

4.4 Quantum master equation*

The generating functional shows now BRST invariance,

$$\begin{aligned} Z[J, \eta, \bar{\eta}] &= \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}C \mathcal{D}\bar{C} \mathcal{D}b e^{-S[A, C, \bar{C}, b]} \\ &\quad \cdot e^{\int_x (J \cdot A + \bar{\eta} \cdot C - \bar{C} \eta)} \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}C \mathcal{D}\bar{C} \mathcal{D}b e^{-S[A, C, \bar{C}, b]} \quad (4.92) \\ &\quad \cdot e^{\int_x \{ J \cdot (A + \varepsilon Q A) + \bar{\eta} (C + \varepsilon Q C) - (\bar{C} + \varepsilon Q \bar{C}) \eta \}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\int_x \{ J \cdot \langle \varepsilon Q A \rangle + \bar{\eta} \langle \varepsilon Q C \rangle - \langle \varepsilon Q \bar{C} \rangle \eta \} = 0} \quad (4.93)$$

Again this is seemingly a complicated loop equation, as the expectation values are non-trivial.

However, the derivative property of Q , $Q^2 = 0$ in eq. (4.85) comes to our aid:

We add source terms for $QA, QC, Q\bar{C}$ in Z !

They do not transform under Q due to $Q^2 = 0$.

We then have the generating functional

$$Z[J, \eta, \bar{\eta}, L_A, L_C, L_{\bar{C}}] \quad (4.94)$$

$$= \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}C \mathcal{D}\bar{C} \mathcal{D}b e^{-S + \int_x \{ J \cdot A + \bar{\eta} C - \bar{C} \eta + L_A \cdot QA + L_C QC + L_{\bar{C}} Q\bar{C} \}}$$

$Q\bar{C} = +b$: Source term for b

and eq. (4.93) still holds. The expectation values can be represented as

$$\langle QA \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\delta Z}{\delta L_A}, \quad \langle QC \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\delta Z}{\delta L_C}$$

$$\langle Q\bar{C} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\delta Z}{\delta L_{\bar{C}}} (= \langle b \rangle) \quad (4.95)$$

and we get for eq. (4.93):

$$\int_x \left\{ J \cdot \frac{\delta Z}{\delta L_A} - \bar{\eta} \cdot \frac{\delta Z}{\delta L_C} - \frac{\delta Z}{\delta L_{\bar{C}}} \cdot \eta \right\} = 0 \quad (4.96)$$

In (4.96) we have used that $\bar{\eta} \varepsilon = -\varepsilon \bar{\eta}$, see eq. (4.93). Note also that $\frac{\delta Z}{\delta L_{\bar{C}}} = \langle b \rangle$. For

$L_{\bar{C}} = 0$ this is simply $\langle b \rangle \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} \partial_\mu \bar{A}$ with $\bar{A} = \langle A \rangle$.
Lorentz gauge

Finally we define the effective action, that generates 1PI Green fcts.: (see chapter 3.1, p. 57.)

$$\Gamma[A, C, \bar{C}; L_A, L_C, L_{\bar{C}}] = \left\{ \int d^d x \, \mathcal{J} \cdot A + \bar{\eta} \cdot C - \bar{C} \cdot \eta \right. \\ \left. - \ln Z[\mathcal{J}, \eta, \bar{\eta}, L_A, L_C, L_{\bar{C}}] \right\} \quad (4.97)$$

with

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A}, \quad \bar{\eta} = - \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta C}, \quad \eta = - \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \bar{C}} \quad (4.98)$$

This leads to the master equation

$$\int d^d x \left\{ \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_A} \cdot \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_C} \cdot \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta C} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_{\bar{C}}} \cdot \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \bar{C}} \right\} = 0 \quad (4.99)$$

Grassmann
Grassmann
Grassmann

non-Grassmann
non-Grassmann

which encodes BRST-invariance of the effective action.

Remarks & examples:

(i) Classically, the effective action is the classical action, eq. (4.72),

$$S[A, C, \bar{C}, b; L_A, L_C, L_{\bar{C}}] = S_{\text{YM}}[A] + S_{\text{gh}}[C, \bar{C}, A] + S_{\text{gf}}[A, b] - \int_x \{ L_A \cdot Q A + L_C \cdot Q C + L_{\bar{C}} \cdot Q \bar{C} \} \quad (4.100)$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta L_A} = -Q A, \quad \frac{\delta S}{\delta L_C} = -Q C, \quad \frac{\delta S}{\delta L_{\bar{C}}} = -Q \bar{C} \quad (4.101)$$

and hence $:(\varepsilon) \int_x \{ Q A \cdot \frac{\delta S}{\delta A} + Q C \frac{\delta S}{\delta C} + Q \bar{C} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{C}} \} = 0$ (4.102)

which can be rewritten as $\boxed{\delta_\varepsilon S = 0}$.

Hence, eq. (4.99) implies classical BRST invariance.

(ii) The generator of quantum BRST-transformations

is

$$(S_\Gamma :=) \int_x \left\{ \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_A} \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta A} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_C} \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta C} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_{\bar{C}}} \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{C}} \right\} \quad (4.103)$$

(iii) Quantum action principle:

(1) classical: $\delta_\xi S = 0$

(2) 1-Loop: $\frac{\delta S}{\delta L_A} \cdot \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A} \Big|_{1\text{-loop}} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_A} \Big|_{1\text{-loop}} \cdot \frac{\delta S}{\delta A} + \dots = 0$ (4.104)

$\vdots$
n-loop: $\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_A} \Big|_{n-1\text{-loop}} \cdot \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A} \Big|_{n\text{-loop}} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_A} \Big|_{n\text{-loop}} \cdot \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A} \Big|_{n-1\text{-loop}} + \dots = 0$

(iv) Integrating out b :

$$\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_{\bar{c}}} \Big|_{L_{\bar{c}}=0} = -\langle b \rangle_{L_{\bar{c}}=0} = -\frac{1}{\xi} \partial_\nu A_\nu$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_x \left\{ \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_A} \cdot \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_c} \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta c} - \frac{1}{\xi} \partial_\nu A_\nu \cdot \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \bar{c}} \right\} = 0 \quad (4.105a)$$

The anti-ghost only appears linearly in the generating functional Z . Due to translation

invariance (DSE) it follows that $(\int D A D c D \bar{c} D b \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}} \dots \Big|_{=0})$

$$\langle \partial_\nu D_\nu c \rangle + \eta = 0$$

or in terms of Γ : $\boxed{\partial_\nu \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_{A_\nu}} - \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \bar{c}} = 0} \quad (4.105b)$

Putting eq. (4.105a) and eq. (4.105b) together we arrive at

$$\int_x \left\{ \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_A} \cdot \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta A} + \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_c} \cdot \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta C} - \frac{1}{3} \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial_\nu \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_{A_\nu}} \right\} = 0 \quad (4.105c)$$

In eq. (4.105c) the b -field is integrated out evidently the variation of the anti-ghost simply amounts to a gauge transformation of the gauge fixing term (as it was introduced in eq. (4.77) in the first place.

(v) Transversality: consider the (amputated)

2-point correlation function $\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta A^2}$.

We take a $\frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu^a(x)} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{C}^b(y)}$ -derivative of (4.99) (or (4.105a) at vanishing fields and BRST-sources:

$$\int_Z \left\{ \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta \bar{C}^b(y) \delta L_{A_V}^d(z)} \cdot \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta A_V^d(z) \delta A_\mu^a(x)} + \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta L_{\bar{C}}^d(z) \delta A_\mu^a(x)} \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta \bar{C}^b(y) \delta \bar{C}^d(z)} \right\} = 0 \quad (4.106)$$

with $\left(\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta L_{\bar{C}}^d \delta A_\mu} \right)_\mu^d(z, x) \stackrel{\text{Integrating out } b, \text{ see p. 102, 102a}}{=} -\frac{1}{3} \frac{\delta \partial_\nu A_V^d(z)}{\delta A_\mu^a(x)}.$

$$\left(\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta \bar{C}^b \delta \bar{C}^d} \right)_{(y, z)} \stackrel{\text{eq. (4.105b)}}{=} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{C}^b(y)} \partial_\nu \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L_{A_V}^d(z)} \quad (4.107)$$

$$= \partial_\nu^z \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta \bar{C}^b(y) \delta L_{A_V}^d}$$

In summary:

$$\int_Z \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta L_{A_V}^d(z) \delta \bar{C}^b(y)} \left\{ \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta A_V^d(z) \delta A_\mu^a(x)} + \frac{1}{3} \partial_\nu \partial_\nu \delta(z-x) \right\} = 0$$

$$\text{with } \left(\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma}{\delta L_{A_V}^d \delta \bar{C}^b} \right)_\nu^d(z, y) = \partial_\nu \delta^d(z-y) \delta^{db} + (1\text{-loop}) + \dots \quad (4.108)$$