

### 4.3 BRST-symmetry & unitarity

In the previous section we have introduced a functional integral approach, that reduces to Gupta-Bleuler in the case of  $U(1)$ .

There we were able to define a positive-definite Hilbert space via projection onto the positive norm states, see QFT 1, chapter 5.2.

We have to extend such a projection procedure to the present  $SU(N)$ -case. But differently, we have to extract the transversal gluons.

How does transversality  $\leftarrow$  gauge symmetry manifest itself in the present case?

Classical gauge invariance:

$$S_{YM}[A^\mu] = S_{YM}[A] \quad (4.56)$$

Infiniterimally: (see eq. (4.20), p. 71)

$$S_{YM}[A - \frac{1}{g} D\omega] + O(\omega^2) \quad (4.57)$$

$$= S_{YM}[A] + \int_x \frac{1}{g} \omega^a D_\nu^{ab} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\nu^b} S_{YM}[A] = S_{YM}[A]$$

It follows: ( $\omega^a(x)$  general)

$$\boxed{D_\nu^{ab} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\nu^b} S_{YM}[A] = 0,} \quad (4.58)$$

that is,  $D \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta A}$  generates infinitesimal gauge transformations. In the U(1) case we have

$$\partial_\nu \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\nu} S_{U(1)}[A] = 0 \quad (4.59)$$

Performing the derivative we get

$$\boxed{\{D_\mu, D_\nu\} F_{\nu\lambda} = 0} \quad F_{\nu\lambda} = \frac{1}{g} [D_\nu, D_\lambda] \quad (4.60)$$

with  $F_{\nu\lambda}^{bc} = F_{\nu\lambda}^a (t^a)^{bc}$  (adjoint rep.).

In  $U(1)$  we have  $\partial_\mu \partial_\nu F_{\nu\lambda} = 0$ .

Now consider the generating functional in eq. (4.46). The ghost fields transform

as

$$C \rightarrow C^U = U \cdot C \cdot U^{-1}, \quad \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{C}^U = U \bar{C} U^{-1} \quad (4.54)$$

Infinitesimally

$$C \rightarrow C + i[\omega, C], \quad \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{C} + i[\omega, \bar{C}] \quad (4.55)$$

$\Rightarrow$  Generator equivalent to (4.58)

$$S_{gh}[C + i[\omega, C], \bar{C} + i[\omega, \bar{C}], A - \frac{1}{g} D\omega] + O(\omega^2) \quad (4.63)$$

$$= S_{gh}[C, \bar{C}, A] + \left\{ \int_x \frac{1}{g} \omega \cdot D \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta A} + i \int_x ([\omega, C] \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta C} + [\omega, \bar{C}] \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{C}}) \right\} S_{gh}[C, \bar{C}, A]$$

We conclude, that the generator of gauge transformations

$$A \rightarrow A^u, \quad c \rightarrow c^u, \quad \bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{c}^u$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{G}^a = \mathcal{D}_\nu^{ab} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\nu^b} - g f^{abcd} \left[ c^b \frac{\delta}{\delta c^d} + \bar{c}^b \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}^d} \right] \quad (4.63)$$

It follows directly from (4.63) that

$$\int d^d x \omega^b(x) \mathcal{G}^b(x) A_\nu(y) = -\mathcal{D}_\nu \cdot \omega(y)$$

$$\int d^d x \omega^b(x) \mathcal{G}^b(x) c(y) = i [\omega(y), c(y)] \quad (4.64)$$

$$\int d^d x \omega^b(x) \mathcal{G}^b(x) \bar{c}(y) = i [\omega(y), \bar{c}(y)]$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & S[A - \frac{1}{g} \mathcal{D}\omega, c + i[\omega, c], \bar{c} + i[\omega, \bar{c}]] - S[A, c, \bar{c}] \\ &= \frac{1}{g} \int d^d x \omega^a \mathcal{G}^a S[A, c, \bar{c}] \end{aligned} \quad (4.65)$$

In the generating functional this leads to 22

$$Z[J, \eta, \bar{\eta}] = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}C \mathcal{D}\bar{C} e^{-S[A, C, \bar{C}] + \int_x (J \cdot A + \bar{\eta} \cdot C - \bar{C} \cdot \eta)}$$

relabel  $(A, C, \bar{C}) \Rightarrow (A^u, C^u, \bar{C}^u)$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}A^u \mathcal{D}C^u \mathcal{D}\bar{C}^u e^{-S[A^u, C^u, \bar{C}^u] + \int_x (J \cdot A^u + \bar{\eta} \cdot C^u - \bar{C}^u \cdot \eta)}$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}C \mathcal{D}\bar{C} e^{-S[A, C, \bar{C}] + \int_x (J \cdot A + \bar{\eta} \cdot C - \bar{C} \cdot \eta)} \quad (4.66)$$

and hence infinitesimally

$$\left. \frac{\delta Z[J, \eta, \bar{\eta}]}{\delta \omega} \right|_{\omega=0} = 0 \quad (4.67)$$

With eq. (4.65) we arrive at

$$\langle \mathcal{G}^a(x) S[A, C, \bar{C}] \rangle = \langle \mathcal{D}_\nu^{ab} J_\nu^b(x) - g f^{abd} (\bar{\eta}^d C^b - \bar{C}^b \eta^d) \rangle$$

$$= \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\nu^{ab} J_\nu^b - g f^{abd} (\bar{\eta}^d \mathcal{C}^b - \bar{\mathcal{C}}^b \eta^d)$$

with  $\bar{A} = \langle A \rangle$

$\mathcal{C} = \langle C \rangle, \bar{\mathcal{C}} = \langle \bar{C} \rangle$

(4.68)

Slavnov-Taylor identity (STI)

Remarks:

(1) Eq. (4.68) also follows as the DSE from translation invariance of the path integral (see p. 60, eqs. (3.5)-(3.9)):

$$\int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}C \mathcal{D}\bar{C} \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu^a} \mathcal{D}_\nu^{ab} f^{abd} \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta c^d} c^b + \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}^d} \bar{c}^b \right) \right) e^{-S + \int_x (J \cdot A)} = 0 \quad (4.69)$$

(2) The expectation value  $\langle \mathcal{G} \cdot S \rangle$  contains, like the DSE for scalar theories, loop terms in full props. & vertices. Note also that

$$\langle \mathcal{G} \cdot S \rangle = \langle \mathcal{G} S_{gh} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{G} S_{gf} \rangle \quad (4.70)$$

(3) Repeating the above analysis for the "ill-defined" generating functional without gauge-fixing we arrive at  $\overline{D}_\nu \cdot J_\nu = 0$  (cov. conserved current) (4.71)

In summary we had to introduce the gauge fixing for removing the gauge redundancy. The STI, eq. (4.68), encodes the information, how the theory reacts to a gauge transformation.

Due to the gauge fixing, the current  $J_\mu^a$  is not covariantly conserved.

However, if we accompany the gauge transformation with a related change of the gauge fixing condition such that  $A \rightarrow A^u$ ,  $F \rightarrow F^u$

with  $F^u(\bar{A}^u) = 0$  for  $F(\bar{A}) = 0$ , the path integral should be invariant!

Note that such a procedure does not change the FP-operator for linear gauges.

This idea is at the root of the BRST-

symmetry (Becchi, Roust, Stora '76, Tyutin '75  
*Ann. Phys.* (Lobbeder Inst. preprint))

For shifting our gauge fixing condition we rewrite our gauge-fixed action, eqs (4.40) p. 79, as

$$S[A, c, \bar{c}, b] = S_{\text{YM}}[A] + S_{\text{gf}}[c, \bar{c}, A] + S_{\text{gf}}[A, b] \quad (4.72)$$

with

$$S_{\text{gf}}[A, b] = \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{3}{2} b^a b^a + b^a \partial_\nu A_\nu^a \right\} \quad (4.73)$$

Evaluating  $S[A, c, \bar{c}, b]$  on the EoM of  $b$  leads to the gauge-fixed action  $S[A, c, \bar{c}]$ :

$$\left. \frac{\delta S[A, c, \bar{c}, b]}{\delta b} \right|_{\bar{b}} = 0 : \bar{b} = \frac{1}{3} \partial_\nu A_\nu \quad (4.74)$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{\text{gf}}[A, \bar{b}] = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} \int_x (\partial_\nu A_\nu^a)^2$$

This analysis also applies to a Gaussian integration over  $b$ : (Exercise)

$$\int \mathcal{D}b e^{-S_{\text{gf}}[A, b]} \simeq e^{-S_{\text{gf}}[A]} \quad (4.75)$$



BRST transformation:

We first notice that under a gauge trafo

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu - \mathcal{D}_\mu \omega \quad \text{we have}$$

absorb  $1/g$  in  $\omega$

$$b^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^a \rightarrow b^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^a - b^a \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \omega^b$$

(4.76)

The shifted term is proportional to the FP-operator.

If  $\omega^b = \varepsilon c^b$  we can absorb this change

$\uparrow$  Grassmann-valued

with  $\bar{c} \xrightarrow{\delta} \varepsilon b$ . It follows that

$$b \cdot \partial_\mu A_\mu + \bar{c} \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu c \xrightarrow[\substack{d: A \rightarrow A - \varepsilon Dc \\ d: \bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{c} + \varepsilon b}]{d: A \rightarrow A - \varepsilon Dc} b \cdot \partial_\mu A_\mu + \bar{c} \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu c \quad (4.77)$$

$$+ \bar{c} \partial_\mu (\mathcal{D}_\mu (A - \varepsilon Dc) - \mathcal{D}_\mu (A)) c$$

with  $\mathcal{D}_\mu (A - \varepsilon Dc) - \mathcal{D}_\mu (A) = -ig [D_\mu \varepsilon c, \cdot]$

This entails that

$$-ig [D_\mu \varepsilon c, c] + \mathcal{D}_\mu \delta c \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \quad (4.78)$$

$$\parallel \quad c \varepsilon c = -\varepsilon c^2$$

$$-ig [(D_\mu \varepsilon c) c + \varepsilon c D_\mu c] = -ig D_\mu \varepsilon c^2$$

Note that

$$c^2 = c^a t^a c^b t^b = c^a c^b \frac{1}{2} [t^a, t^b] \quad (4.79)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} i f^{abc} c^a c^b t^c \neq 0$$

We conclude that eq. (4.78) is satisfied if

$$\mathcal{D}c = ig c^2 \quad (4.80)$$

We summarise the BRST-transformations

gauge trafo:  $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon A = -\varepsilon D \cdot c$

coord. rot.

$$\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon c = \varepsilon ig c^2 \quad (4.81)$$

shift of g.f.

$$\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon \bar{c} = \varepsilon b$$

$$\mathcal{D}b = 0$$

which leave the gauge-fixed action invariant:

$$\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon S[A, c, \bar{c}, b] = 0 \quad (4.82)$$

or

$$\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon S_{\text{YM}}[A] = \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon (S_{\text{gf}}[A, b] + S_{\text{gh}}[c, \bar{c}, A]) = 0$$

How does (4.81) generalise for  $\partial_\nu A_\nu \rightarrow f(A)$ ?

$$(4.83)$$

## Construction of Hilbert space:

In QED we have split the Fock space  $\mathcal{F}$  in physical (transversal) polarisations with creation ops.  $a_{1/2}^+$ , zero-norm states related to  $a_{\pm}^+$ , and negative norm states created by  $a_{\pm}^-$ . Polarisation referred to the momentum vector  $k_{\mu}$ , see chapter 5.2, p. 137-147.

In analogy, we define over Hilbert space states with  $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} |\psi\rangle =: \varepsilon Q |\psi\rangle = 0$   
 $\uparrow$  BRST-operator

The BRST-operator  $Q$  is Grassmann-valued.

Indeed, it increases the number of ghosts by one, see eq. (4.81).

---

Remark:  $Q \hat{O}[A] = 0$  &  $Q S_{\text{YM}}[A] = 0$

relates  $Q$  to gauge trasfos

We define our physical sub space  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{phys}}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\text{phys}} &= \{ |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{F} \mid Q|\psi\rangle = 0 \} \\ &= \text{Ker } Q\end{aligned}\quad (4.84)$$

This is a linear sub-space of  $\mathcal{F}$  (as  $Q$  is linear).

$\mathcal{F}_{\text{phys}}$  contains zero-norm states:  $|\psi_0\rangle = Q|\psi\rangle$

This follows from

$$\boxed{Q^2 = 0} \quad (4.85)$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned}(a) \quad Q^2 A &= -Q \mathcal{D}c = -ig \{ \overset{Q \text{ Grassmann-valued}}{\downarrow} QA, c \} - \mathcal{D}Qc \\ &= 0 \\ (b) \quad Q^2 c &= Q c^2 = ig c^2 c \overset{Q \text{ Grassmann-valued}}{\downarrow} - ig c c^2 = 0 \\ (c) \quad Q^2 \bar{c} &= -Q b = 0 \\ (d) \quad Q^2 b &= 0\end{aligned}\quad (4.86)$$

$Q$  is a derivative (defined by eq. (4.85))

With eq. (4.85) we deduce

$$\langle \psi_0 | \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \psi | Q^2 | \psi \rangle = 0 \quad (4.87)$$

$\Rightarrow$  Physical Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}_{\text{phys}} / \sim \quad (4.88)$$

with  $\sim : |\psi_1\rangle \sim |\psi_2\rangle$  if  $Q(|\psi_1\rangle - |\psi_2\rangle) = 0$ , see def. 5.2, QFTI

This can be rewritten as

$$\boxed{\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}} = \text{Ker } Q / \text{Im } Q,} \quad (4.89)$$

(cohomological construction, think of de Rham

cohomology with  $d = dx_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\nu}$  and  $d^2 = dx_\nu dx_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\nu} = 0$ .

Remarks:

- (i)  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$  does not include anti-ghosts ( $Q\bar{c} = b$ )
- (ii)  $b$  and  $\partial_\nu \bar{c}_\nu$  are equivalent on the EoM of  $b$

(iii)  $\partial, \Delta, \epsilon$  terms allowed, but  
are always equivalent to elements  
of  $\text{Im } \mathbb{Q}$

(iv) Explicit construction of  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$  in  
analogy to Gupta-Bleuler: Kugo-Ojima  
key properties: (a)  $[\mathcal{Q}, H] = 0$

$\Rightarrow$  asymptotic states at  $T \rightarrow -\infty$

(b)  $\mathcal{Q}$  globally defined

assumption in  $\text{KO}$   
topic of current debate

(v) In QED BRST is unnecessary, but  
shows nicely the difference between  
 $U(1)$  and  $SU(N)$ , see e.g. Alkofer, von Smekal  
Phys. Rep.