

4.3 BRST-symmetry & unitarity

In the previous section we have introduced a functional integral approach, that reduces to Gupta-Bleuler in the case of $U(1)$.

There we were able to define a positive-definite Hilbert space via projection onto the positive norm states, see QFT 1, chapter 6.2.

We have to extend such a projection procedure to the present $SU(N)$ -case. But differently, we have to retract the transversal gluons.

How does transversality \Leftarrow gauge symmetry manifest itself in the present case?

Classical gauge invariance:

$$S_{YM}[A^\mu] = S_{YM}[A] \quad (4.56)$$

In finitely: (see eq. (4.20), p. 71)

$$S_{YM}[A + \frac{1}{g} D\omega] + O(\omega^2) \quad (4.57)$$

$$= S_{YM}[A] - \int_x \frac{1}{g} \omega^a D_\nu^{ab} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\nu^b} S_{YM}[A] = S_{YM}[A]$$

It follows: ($\omega^a(x)$ general)

$$\boxed{D_\nu^{ab} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\nu^b} S_{YM}[A] = 0,} \quad (4.58)$$

that is, $D \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta A}$ generates infinitesimal gauge transformations. In the $U(1)$ case we have

$$\partial_\nu \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\nu} S_{U(1)}[A] = 0 \quad (4.59)$$

Performing the derivative we get

$$\boxed{\{D_\mu, D_\nu\} F_{\rho\sigma} = 0} \quad F_{\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{g} [D_\rho, D_\sigma] \quad (4.60)$$

with $F_{\rho\sigma}^{bc} = F_{\rho\sigma}^a (t^a)^{bc}$ (adjoint rep.).

In $U(1)$ we have $\partial_\mu \partial_\nu F_{\rho\sigma} = 0$.

Now consider the generating functional in eq. (4.46). The ghost fields transform

as

$$C \rightarrow C^U = U \cdot C \cdot U^{-1}, \quad \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{C}^U = U \bar{C} U^{-1} \quad (4.61)$$

Infinitesimally

$$C \rightarrow C + i[\omega, C], \quad \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{C} + i[\omega, \bar{C}] \quad (4.62)$$

\Rightarrow Generator equivalent to (4.58)

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{gh} [C + i[\omega, C], \bar{C} + i[\omega, \bar{C}], A + \frac{1}{g} D\omega] + O(\omega^2) \\ &= S_{gh} [C, \bar{C}, A] - \left\{ \int_x \frac{1}{g} \omega \cdot D \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta A} - i \int_x ([\omega, C] \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta C} - [\omega, \bar{C}] \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{C}}) \right\} S_{gh} [C, \bar{C}, A] \end{aligned} \quad (4.63)$$

We conclude, that the generator of gauge transformations

$$A \rightarrow A^u, \quad C \rightarrow C^u, \quad \bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{c}^u$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{G}^a = \mathcal{D}_\nu^{ab} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\nu^b} + g f^{abcd} \left[C^b \frac{\delta}{\delta c^d} + \bar{c}^b \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}^d} \right] \quad (4.63)$$

It follows directly from (4.63) that

$$-\int d^d x \omega^b(x) \mathcal{G}^b(x) A_\nu(y) = \mathcal{D}_\nu \cdot \omega(y)$$

$$-\int d^d x \omega^b(x) \mathcal{G}^b(x) C(y) = i g [\omega(y), C(y)] \quad (4.64)$$

$$-\int d^d x \omega^b(x) \mathcal{G}^b(x) \bar{c}(y) = i g [\omega(y), \bar{c}(y)]$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & S[A + \frac{1}{g} \mathcal{D}\omega, C + i[\omega, C], \bar{c} + i[\omega, \bar{c}]] - S[A, C, \bar{c}] \\ &= -\frac{1}{g} \int d^d x \omega^a \mathcal{G}^a S[A, C, \bar{c}] \end{aligned} \quad (4.65)$$

In the generating functional this leads to $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}$

$$Z[J, \eta, \bar{\eta}] = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}C \mathcal{D}\bar{C} e^{-S[A, C, \bar{C}] + \int_x (J \cdot A + \bar{\eta} \cdot C - \bar{C} \cdot \eta)}$$

relabel

$$(A, C, \bar{C}) \rightarrow (A^u, C^u, \bar{C}^u) = \int \mathcal{D}A^u \mathcal{D}C^u \mathcal{D}\bar{C}^u e^{-S[A^u, C^u, \bar{C}^u] + \int_x (J \cdot A^u + \bar{\eta} \cdot C^u - \bar{C}^u \cdot \eta)}$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}C \mathcal{D}\bar{C} e^{-S[A, C, \bar{C}] + \int_x (J \cdot A + \bar{\eta} \cdot C - \bar{C} \cdot \eta)} \quad (4.66)$$

and hence infinitesimally

$$\left. \frac{\delta Z[J, \eta, \bar{\eta}]}{\delta \omega} \right|_{\omega=0} = 0 \quad (4.67)$$

With eq. (4.64) we arrive at

$$\langle \mathcal{L}^a(x) S[A, C, \bar{C}] \rangle = \langle \bar{D}_\nu^{ab} J_\nu^b(x) + g f^{abd} (\bar{\eta}^d C^b - \bar{C}^b \eta^d) \rangle$$

$$= \bar{D}_\nu^{ab} J_\nu^b + g f^{abd} (\bar{\eta}^d \bar{C}^b - \bar{C}^b \eta^d)$$

with $\bar{A} = \langle A \rangle$ (4.68)

$\bar{C} = \langle C \rangle, \quad \bar{\bar{C}} = \langle \bar{C} \rangle$

Slavnov-Taylor identity (STI)

Remarks:

(1) Eq. (4.68) also follows as the DSE from translation invariance of the path integral (see p. 60, eqs. (3.5)-(3.9)):

$$\int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}C \mathcal{D}\bar{C} \left[\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta A_a^b} \partial_{\nu}^{ab} + g f^{abd} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta c^d} c^b + \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}^d} \bar{c}^b \right) \right) e^{-S + \int_x (J \cdot A)} \right] = 0 \quad (4.69)$$

(2) The expectation value $\langle \mathcal{G} \cdot S \rangle$ contains, like the DSE for scalar theories, loop terms in full props. & vertices. Note also that

$$\langle \mathcal{G} \cdot S \rangle = \langle \mathcal{G} S_{gh} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{G} S_{gf} \rangle \quad (4.70)$$

(3) Repeating the above analysis for the "ill-defined" generating functional without gauge-fixing we arrive at $\overline{D}_\nu \cdot J_\nu = 0$ (cov. conserved current) (4.71)

In summary we had to introduce the gauge fixing for removing the gauge redundancy.

The STI, eq. (4.68), encodes the information, how the theory reacts to a gauge transformation.

Due to the gauge fixing, the current J_μ^a is not covariantly conserved.

However, if we accompany the gauge transformation with a related change of the gauge fixing condition \mathcal{F} such that $A \rightarrow A^u$, $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^u$

with $\mathcal{F}^u(\bar{A}^u) = 0$ for $\mathcal{F}(\bar{A}) = 0$, the path integral should be invariant!

Note that such a procedure does not change the FP-operator for linear gauges.

This idea is at the root of the BRST-

symmetry (Becchi, Roust, Stora '76, Tyutin '75)
 Ann. Phys. Lebedev Inst. preprint

For shifting our gauge fixing condition we rewrite our gauge-fixed action, eq. (4.40) p. 79, as

$$S[A, c, \bar{c}, b] = S_{\text{YM}}[A] + S_{\text{gf}}[c, \bar{c}, A] + S_{\text{gf}}[A, b] \quad (4.72)$$

with

$$S_{\text{gf}}[A, b] = \int d^d x \left\{ -\frac{3}{2} b^a b^a + b^a \partial_\nu A_\nu^a \right\} \quad (4.73)$$

Evaluating $S[A, c, \bar{c}, b]$ on the EoM of b leads to the gauge-fixed action $S[A, c, \bar{c}]$:

$$\left. \frac{\delta S[A, c, \bar{c}, b]}{\delta b} \right|_{\bar{b}} = 0 : \bar{b} = \frac{1}{3} \partial_\nu A_\nu \quad (4.74)$$

$$\Rightarrow S_{\text{gf}}[A, \bar{b}] = \frac{1}{23} \int_x (\partial_\nu A_\nu^a)^2$$

This analysis also applies to a Gaussian integration over b : (Exercise)

$$\int \mathcal{D}b e^{-S_{\text{gf}}[A, b]} \simeq e^{-S_{\text{gf}}[A]} \quad (4.75)$$

BRST transformation:

We first notice that under a gauge transformation

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \underbrace{D_\mu \omega}_{\text{absorb } 1/g \text{ in } \omega}$$

$$b^a \partial_\nu A_\nu^a \rightarrow b^a \partial_\nu A_\nu^a + b^a \partial_\nu D_\nu^{ab} \omega^b$$

(4.76)

The shifted term is proportional to the FP-operator.

If $\omega^b = \varepsilon c^b$ we can absorb this change
 \uparrow Grassmann-valued

with $\bar{c} \xrightarrow{\delta} \varepsilon b$. It follows that

$$b \cdot \partial_\nu A_\nu = \bar{c} \partial_\nu D_\nu c \xrightarrow[\substack{d: A \rightarrow A + \varepsilon Dc \\ d: \bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{c} + \varepsilon b}]{d: A \rightarrow A + \varepsilon Dc} b \cdot \partial_\nu A_\nu - \bar{c} \partial_\nu D_\nu c \\ - \bar{c} \partial_\nu (D_\nu (A + \varepsilon Dc) - D_\nu(A)) c \quad (4.77)$$

$$\text{with } D_\nu (A + \varepsilon Dc) - D_\nu = +ig [D_\nu \varepsilon c, \cdot]$$

This entails that

$$ig [D_\nu \varepsilon c, c] + \partial_\nu \delta c \stackrel{0}{=} 0 \quad (4.78)$$

$$\parallel \quad c \varepsilon c = -\varepsilon c^2 \\ \downarrow \\ ig [(D_\nu \varepsilon c) c + \varepsilon c D_\nu c] = ig D_\nu (\varepsilon c^2)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} c^2 &= c^a t^a c^b t^b = c^a c^b \frac{1}{2} [t^a, t^b] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} i f^{abc} c^a c^b t^c \neq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.79)$$

We conclude that eq. (4.78) is satisfied if

$$\mathcal{D}c = ig c^2 \quad (4.80)$$

We summarise the BRST-transformations

gauge trafo: $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon A = \varepsilon Dc$

coord. rot. $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon c = \varepsilon ig c^2 \quad (4.81)$

shift of g.f. $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon \bar{c} = \varepsilon b$

$$\mathcal{D}b = 0$$

which leave the gauge-fixed action invariant:

$$\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon S[A, c, \bar{c}, b] = 0 \quad (4.82)$$

or

$$\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon S_{\text{YM}}[A] = \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon (S_{\text{gf}}[A, b] + S_{\text{gh}}[c, \bar{c}, A]) = 0 \quad (4.83)$$

How does (4.81) generalise for $\partial_\nu A_\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)$?

Construction of Hilbert space:

In QED we have split the Fock space \mathcal{F} in physical (transversal) polarisations with creation ops. $\alpha_{1/2}^+$, zero-norm states related to α_{\pm}^+ , and negative norm states created by α_{\pm}^- . Polarisation referred to the momentum vector k_{μ} , see chapter 5.2, p. 137-147.

In analogy, we define over Hilbert space states with $\delta_{\varepsilon} |\psi\rangle =: \varepsilon Q |\psi\rangle = 0$
 \uparrow BRST-operator

The BRST-operator Q is Grassmann-valued.

Indeed, it increases the number of ghosts by one, see eq. (4.81).

Remark: $Q \hat{O}[A] = 0$ & $Q S_{\text{ym}}[A] = 0$
 relates Q to gauge trasfos

We define our physical sub space $\mathcal{F}_{\text{phys}}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{phys}} = \{ |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{F} \mid Q|\psi\rangle = 0 \} \quad (4.84)$$

$$= \text{Ker } Q$$

This is a linear sub-space of \mathcal{F} (as Q is linear).

$\mathcal{F}_{\text{phys}}$ contains zero-norm states: $|\psi_0\rangle = Q|\psi\rangle$

This follows from

$$\boxed{Q^2 = 0} \quad (4.85)$$

Proof: Q Grassman-valued

$$(a) \quad Q^2 A = Q \mathcal{D}c = -ig \{ \overset{Q \text{ Grassman-valued}}{\downarrow} Q A, c \} + \mathcal{D} Q c$$

$$= 0$$

$$(b) \quad Q^2 c = ig Q c^2 = -g^2 c^2 c \overset{Q \text{ Grassman-valued}}{\downarrow} + g^2 c c^2 = 0$$

$$(c) \quad Q^2 \bar{c} = Q b = 0 \quad (4.86)$$

$$(d) \quad Q^2 b = 0$$

Q is a derivative (defined by eq. (4.85))

With eq. (4.85) we deduce

$$\langle \psi_0 | \psi_0 \rangle \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} \langle \psi | Q^2 | \psi \rangle = 0 \quad (4.87)$$

\Rightarrow Physical Hilbert space \mathcal{H} :

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}_{\text{phys}} / \sim \quad (4.88)$$

with $\sim : |\psi_1\rangle \sim |\psi_2\rangle$ if $Q(|\psi_1\rangle - |\psi_2\rangle) = 0$, see def. 5.2, QFT.

This can be rewritten as

$$\boxed{\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}} = \text{Ker } Q / \text{Im } Q,} \quad (4.89)$$

(cohomological construction, think of de Rham cohomology with $d = dx_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\nu}$ and $d^2 = dx_\nu dx_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\nu} = 0$)

Remarks:

- (i) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$ does not include anti-ghosts ($Q\bar{c} = b$)
- (ii) b and $\partial_\nu A_\nu$ are equivalent on the EoM of b

(iii) $\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu$ & c terms allowed, but
are always equivalent to elements
of $\text{Im } \mathbb{Q}$

(iv) Explicit construction of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$ in
analogy to Gupta-Bleuler: Ugo-Ojima
Key properties: (a) $[\varphi, H] = 0$

\Rightarrow asymptotic states at $T \rightarrow -\infty$

(b) \mathbb{Q} globally defined

assumption in HQ
topic of current debate

(v) In QED BRST is unnecessary, but
shows nicely the difference between

$U(1)$ and $SU(N)$, see e.g. Alkofer, von Smekal
Phys. Rep.