
Lattice field theories

Exercise sheet 5 – Simple gauge theories on the lattice

Lectures: Jan Pawłowski
Felipe Attanasio

j.pawlowski@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de
pyfelipe@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

Tutorials: Felipe Attanasio

pyfelipe@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Uni Heidelberg

Please see the supplemental material at the end of this exercise sheet for further explanations and hints.

Exercise 10: The SU(2) Polyakov Chain

Consider the action of the SU(2) Polyakov chain,

$$S = -\frac{\beta}{2} \text{Tr} [U_1 U_2 \cdots U_{N_{\text{links}}}] , \quad (1)$$

where $U_n \in \text{SU}(2)$ for $1 \leq n \leq N_{\text{links}}$ are known as *gauge links*, or just links, and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Use the Metropolis algorithm from exercise sheet 2, reproduced and adapted below, to compute the expectation value of the action,

$$\langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}U S e^{-S} . \quad (2)$$

You can compare your numerical results with the exact one,

$$\langle S \rangle_{\text{exact}} = \beta \frac{I_2(\beta)}{I_1(\beta)} , \quad (3)$$

where I_m are the m th order modified Bessel function of the first kind. Note that $\langle S \rangle$ is independent of N_{links} . You should also observe this in the simulations.

Suggested parameters (but by no means an exclusive or exhaustive list):

- $|\beta| < 1$
- $1 \leq N_{\text{links}} \leq 30$

but feel free to exceed these bounds!

Supplemental material

In this exercise we are dealing with a field whose elements belong to the group $SU(2)$, and the updating procedure should be such that update proposals also belong to the group. Since every element of $SU(2)$ group can be written as the exponential of a member of the $su(2)$ Lie algebra, updating a particular link U_n can be written as

$$U_n \rightarrow R U_n, \quad R = \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^3 \alpha^a \sigma^a \right], \quad (4)$$

with σ^a being the Pauli matrices and α^a real numbers. Note that the update may equally well be written as $U_n \rightarrow U_n R$. Because of the commutation properties of the Pauli matrices the computation of R can be simplified as

$$R = \cos(|\vec{\alpha}|) \mathbb{1} + i \frac{\alpha^a}{|\vec{\alpha}|} \sigma^a \sin(|\vec{\alpha}|), \quad (5)$$

with $\mathbb{1}$ being the 2×2 identity matrix and $|\vec{\alpha}| = \sqrt{(\alpha^1)^2 + (\alpha^2)^2 + (\alpha^3)^2}$. Thus it requires only simple arithmetic and trigonometric functions.

```
matrix U[Nlinks];
for n ← 0 to N_steps do
  for 0 ≤ n < N_links do // sweep over the lattice
    oldAction ← S(U); // save old action
    oldValue ← U[n]; // save old U_n
    R ← randomSU2(); // random SU(2) matrix
    U[n] ← R * U[n]; // random change to U_n within SU(2)
    ΔS = S(U) - oldAction;
    if ΔS > 0 then
      r ← UniformReal(0,1);
      if r > exp(-ΔS) then // reject proposal
        U[n] ← oldValue;
      end
    end
  end
  avgAction ← avgAction + S(U); // update avg. action
end
Obs ← Obs / N_steps;
```

As always, you should skip a number of steps at the beginning, so the system can thermalise. And remember that consecutive configurations in the Markov chain are likely to be correlated, so it is also a good idea to skip some between consecutive measurements.