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## Consistency of Effective Theories - Motivation

Effective theories :
$\Rightarrow$ elimination of degrees of freedom - heavy particles

## Consequences

- long range correlations
$\Rightarrow$ higher order derivative terms in the effective action
- low energy - truncation of the gradient expansion Two issues:
$\Rightarrow$ specification of states - boundary conditions
$\Rightarrow$ unitarity of the effective theory
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## Real Scalar Field - Example

## Motivation

Scalar field governed by the action
$S[\phi]=\int d x\left[\phi\left(\sum_{n=0}^{n_{d}} c_{n} \square^{n}\right) \phi(x)-V(\phi(x))\right]$

- time reversal invariant model
- coefficients $c_{n}$ and potential $V(\phi)$ real and $(-1)^{n_{d}} c_{n_{d}}>0$

Free propagator in momentum space
$D(p)=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{n_{d}}(-1)^{n} c_{n}\left(p^{2}\right)^{n}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{d}} \frac{Z_{j}}{p^{2}-m_{j}^{2}}$
$\Rightarrow$ at least one negative $Z$ factor

- negative norm states
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## Linear Space with Indefinite Norm

Linear space H with non-definite metric

1. $\langle u \mid v\rangle=\langle v \mid u\rangle^{*}$
2. $\langle u|(a|v\rangle+b|w\rangle)=a\langle u \mid v\rangle+b\langle u \mid w\rangle$
3. $H=H_{+}+H_{-}$where $H_{ \pm}=\{|u\rangle \mid\langle u \mid u\rangle \gtrless 0\}$ and $\left\langle H_{+} \mid H_{-}\right\rangle=0$
4. $|u\rangle=\left|u_{+}\right\rangle+\left|u_{-}\right\rangle,\left\langle u_{ \pm} \mid u_{ \pm}\right\rangle \gtrless 0$

- basis $\{|n\rangle\}$, non-definite metric $\eta_{m n}=\langle m \mid n\rangle$ where $\eta^{\dagger}=\eta$
- matrix elements $A_{j k}$ of an operator $A$ defined by $\langle m| A|n\rangle=\sum_{k} \eta_{m k} A_{k n}$
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## Motivation

## Self-adjoint and Skew-adjoint Operators

$\Rightarrow$ adjoint $\bar{A}$ and Hermitian adjoint $A^{\dagger}$

$$
\langle u| \bar{A}|v\rangle=\langle v| A|u\rangle^{*} \text { so } \bar{A}=\eta^{-1} A^{\dagger} \eta \neq A^{\dagger}
$$

- Condition : $\bar{A}=\sigma_{A} A$
$\Rightarrow$ valid for self-adjoint operators, $\sigma_{A}=+1$, and skew-adjoint operators, $\sigma_{A}=-1$.
- Eigenvectors : $A|\lambda\rangle=\lambda|\lambda\rangle, A|\rho\rangle=\rho|\rho\rangle$
$\Rightarrow$ relation for the spectrum

$$
\left(\lambda-\sigma_{A} \rho^{*}\right)\langle\rho \mid \lambda\rangle=0
$$
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## Motivation

## Free Particle Dynamics

## Canonical pair of operators $\hat{q}_{\sigma}$ and $\hat{p}_{\sigma}$

$\Rightarrow$ either self- or skew-adjoint
$\Rightarrow$ commutation relation $\left[\hat{q}_{\sigma}, \hat{p}_{\sigma}\right]=i$
Real spectrum
$\Rightarrow \eta\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=\delta\left(q-\sigma q^{\prime}\right)$ and $\eta\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)=\delta\left(p-\sigma p^{\prime}\right)$
Closing relations in coordinate and momentum space
$\mathbb{1}=\int d q|\sigma q\rangle\langle q|=\int d p|\sigma p\rangle\langle p|$
Hamiltonian of harmonic oscillator
$\hat{H}_{\sigma}=\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(\hat{p}_{\sigma}^{2}+\hat{q}_{\sigma}^{2}\right)=\sigma \bar{a}_{\sigma} a_{\sigma}$
where operator $a_{\sigma}=\left(\hat{q}_{\sigma}+i \hat{p}_{\sigma}\right) / \sqrt{2}$ and $\left[a_{\sigma}, \bar{a}_{\sigma}\right]=\sigma$
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## Bounded Hamiltonian Conditions

Case of $\sigma=+1$ (for $\sigma=-1 a \leftrightarrow \bar{a}$ )

- operators $b=a_{+}, \bar{b}=\bar{a}_{+}$
- eigenstate of self-adjoint operator $\bar{b} b: \bar{b} b|\lambda\rangle=\lambda|\lambda\rangle$
- double infinite series of states $\cdots, b^{2}|\lambda\rangle, b|\lambda\rangle,|\lambda\rangle, \bar{b}|\lambda\rangle, \bar{b}^{2}|\lambda\rangle, \cdots$
with corresponding eigenvalues

$$
\cdots, \lambda-2, \lambda-1, \lambda, \lambda+1, \lambda+2, \cdots \text { of } \bar{b} b
$$

## Bounded Hamiltonian

Series limited on the left or on the right $\rightarrow \lambda$ integer
$\langle\lambda| \bar{b} b|\lambda\rangle=\lambda\langle\lambda \mid \lambda\rangle$ and $\langle\lambda| b \bar{b}|\lambda\rangle=(\lambda+1)\langle\lambda \mid \lambda\rangle$
$\Rightarrow$ either $\lambda \geq 0$ or $\lambda \leq-1$
$\Rightarrow$ either $\operatorname{sign}(\langle\lambda+1 \mid \lambda+1\rangle)=\operatorname{sign}(\langle\lambda \mid \lambda\rangle)$

$$
\text { or } \operatorname{sign}(\langle\lambda-1 \mid \lambda-1\rangle)=-\operatorname{sign}(\langle\lambda \mid \lambda\rangle)
$$
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## Motivation

## Time Reversal Properties of Operators

Time reversal $\Theta$ (way to trace negative norm states)
$\sigma=+1, \Theta: \hat{q} \rightarrow \hat{q}, \hat{p} \rightarrow-\hat{p}, c \rightarrow c^{*}$
$\hat{q}$-type operators

- operators with well-defined time reversal parity : $\Theta A=\tau_{A} A$, with $\tau_{A}= \pm 1$
- Heisenberg representation $\Theta: A(t) \rightarrow \bar{A}(-t)$
$\Rightarrow$ for the time derivative $\tau_{\partial_{0} A}=-\tau_{A}$
$\Rightarrow$ self- or skew-adjoint operators $\Theta: A \rightarrow \bar{A}=\sigma_{A} A$
Time parity and the signature of the linear space $\Rightarrow$

$$
\tau_{A}=\sigma_{A}
$$
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## Yang-Mills-Higgs Model in Euclidean

 Space-timeModel with higher order derivatives
$\Rightarrow$ Yang-Mills and scalar fields, imaginary time
$S\left[\phi, \phi^{\dagger}, A\right]=\int d^{d} x\left[K(D)-\phi^{\dagger} L\left(D^{2}\right) D^{2} \phi+V\left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi\right)\right]$

- $D_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}-i A_{\mu}$ - covariant derivative
- $A_{\mu}=A_{\mu}^{a} \tau^{a}$ - gauge field
- $\tau^{a}$ - generators of the gauge group
- K, L - bounded from below, polynomials of $D$ of order at most $2 n_{d}$ and $2 n_{d}-2$, respectively
- $V\left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi\right)$ - scalar field potential
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## Treatment of Higher Orders of Derivatives

Appearance of higher order time derivatives
$\Rightarrow$ Solution in classical systems :

- introduction of new coordinates for each higher order derivative except the last one

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{j \mu}(x)=D_{0}^{j} A_{\mu}(x) \text { and } \phi_{j}(x)=\partial_{0}^{j} \phi(x) \\
& \text { for } j=0, \ldots, n_{d}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

- time reversal parity of the coordinates

$$
A_{j \mu}(x): \tau=(-1)^{j+\delta_{\mu, 0}} \text { and } \phi_{j}(x): \tau=(-1)^{j}
$$

- applicable also in case of quantum fields by means of path integral for $A_{j \mu}(x)$ and $\phi_{j}(x)$
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## Theory on the Lattice

## Aim

Finding fields with Green functions satisfying Wightman's axioms in real time

- reflection positivity $\Rightarrow$ lattice regularization positivity of the transfer matrix in imaginary time


## Lattice

- fields : $\phi(n)=a \phi(x), \phi^{\dagger}(n)=a \phi^{\dagger}(x)$, $U_{\mu}(n)=U_{-\mu}^{\dagger}(n+\hat{\mu})=e^{i g a A_{\mu}(n)}$,
a - lattice spacing, $\hat{\mu}$ - unit vector in direction $\mu$
- gauge transformation : $\phi(n) \rightarrow \omega(n) \psi(n)$, $\phi^{\dagger}(n) \rightarrow \omega^{\dagger}(n) \psi^{\dagger}(n), U_{\mu}(n) \rightarrow \omega(n+\hat{\mu}) U_{\mu}(n) \omega^{\dagger}(n)$
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## Partition Function of the Model

Partition function
$Z=\int D[U] D\left[\phi^{\dagger}\right] D[\phi] e^{-S_{L}}$
Lattice action

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{L}= & \sum_{n} \sum_{\gamma^{\prime}} a_{\gamma^{\prime}} \operatorname{tr} U_{\gamma^{\prime}}(n)+\sum_{n} \phi^{\dagger}(n) \sum_{\gamma} U_{\gamma}^{\dagger}(n) \phi(n+\gamma) b_{\gamma} \\
& +\sum_{n} V\left(\phi^{\dagger}(n) \phi(n)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma$ - closed and open paths respectively, up to $n_{d}$
$U_{\gamma}(n)$ - the path ordered product of the link variables along this path
Action $S_{L}$ real
$\Rightarrow$ for each $\gamma, \Theta \gamma$ included in the sums with $a_{\Theta \gamma^{\prime}}=a_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{*}$, $b_{\Theta \gamma}=b_{\gamma}^{*}$

## Boundary conditions
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## Identification of Lattice Field Varibles

- regroupment of $n_{d}$ consecutive lattice sites in the time direction with their field variables into a single $n_{d}$-component field $\Rightarrow$ a single blocked time slice
- fields :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{j}(t, \mathbf{n})=\phi\left(n_{d} t+j, \mathbf{n}\right), \\
& U_{j, \mu}(t, \mathbf{n})=U_{\mu}\left(n_{d} t+j, \mathbf{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $t$ integer, $j=1, \cdots, n_{d}$
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## Lattice Action in Terms of New Variables

## Lattice action

$$
S_{L}=\sum_{t}\left[L_{s}(t)+L_{k m}(t)+L_{k g}(t)\right]
$$

where :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{s}(t)=S_{s}\left[U(t), \phi^{\dagger}(t), \phi(t)\right] \\
& L_{k g}(t)=S_{k g}[U(t), U(t+1)] \\
& L_{k m}(t)=\sum_{t, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} \phi_{j}^{\dagger}(t+1, \mathbf{m}) \Delta_{j, k}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} ; U(t), U(t+1)) \phi_{k}(t, \mathbf{n}) \\
& +c . c \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Positivity of transfer matrix condition

For any functional $\mathcal{F}$ of physical fields for positive $t$

$$
\langle 0| \mathcal{F} \Theta[\mathcal{F}]|0\rangle \geq 0
$$
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## Motivation
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## Time Reversal of Field Variables

Time reversal of the functional $\mathcal{F}$
$\Theta \mathcal{F}\left[\phi, \phi^{\dagger}, U\right]=\mathcal{F}\left[\Theta \phi, \Theta \phi^{\dagger}, \Theta U\right]$
with fields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta \phi_{j}(n) & =\phi_{\Theta j}^{\dagger}(\Theta n), \\
\Theta \phi_{j}^{\dagger}(n) & =\phi_{\Theta j}(\Theta n), \\
\Theta U_{j, \mu}(n) & = \begin{cases}U_{\Theta j, \mu}^{\dagger}(\Theta n) & \mu=1,2,3, \\
U_{\Theta j-1, \mu}(\Theta n) & \mu=0, j<n_{d}, \\
U_{j, \mu}(\Theta n-\hat{0}) & \mu=0, j=n_{d},\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and space-time coordinate $n=(t, \mathbf{n})$

$$
\Theta(t, \mathbf{n})=(-t, \mathbf{n})
$$

$\Rightarrow$ site-inversion realization of time reversal $t \rightarrow-t$
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## Functionals with Well Defined Time Inversion

## Parity

Functionals of the type
$\Theta \mathcal{F}\left[\phi(n), \phi^{\dagger}(n), U(n)\right]=\tau_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}\left[\phi(\Theta n), \phi^{\dagger}(\Theta n), U(\Theta n)\right]$
Combinations of local fields satisfying :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{\tau, j}(t, \mathbf{n})=\frac{1}{2}\left[\phi_{j}(t, \mathbf{n})+\tau \phi_{\Theta j}^{\dagger}(t, \mathbf{n})\right], \\
& \phi_{\tau, j}^{\dagger}(t, \mathbf{n})=\frac{1}{2}\left[\phi_{j}^{\dagger}(t, \mathbf{n})+\tau \phi_{\Theta j}(t, \mathbf{n})\right], \\
& U_{\tau, j, \mu}(t, \mathbf{n})=\frac{1}{2}\left[U_{j, \mu}(t, \mathbf{n})+\tau U_{\Theta j, \mu}^{\dagger}(t, \mathbf{n})\right], \quad \mu=1,2,3 \\
& \text { with } j=1, \ldots,\left(n_{d}-1\right) / 2
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Gauge Invariance

Gauge fixing

- gauge transformation
$\omega\left(n_{d} t+j, \mathbf{n}\right)=\left[U_{0}\left(n_{d} t+j-1, \mathbf{n}\right) \cdots U_{0}\left(n_{d} t+1, \mathbf{n}\right)\right]^{\dagger}$
for $2 \leq j \leq n_{d}$ (on the original lattice, with simple time slices)
- cancellation of the time component of the gauge field within the block time slices and $U_{j, 0}(t, \mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ for $j<n_{d}$
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## Proof of Positivity of Transfer Matrix

Lattice action split into three pieces

$$
S_{L}=S_{0}+S_{+}+S_{-}
$$

Time reversal invariance of microscopic dynammics

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{ \pm}[\Psi(t)]=\Theta\left[S_{\mp}[\Psi(t)]\right]=S_{\mp}[\Psi(\Theta t)] \\
& S_{0}[\Psi]=S_{0}[\Psi(\Theta t)]
\end{aligned}
$$

Introducing $\Psi=\left(U, \phi^{\dagger}, \phi\right)$
$\langle 0| \mathcal{F} \Theta \mathcal{F}|0\rangle=\int D[\Psi] e^{-S_{0}[\Psi]} e^{-S_{+}[\Psi]} \mathcal{F}[\Psi] e^{-S_{-}[\Psi]} \Theta[\mathcal{F}[\Psi]]$
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## Proof of Positivity of Transfer Matrix Continued

$\Rightarrow$ Time reversal invariance of the vacuum state $\Theta|0\rangle=|0\rangle$

$$
\langle 0| \mathcal{F} \Theta \mathcal{F}|0\rangle=\int D[\Psi] e^{-S_{0}[\Psi]} e^{-S_{+}[\Psi]} \mathcal{F}[\Psi] \Theta\left[e^{-S_{+}[\Psi]} \mathcal{F}[\Psi]\right]
$$

$$
=\int D_{t=0}[\Psi] \int D_{t>0}[\Psi] e^{-\frac{S_{0}}{2}-S_{+}} \mathcal{F} \Theta \mathcal{F} \int D_{\Theta t>0}[\Psi] e^{-\frac{s_{0}}{2}-S_{+}}
$$

Assuming $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}$ - time-reversal parity of $\mathcal{F}[\Psi]$

$$
\langle 0| \mathcal{F} \Theta \mathcal{F}|0\rangle=\tau_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\int D_{t \geq 0}[\Psi] e^{-\frac{1}{2} S_{0}[\Psi]-S_{+}[\Psi]} \mathcal{F}[\Psi]\right)^{2}
$$

For $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}=1$

$$
\langle 0| \mathcal{F} \Theta \mathcal{F}|0\rangle \geq 0
$$
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## Boundary Conditions

## Positivity of the transfer matrix

$\Rightarrow$ equations valid for each trajectory in the path integral
Boundary conditions :

$$
\Psi\left(t_{f}\right)=\tau_{\Psi} \Psi\left(t_{i}\right)
$$

- periodic (antiperiodic) boundary conditions for time-reversal even (odd) variables
- generalized KMS conditions

Example :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{j}(x)=\partial_{0}^{j} \phi(x), \tau=(-1)^{j} \\
\phi_{j}\left(t_{f}, \mathbf{x}\right)=(-1)^{j} \phi_{j}\left(t_{i}, \mathbf{x}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Conclusion

- Truncation of the gradient expansion of the effective theory (after elimination of heavy particle modes) $\Rightarrow$ question of unitarity
- Reflection positivity demonstrated for the Yang-Mills-Higgs model with higher order derivatives, within the Fock space span by local, time-reversal invariant functionals of the fields acting on the time-reversal invariant vacuum
- Restriction : generalized KMS boundary conditions
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Thank you for your attention!

