

---

# Quantum Field Theory 1 – Problem set 8

Lectures: Jan Pawłowski

pawłowski@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

Problem sets: Michael Scherer

scherer@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Uni Heidelberg

due date: 14 December 2016

---

Suggested reading before solving these problems: Chapters 4.2 in the script and/or Chapters 3.2 of *Peskin & Schroeder*.

## Problem 1: Lorentz symmetry $SO(3, 1)$ and $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$

Consider the  $2 \times 2$  matrices

$$\sigma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a space-time coordinate  $x^\mu$  consider the matrix

$$\hat{x} = x_\mu \sigma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} x^0 - x^3 & -x^1 + ix^2 \\ -x^1 - ix^2 & x^0 + x^3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

- Show that every hermitian  $2 \times 2$  matrix can be written in the form (1) for some real  $x^\mu$ .
- Show that  $\det \hat{x} = x_\nu x^\nu$  and that this implies

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} x^\mu y^\nu = \frac{1}{4} [\det(\hat{x} + \hat{y}) - \det(\hat{x} - \hat{y})].$$

- Show for a complex  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $N$  with unit determinant,  $N \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , that

$$\hat{x}' = N \hat{x} N^\dagger$$

is again of the form (1) with  $x'$  linear in  $x$ ,

$$x'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu.$$

- By considering the relations in b) for the matrices  $\hat{x}' = N \hat{x} N^\dagger$  and  $\hat{y}' = N \hat{y} N^\dagger$  show that

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} x'^\mu y'^\nu = \eta_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\mu{}_\rho \Lambda^\nu{}_\tau x^\rho x^\tau = \eta_{\mu\nu} x^\mu y^\nu$$

and that  $\Lambda$  has therefore the properties of a Lorentz-transformation.

e) Define  $\bar{\sigma}^\mu = (\mathbf{1}, -\sigma^i)$  and show the identities

$$\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu + \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{1}_2,$$

$$\text{tr}(\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu) = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}.$$

f) For a given  $N \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  show that the corresponding matrix  $\Lambda$  is given by

$$\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\bar{\sigma}^\mu N \sigma_\nu N^\dagger). \quad (2)$$

From Eq. (2) you can see that there is a unique matrix  $\Lambda \in SO(3, 1)$  for every matrix  $N \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . On the other side,  $N$  and  $-N$  correspond to the same  $\Lambda$ . In fact, there is an isomorphism from  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_2$  (the complex  $2 \times 2$  matrices with  $N$  and  $-N$  identified) to the orthochronous Lorentz group  $SO(3, 1)^\uparrow$  consisting of matrices  $\Lambda$  that conserve the metric tensor with  $\Lambda^0{}_0 > 0$  and  $\det \Lambda = 1$ .

### Problem 2: Dirac algebra

a) Show that the Dirac gamma matrices

$$\gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\sigma}^\mu & \sigma^\mu \\ \sigma^\mu & \bar{\sigma}^\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfy the anticommutation relations

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{1}_4.$$

b) A representation of the Lorentz algebra (see Sheet 7) is given by

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu].$$

Calculate the explicit form of  $S^{0i}$  and  $S^{ij}$ .

c) With the notation of Sheet 7, problem 2, show that

$$[\gamma^\mu, S^{\rho\sigma}] = (M^{\rho\sigma})^\mu{}_\nu \gamma^\nu$$

and that this implies the infinitesimal form of

$$\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \gamma^\mu \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}} = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu \gamma^\nu$$

where  $\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}} = \exp(-\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu})$  is a  $4 \times 4$  matrix in spinor space and  $\Lambda = \exp(-\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta} M^{\alpha\beta})$  is a  $4 \times 4$  matrix in Lorentz space.