{ (\tau, \vec{x}), (\tau, \vec{0}) \right\} \equiv \left\{ (\tau, \vec{0}), (\tau, \vec{x}) \right\}^{\dagger}$$

- magnetic-magnetic correlations contribute only [Herbst & RH 2004]

- uniquely determined, annihilating operator:

$$D = \partial_{\tau}^2 + \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta}\right)^2$$

[Herbst & RH (2004)]

$$\{\hat{\phi}^a\} \equiv \sum_{\pm} \operatorname{tr} \int d^3x \int d\rho \, t^a \, F_{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{0}) \, \left\{(\tau,\vec{0}),(\tau,\vec{x})\right\} \, F_{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{x}) \, \left\{(\tau,\vec{x}),(\tau,\vec{0})\right\}$$

- unique, dimensionless definition of family of phases, where

$$\left\{ (\tau, \vec{0}), (\tau, \vec{x}) \right\} \equiv \mathcal{P} \exp \left[i \int_{(\tau, \vec{0})}^{(\tau, \vec{x})} dz_{\mu} A_{\mu}(z) \right] \quad \text{and}$$
$$\left\{ (\tau, \vec{x}), (\tau, \vec{0}) \right\} \equiv \left\{ (\tau, \vec{0}), (\tau, \vec{x}) \right\}^{\dagger}$$

- magnetic-magnetic correlations contribute only [Herbst & RH 2004]
- uniquely determined, annihilating operator:

$$D = \partial_{\tau}^2 + \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta}\right)^2$$

- $\{\hat{\phi}^a\}$ sharply dominated by cut-off for ρ integration (integral cubically dependent on cut-off)

- no explicit eta dependence in ϕ field dynamics (caloron action!)

- no explicit eta dependence in ϕ field dynamics (caloron action!)
- absorb eta dependence of operator $\,D\,$ into potential $\,V\,$

(BPS and EL yield:



- no explicit eta dependence in ϕ field dynamics (caloron action!)
- absorb eta

(BPS and

dependence of operator
$$D$$
 into potential V
EL yield:
$$\frac{\partial V(|\phi|^2)}{\partial |\phi|^2} = -\frac{V(|\phi|^2)}{|\phi|^2} \Longrightarrow$$

$$V(|\phi|^2) = \frac{\Lambda^6}{|\phi|^2}$$
(Yang-Mills scale constant of integr.)
$$|\phi| = \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda^3\beta}{2\pi}}$$
and

- BPS equation:

$$\partial_\tau \phi = \pm 2i \Lambda^3 t_3 \phi^{-1} = \pm i V^{1/2}(\phi)$$

no **additive** ambiguity in V !

Structure of thermal ground state in SU(2) QYM

- Euclidean time dependence of HS (anti)caloron centers coarse-grains into time dependence of ϕ

- Euclidean time dependence of HS (anti)caloron centers coarse-grains into time dependence of ϕ
- This time dependence can be made trivial by singular but admissible gauge trafo

- Euclidean time dependence of HS (anti)caloron centers coarse-grains into time dependence of ϕ
- This time dependence can be made trivial by singular but admissible gauge trafo
- Such a gauge trafo induces electric $\,{\bf Z}_{2}\,$ sign flip in Polyakov loop

- Euclidean time dependence of HS (anti)caloron centers coarse-grains into time dependence of ϕ
- This time dependence can be made trivial by singular but admissible gauge trafo
- Such a gauge trafo induces electric $\,{\bf Z}_2\,$ sign flip in Polyakov loop
- [dense packing of (anti)caloron centers only affects (anti)caloron peripheries, packing voids (inhomogeneities) reflected by small imaginary radiative corrections to pressure]

effective action (deconfining phase), thermal ground state

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}[a_{\mu}] = \operatorname{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + (D_{\mu}\phi)^2 + \frac{\Lambda^6}{\phi^2}\right)$$

(i) perturbative renormalizability (G^2 highest power in effect. action, propagating part of a_μ adiabatic excitation of thermal ground state) (iil) ϕ 's inertness – no higher dim., mixed operators to mediate 4-momentum transfer between ϕ and a_μ (iii) gauge invariance

[see also RH (2016)]

effective action (deconfining phase), thermal ground state

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}[a_{\mu}] = \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + (D_{\mu}\phi)^2 + \frac{\Lambda^6}{\phi^2}\right)$$

(i) perturbative renormalizability (G^2 highest power in effect. action, propagating part of a_{μ} adiabatic excitation of thermal ground state) (iil) ϕ 's inertness – no higher dim., mixed operators to mediate 4-momentum transfer between ϕ and a_{μ} (iii) gauge invariance [see also RH (2016)]

effective YM equation $D_{\mu}G_{\mu\nu} = ie[\phi, D_{\nu}\phi]$ has ground-state solution:

$$a_{\mu}^{\rm gs} = \mp \delta_{\mu 4} \frac{2\pi}{e\beta} t_3 \qquad (D_{\nu}\phi \equiv G_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0)$$

(centers of HS (anti)calorons packed densely, static peripheries overlap to form $a_{\mu}^{
m gs}$)

$$\implies P_{gs} = -\rho_{gs} = -4\pi\Lambda^3 T \,.$$

interacting small and transient-holonomy (anti)calorons, (collapsing monopoleantimonopole pairs)

(vanishing entropy density of ground state!)

adjoint Higgs mechanism (deconfining phase)



- no off-shell propagation of massive modes (otherwise: momentum transfer to ϕ !)




anatomy of caloron, inferred after spatial coarse-graining:



anatomy of caloron, inferred after spatial coarse-graining:



defining Yang-Mills action: classical, Euclidean gauge-field theory on $S_1 \times \mathbf{R}_3$

defining Yang-Mills action: classical, Euclidean gauge-field theory on $S_1 \times R_3$

small-holonomy (anti)calorons of action ħ constitute effective thermal ground state, mediate interactions (vertices) between effectively propagating modes (BE distributed QF – massiv; low-frequency waves, high-frequency BE distr. QF massless) [Kaviani & RH (2012), Krasowski & RH (2013), Grandou & RH (2015), RH (2016)] defining Yang-Mills action: classical, Euclidean gauge-field theory on $S_1 \times \mathbf{R}_3$

small-holonomy (anti)calorons of action ħ constitute effective thermal ground state, mediate interactions (vertices) between effectively propagating modes (BE distributed QF – massive; low-frequency waves, high-frequency BE distr. QF massless) [Kaviani & RH (2012), Krasowski & RH (2013), Grandou & RH (2015), RH (2016)]

kinematic constraints in (totally fixed) unitary-Coulomb gauge imply that radiative corrections are extremely well controlled

[Schwarz, Giacosa, & RH (2006), Ludescher & RH (2008), Bischer, Grandou, & RH (2017)]



real-world implications

electric-magnetically dual interpretation of U(1) charge:

if SU(2) something to do with photons [RH (2005), Grandou & RH (2015), etc] then **electric-magnetically dual** interpretation required: in units $c = \epsilon_0 = \mu_0 = k_B = 1$ fine-structure constant

$$\alpha = \frac{Q^2}{4\pi\hbar} \,,$$

real-world implications

electric-magnetically dual interpretation of U(1) charge:

if SU(2) something to do with photons [RH (2005), Grandou & RH (2015), etc] then **electric-magnetically dual** interpretation required:

in units $\,c=\epsilon_0=\mu_0=k_B=1\,$ fine-structure constant

$$\alpha = \frac{Q^2}{4\pi\hbar} \,,$$

for α to be unitless:

$$(e=rac{\sqrt{8}\pi}{\sqrt{\hbar}}$$
 .)

$$Q \propto rac{1}{e} \, .$$

real-world implications

electric-magnetically dual interpretation of U(1) charge:

if SU(2) something to do with photons [RH (2005), Grandou & RH (2015), etc] then **electric-magnetically dual** interpretation required:

in units $c = \epsilon_0 = \mu_0 = k_B = 1$ fine-structure constant

$$\alpha = \frac{Q^2}{4\pi\hbar} \,,$$

for α to be unitless:

$$(e=rac{\sqrt{8}\pi}{\sqrt{\hbar}}$$
 .)

$$Q \propto rac{1}{e} \, .$$

But: magnetic coupling in SU(2)

$$g = \frac{4\pi}{e} \,.$$

SU(2) to be interpreted in an **electric-magnetically dual way**. (e.g., magnetic monopole $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ electric monopole, etc.)

$$|\mathbf{D}_e| = \frac{2s}{V_{\rm cg}} \propto T^{1/2}$$

$$|\mathbf{D}_e| = rac{2s}{V_{
m cg}} \propto T^{1/2}$$

external electric field strength (plane wave):

$$\rho_{\rm gs} = 4\pi T \Lambda^3 = \rho_{\rm EM} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E}_e^2 \Rightarrow |\mathbf{E}_e| \propto T^{1/2}$$

$$|\mathbf{D}_e| = rac{2s}{V_{
m cg}} \propto T^{1/2}$$

external electric field strength (plane wave):

$$\rho_{\rm gs} = 4\pi T \Lambda^3 = \rho_{\rm EM} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E}_e^2 \Rightarrow |\mathbf{E}_e| \propto T^{1/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_0[Q(\mathrm{Vm}^{-1})] \equiv \frac{|\mathbf{D}_e|}{|\mathbf{E}_e|} = \frac{9}{32\pi^2} \frac{\Lambda[\mathrm{m}^{-1}]}{\Lambda[\mathrm{eV}]} (\xi Q)^2 \neq f(T)$$

 $(\xi=19.56)$

similarly for magnetic permeability $\,\mu_{0}$.

 \Rightarrow

Lorentz invariance of thermal ground state.

[Grandou & RH (2015)]

Structure of thermal ground state in SU(2) QYM

However:

 $\mathbf{E}_{e}^{4}\nu\ll8\Lambda^{9}$

(due to wavelength/frequency not probing (anti)caloron centers)

However:

 $\mathbf{E}_{\rho}^{4}\nu \ll 8\Lambda^{9}$

(due to wavelength/frequency not probing (anti)caloron centers)

- with $\Lambda\sim 10^{-4}~{\rm eV}$ (later!) Lorentz invariance of thermal ground state valid only for very limited frequency regime (typically radio)

However:

 $\mathbf{E}_{e}^{4}\nu\ll8\Lambda^{9}$

(due to wavelength/frequency not probing (anti)caloron centers)

- with $\Lambda\sim 10^{-4}~{\rm eV}$ (later!) Lorentz invariance of thermal ground state valid only for very limited frequency regime (typically radio)
- if em wave propagation indeed occurs by undulating repolarisations of dipole densities in SU(2) deconfining thermal ground state then nature must make use of several SU(2) YM factors of hierarchical YM scales

e.g.:
$$\Lambda_{
m CMB} \sim 10^{-4}\,$$
 eV, $\,\Lambda_e \sim 5 imes 10^5\,$ eV, etc.

In thermal situation, wave propagation only in Rayleigh-Jeans regime. One then shows [RH (2016)]

$$\epsilon_0[Q(\mathrm{Vm}^{-1})] \equiv \frac{|\mathbf{D}_e|}{|\mathbf{E}_e|} = \frac{9}{64\pi^2} \frac{\Lambda[\mathrm{m}^{-1}]}{\Lambda[\mathrm{eV}]} (\tilde{\xi}Q)^2 \times \frac{\Lambda^3}{\nu^2 \Delta \nu} \Big[1\Big]$$

In thermal situation, wave propagation only in Rayleigh-Jeans regime. One then shows [RH (2016)]

$$\epsilon_0[Q(\mathrm{Vm}^{-1})] \equiv \frac{|\mathbf{D}_e|}{|\mathbf{E}_e|} = \frac{9}{64\pi^2} \frac{\Lambda[\mathrm{m}^{-1}]}{\Lambda[\mathrm{eV}]} (\tilde{\xi}Q)^2 \times \frac{\Lambda^3}{\nu^2 \Delta \nu} \Big[1\Big]$$



(temperature independence of ϵ_0)

$$\tilde{\xi}^2 = 2\xi^2 \frac{\nu^2 \Delta \nu}{\Lambda^3}$$

Increased screening of dipole charges with decreasing frequency.

Some other physics implications of the deconfining SU(2) Yang-Mills gas

thermal photon gases, fixing of an SU(2) YM scale:



Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) as seen by the Planck satellite mission [ang. res. 5', E and B mode polarisation maps, etc.]



thermal photon gases, fixing of an SU(2) YM scale:





follows from energy conservation in FLRW universe upon deconfining-phase SU(2) equation of state $P = P(\rho)$: [RH (2015)]

$$\frac{d\rho}{da} = -\frac{3}{a}(P+\rho)$$

ITP, Uni Heidelberg, 22 March 2017



follows from energy conservation in FLRW universe upon deconfining-phase SU(2) equation of state $P = P(\rho)$: [RH (2015)]

$$\frac{d\rho}{da} = -\frac{3}{a}(P+\rho)$$

immediate consequences:

- discrepancy resolved between reionisation redshifts as extracted from

(i) fit to TT angular power spectrum of CMB [Planck coll. (2013)]

(ii) Gunn-Peterson trough in high-z quasar spectra [Becker et al (2001)]



follows from energy conservation in FLRW universe upon deconfining-phase SU(2) equation of state $P = P(\rho)$: [RH (2015)]

$$\frac{d\rho}{da} = -\frac{3}{a}(P+\rho)$$

immediate consequences:

- discrepancy resolved between reionisation redshifts as extracted from

(i) fit to TT angular power spectrum of CMB [Planck coll. (2013)]

(ii) Gunn-Peterson trough in high-z quasar spectra [Becker et al (2001)]

- recombination redshift z* shifted upwards by factor $(0.63)^{-1}$

→ since freeze-out condition $\Gamma_{\text{Thomson}} \sim \Gamma_{\text{Thomson}}(T_*) = H(z_*)$ (with Saha-equ. estimate for Γ_{Thomson})



follows from energy conservation in FLRW universe upon deconfining-phase SU(2) equation of state $P = P(\rho)$: [RH (2015)]

$$\frac{d\rho}{da} = -\frac{3}{a}(P+\rho)$$

immediate consequences:

- discrepancy resolved between reionisation redshifts as extracted from
 - (i) fit to TT angular power spectrum of CMB [Planck coll. (2013)]
 - (ii) Gunn-Peterson trough in high-z quasar spectra [Becker et al (2001)]
- recombination redshift z* shifted upwards by factor $(0.63)^{-1}$
 - → since freeze-out condition $\Gamma_{\text{Thomson}} \sim \Gamma_{\text{Thomson}}(T_*) = H(z_*)$ (with Saha-equ. estimate for Γ_{Thomson})
 - \rightarrow *H* is as in old Λ CDM (matter dominated) model



follows from energy conservation in FLRW universe upon deconfining-phase SU(2) equation of state $P = P(\rho)$: [RH (2015)]

$$\frac{d\rho}{da} = -\frac{3}{a}(P+\rho)$$

immediate consequences:

- discrepancy resolved between reionisation redshifts as extracted from
 - (i) fit to TT angular power spectrum of CMB [Planck coll. (2013)]
 - (ii) Gunn-Peterson trough in high-z quasar spectra [Becker et al (2001)]
- recombination redshift z* shifted upwards by factor $(0.63)^{-1}$
 - → since freeze-out condition $\Gamma_{\text{Thomson}} \sim \Gamma_{\text{Thomson}}(T_*) = H(z_*)$ (with Saha-equ. estimate for Γ_{Thomson})
 - $\rightarrow~H$ is as in old $\Lambda CDM~$ (matter dominated) model
 - → role of **baryons+dark matter** in ΛCDM played by **baryons only** in new high-z model subject to $SU(2)_{CMB}$

${\rm SU(2)}_{\rm \scriptscriptstyle CMB}$ radiative effects: blackbody spectral anomaly

max. gap in Rayleigh-Jeans reg. at T=5.4 K massless mode – transverse polarizations

[Schwarz, Giacosa & RH (2006), Ludescher & RH (2008), Falquez, RH & Baumbach (2010,2011)]



${\rm SU(2)}_{_{\rm CMB}}$ radiative effects: longitudinal magnetic modes

- low-momentum support of magnetic branches (dual interpretation) massless mode – longitudinal polarization



${\rm SU(2)}_{_{\rm CMB}}$ radiative effects: longitudinal magnetic modes

- low-momentum support of magnetic branches (dual interpretation) massless mode – longitudinal polarization



(astrophysical/cosmological coherence lengths through local breaking of isotropy by biasing negative temperature fluctuations of CMB through blackbody anomaly) [RH, Nature Physics (2013)]

ITP, Uni Heidelberg, 22 March 2017

 alternative to high-T perturbation theory: (anti)caloron induced dynamical gauge SB by thermal ground state

- alternative to high-T perturbation theory: (anti)caloron induced dynamical gauge SB by thermal ground state
- effective thermal quantum field theory for deconfining phase of SU(2) YM

- alternative to high-T perturbation theory: (anti)caloron induced dynamical gauge SB by thermal ground state
- effective thermal quantum field theory for deconfining phase of SU(2) YM
- effective coupling evolution: caloron action \hbar ,
 - caloron mediation of effective vertices,
 - e-m dual interpretation

- alternative to high-T perturbation theory: (anti)caloron induced dynamical gauge SB by thermal ground state
- effective thermal quantum field theory for deconfining phase of SU(2) YM
- effective coupling evolution: caloron action \hbar ,
 - caloron mediation of effective vertices,
 - e-m dual interpretation
- effective radiative corrections: extremely well controlled (see talk by I. Bischer)

- alternative to high-T perturbation theory: (anti)caloron induced dynamical gauge SB by thermal ground state
- effective thermal quantum field theory for deconfining phase of SU(2) YM
- effective coupling evolution: caloron action \hbar ,
 - caloron mediation of effective vertices,
 - e-m dual interpretation
- effective radiative corrections: extremely well controlled (see talk by I. Bischer)
- SU(2) photons: tree-level and one-loop polarization anomalies
 - → CMB anomalies
 - cosmic radio background
 - quasar vs CMB wrt reionization,
 - spectral & integral BB anomalies
 - (CMB at large angles)
 - \rightarrow extragalactic magnetic fields

- alternative to high-T perturbation theory: (anti)caloron induced dynamical gauge SB by thermal ground state
- effective thermal quantum field theory for deconfining phase of SU(2) YM
- effective coupling evolution: caloron action \hbar ,
 - caloron mediation of effective vertices,
 - e-m dual interpretation
- effective radiative corrections: extremely well controlled (see talk by I. Bischer)
- SU(2) photons: tree-level and one-loop polarization anomalies
 - → CMB anomalies
 - cosmic radio background
 - quasar vs CMB wrt reionization,
 - spectral & integral BB anomalies
 - (CMB at large angles)
 - → extragalactic magnetic fields

• other important cosmological implications: value of H_0 and nature of DM, DE

Structure of thermal ground state in SU(2) QYM

(see talk by S. Hahn)

Theory:



(1st ed. World Scientific, 2011;2nd ed. World Scientific, June 2016)

T. Grandou & RH, Adv. Math. Phys. (2015); RH, Entropy (2016); Bischer, Grandou, RH, subm. to Nucl. Phys. B (2017)



(1st ed. World Scientific, 2011; 2nd ed. World Scientific, June 2016)

T. Grandou & RH, Adv. Math. Phys. (2015); RH, Entropy (2016); Bischer, Grandou, RH, subm. to Nucl. Phys. B (2017)

Cosmological implications (CMB photons):

F. Giacosa and RH, Eur. Phys. J. C (2005);
F. Giacosa, RH, M. Neubert, JHEP (2008);
M. Szopa, RH, JCAP (2008);
RH, Ann. d. Physik (2009);
RH, Nature Physics (2013);
RH, Ann. d. Physik (2015);
S. Hahn, RH, Month. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. (under review, 2017)

Thank you !

Theory: