

2.4 Fluctuations & the Ginzburg criterion

In the derivation of the effective action $\Gamma(\mu)$ in the lowest order (saddle-point approx) we have dropped the second order fluctuation term $\frac{1}{2} H''(\varphi_0) (\varphi - \varphi_0)^2$ in eq. (2.6), p. 44.

Now we go beyond this approximation in the full theory with $Z[B(x)]$ in eq. (2.29), p. 53:

$$\varphi_0(x) = \left. \frac{\delta H_{GL}}{\delta \varphi(x)} \right|_{\varphi_0(x)} = B(x) \quad (2.46)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} H_{GL}[\varphi] - \int d^d x B(x) \varphi(x) &= H_{GL}[\varphi_0] + \int_x B(x) \varphi_0(x) \\ &+ \underbrace{\int_x \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi(x)} \Big|_{\varphi_0} - B(x) \right)}_0 (\varphi(x) - \varphi_0(x)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{x,y} \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi(x) \delta \varphi(y)} (\varphi(x) - \varphi_0(x)) (\varphi(y) - \varphi_0(y)) \\ &+ O((\varphi - \varphi_0)^3) \quad (2.47) \end{aligned}$$

Eq. (2.47) leads to, $\varphi_0 = \varphi_0[B]$

$$Z[B(x)] = e^{-H[\varphi_0] + \int_x B(x)\varphi_0(x)} \det^{-1/2} \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi^2} \cdot \int \mathcal{D}\varphi(x) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_x y \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi^2} (\varphi - \varphi_0)^2 + O((\varphi - \varphi_0)^3)} \quad (2.48)$$

and hence for the Schwinger functional

$$W[B(x)] = \ln Z = -H[\varphi_0] + \int_x B \cdot \varphi_0 - \frac{1}{2} \ln \text{Tr} \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi^2} + \dots \quad (2.49)$$

In eq. (2.49) we have used that

$$\ln \det^{-1/2} \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \ln \det \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi^2} \quad (2.50)$$

Finally, the effective action reads

$$\Gamma[\mu(x)] = H[\mu(x)] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi^2} + \dots \quad (2.51)$$

see p. 61a

$$\Gamma[\mu(x)] = \int_x B(x) \mu(x) - W[B(x)]$$

$$= \int_x B \cdot \mu + H[\varphi_0[B]] - \int_x B \cdot \varphi_0[B]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi^2} [\varphi_0] + \dots$$

$$= \int_x B \cdot \mu + H[\mu] - \int_x B \cdot \mu + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi^2} [\mu] + \dots$$

$$+ \underbrace{\left(H[\varphi_0] - \int_x B \cdot \varphi_0 - H[\mu] + \int_x B \cdot \mu \right)}$$

with $\mu(x) = \varphi_0(x) + \mathcal{O}(u_0)$

$$\parallel$$

$$\frac{\delta W}{\delta B}$$

$$\rightarrow = \mathcal{O}(\mu - \varphi_0)^2$$

$$\text{as } \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi} - B = 0$$

The \dots stand for sub-leading corrections, i.e.

$$\varphi_0 \rightarrow \varphi_0 \left[\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi^2} \right]^{(2)} [\mu=0] + \mathcal{O}(u_0^2)$$

where we have introduced

$$\frac{\delta^n F}{\delta \varphi^n} = F^{(n)}[\varphi]$$

Evaluating eq. (2.51) for constant magnetisation leads to

$$\frac{1}{V} \Gamma(M) = H(M) + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \ln(\rho^2 + \tau_0(T) + \frac{\mu_0}{2} M^2) \quad \text{see p. 62a} \quad + \dots \quad (2.52)$$

- Remarks: (i) Eq. (2.52) is not finite term by term reflecting the necessity of renormalisation
- (ii) This also includes the M -independent terms. Note that they are important for the thermodyn. potential and cannot be simply dropped!

We postpone the issue of renormalisation and discuss M -derivatives of $\Gamma(M)$, indeed we have $\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial M} = B$, $\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial M^2} = G^{-1}(0)$.

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\ln \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi^2} \right] (x, y)$$

Tr in momentum space

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \left[\ln \frac{\delta^2 H}{\delta \varphi^2} \right] (p, p)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \left[\ln \left(p^2 + r_0(T) + u_0/2 \mu^2 \right) \right] \underbrace{(2\pi)^d \delta(p-p)}_{\int d^d x e^{i(p-p)x} = V}$$

uniform μ

$$= \frac{V}{2} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \ln \left(p^2 + r_0(T) + u_0/2 \mu^2 \right)$$

It follows

$$B = r_0(T)M + \frac{u_0}{6} M^3 + \frac{1}{2} u_0 M \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{p^2 + r_0(T) + \frac{1}{2} u_0 M^2} \quad (2.53)$$

and the susceptibility, for $T > T_c : M_0 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi := \chi^{-1} &= \frac{\partial B}{\partial M} \Big|_{B=0} \stackrel{\text{finite}}{=} r_0(T) + \frac{u_0}{2} M_0^2 + \frac{u_0}{2} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{p^2 + r_0(T) + \frac{1}{2} u_0 M_0^2} \\ &\stackrel{\text{is}}{\parallel} \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta M^2} + \mathcal{O}(M_0^2) \text{-terms} \\ &= r_0(T) + \frac{u_0}{2} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{p^2 + r_0(T)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

and hence $T_c \neq T_0$! For the comp. of T_c

we rewrite eq. (2.54) as

$$\chi = r_0(T) + \frac{u_0}{2} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{p^2 + \chi} \quad (2.55)$$

[that is the DSE

$$\tilde{G}^{-1}(0) = \tilde{G}_0^{-1}(0) + \frac{1}{2} u_0 \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \tilde{G}(p) \quad (2.56)$$

$$\text{---} \circ \text{---}^{-1} = \text{---}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \text{---} \circ \text{---}$$

[QFT II
chapter 3.2, p.60 ff]

in the present approximation]

At the phase transition, χ diverges: $r=0$

We have with $r_0(T_c) = \bar{r}_0(T_c - T_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}_0(T_c - T_0) &= -\frac{u_0}{2} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{p^2} \\ &= -\frac{u_0}{2} \frac{\Omega_d}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^\Lambda dp p^{d-3} \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \Lambda \leftarrow \text{cut-off} \\ \int_0^\Lambda \end{array} \right] \\ &= -\frac{u_0 \Omega_d}{2(2\pi)^d} \left[\frac{\Lambda^{d-2}}{d-2} \right] \quad (2.57) \end{aligned}$$

With $\bar{r}_0(T - T_0) = \bar{r}_0(T_c - T_0) + \bar{r}_0(T - T_c)$ and eq. (2.57)

we get from eq. (2.55)

$$\chi = \bar{r}_0(T - T_c) - r \left[\frac{u_0}{2} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{p^2(p^2+r)} \right] \quad C(r) \quad (2.58)$$

$C(r)$ is only infrared finite for $r \rightarrow 0$ for $d > 4$.

(i) $d > 4$: $C(0) < \infty$

$$\Rightarrow \chi = \frac{\bar{r}_0(T - T_c)}{1 + C(0)} \quad (2.58)$$

Critical exponents unchanged: mean-field dominates!

(ii) $d < 4$: $(d > 2)$

$$C(r) = u_0 r^{(d-4)/2} \left[\frac{\Omega_d}{2(2\pi)^d} \int_0^\infty \frac{p^{d-1} dp}{p^2(p^2+1)} \right] \quad (2.60)$$

$- \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\sin(\pi d/2)}$

With eq. (2.60) the relation (2.58) turns

into

$$r = \bar{r}_0 (T - T_c) - u_0 C \cdot \frac{r}{r^{\varepsilon/2}} \quad (2.61)$$

with

$$\varepsilon = 4 - d \quad (2.62)$$

Evidently, eq. (2.61) is incompatible with $r \sim (T - T_c)$ and the critical exponents for $d < 4$ cannot be computed by Landau theory!

In turn, Landau theory is valid for

$$u_0 C / r^{\varepsilon/2} \ll 1 \quad (2.63)$$

Ginzburg criterion

Eq. (2.63) can be written as a bound on $T - T_c$ by substituting $r = \tau_0 (T - T_c)$:

$$\boxed{u_0 C [\tau_0 (T - T_c)]^{(d-4)/2} \ll 1} \quad (2.64)$$

Eqs. (2.63), (2.64) constrain the importance of fluctuations. This is also measured

by the propagator: mean-square fluctuations (variance)

$$\tilde{G}(0) = \int_{x,y} \left[\langle \phi(x) \phi(y) \rangle - \langle \phi(x) \rangle \langle \phi(y) \rangle \right] \quad (2.65)$$

$$= V \int d^d x G(x)$$

Normalised by $\left[\int d^d x \langle \phi(x) \rangle \right]^2$ it yields $\boxed{T < T_c}$

$$\tilde{G}(0) / V^2 \mu_0^2 = \frac{3^2}{V^2} \cdot \frac{u_0}{6 \tau_0 (T_0 - T)}$$

eq. (2.35), p. 55

" (2.42) p. 53

$$\boxed{= \frac{3^{2d} \sim V^2}{V^2} \cdot \frac{u_0}{6 \tau_0} \frac{d-4}{2} (T_0 - T)^{d-4/2} \ll 1} \quad (2.66)$$

Finiteness of $\Gamma(\mu)$:

$$\frac{1}{V} \Gamma(\mu) \approx \frac{1}{2} \tau_0 \mu^2 + \frac{u_0}{4!} \mu^4$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \ln(p^2 + \tau_0 + u_0/2 \mu^2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \mu} \approx \tau_0 \mu + \frac{u_0}{3!} \mu^3 + \frac{u_0 \mu}{2} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{p^2 + \tau_0 + u_0/2 \mu^2}$$

$\tau_0 = \bar{\tau}_0 (T - T_c) + \bar{\tau}_0 (T_c - T_0) = 24 \cdot (2.57), p. 64 \leftarrow \boxed{d > 2}$

$$\approx \bar{\tau}_0 (T - T_c) \mu + \frac{u_0}{3!} \mu^3 + \frac{u_0 \mu}{2} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \left[\frac{1}{p^2 + \tau_0 + u_0/2 \mu^2} - \frac{1}{p^2} \right] + O(u_0^2)$$

$$\approx \bar{\tau}_0 (T - T_c) \mu + \frac{u_0}{3!} \mu^3 - \frac{u_0 \mu}{2} \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{\tau_0 + u_0/2 \mu^2}{p^2 (p^2 + \tau_0 + u_0/2 \mu^2)}$$

- (i) finite for $d < 4$ (superrenormalisable)
- (ii) $d = 4$: renormalisation of u_0 required!
- (iii) $d > 4$: non-renormalisable