# Scalar QED on the lattice in a dual representation

Christof Gattringer

### Karl-Franzens-Universität Graz, Austria

Ydalia Delgado Mercado, Thomas Kloiber, Alexander Schmidt

NPB 869 (2013) 56 PRD 86 (2012) 094506 arXiv:1212.3770 arXiv:1211.3436 PoS LATTICE2012 098 Euclidean path integral, complex action problem and dual representation

• Vacuum expectation values with Feynman's path integral:

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int D[\psi] e^{-S[\psi]} O[\psi]$$

 $\bullet$  In a Monte Carlo simulation observables are computed as averages over field configurations  $\psi$  distributed according to

$$P[\psi] = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-S[\psi]}$$

• For finite chemical potential  $\mu$  the action  $S[\psi]$  is complex and the Boltzmann factor cannot be used as probability weight in a stochastic process.

Rewriting a system in terms of new variables where only real and positive terms appear in the partition sum could overcome the complex action problem.

# Charged scalar in a background field

• Continuum action:

$$S = \int d^4x \Big\{ -\phi(x)^* \Big[ \partial_\nu + iA_\nu(x) \Big] \Big[ \partial_\nu + iA_\nu(x) \Big] \phi(x) \\ + [m^2 - \mu^2] |\phi(x)|^2 + \lambda |\phi(x)|^4 \Big\} + i\mu N$$

• Action on the lattice:

$$S = \sum_{x} \left[ \kappa |\phi_{x}|^{2} + \lambda |\phi_{x}|^{4} - \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( \phi_{x}^{\star} U_{j,x} \phi_{x+\hat{j}} + \phi_{x}^{\star} U_{j,x-\hat{j}}^{\star} \phi_{x-\hat{j}} \right) - \phi_{x}^{\star} e^{-\mu} U_{4,x} \phi_{x+\hat{4}} - \phi_{x}^{\star} e^{\mu} U_{4,x-\hat{4}}^{\star} \phi_{x-\hat{4}} \right]$$

 $\phi_x \in \mathbb{Z}, U_{x,\nu} \in U(1)$ 

# Dual representation -I

• Expand the individual nearest neighbor terms:

$$e^{e^{-\mu\delta_{\nu,4}}\phi_{x}^{\star}U_{\nu,x}\phi_{x+\widehat{\nu}}} = \sum_{j_{x,\nu}=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^{-\mu\delta_{\nu,4}})^{j_{x,\nu}}}{(j_{x,\nu})!} (U_{\nu,x})^{j_{x,\nu}} (\phi_{x})^{j_{x,\nu}} (\phi_{x+\widehat{\nu}}^{\star})^{j_{x,\nu}}}$$
$$e^{e^{\mu\delta_{\nu,4}}\phi_{x}^{\star}U_{\nu,x-\widehat{\nu}}^{\star}\phi_{x-\widehat{\nu}}} = \sum_{\overline{j}_{x,\nu}=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^{\mu\delta_{\nu,4}})^{\overline{j}_{x,\nu}}}{(\overline{j}_{x,\nu})!} (U_{\nu,x-\widehat{\nu}}^{\star})^{\overline{j}_{x,\nu}} (\phi_{x})^{\overline{j}_{x,\nu}} (\phi_{x-\widehat{\nu}}^{\star})^{\overline{j}_{x,\nu}}}$$

- Idea: Use the  $j_{x,\nu}$  and  $\overline{j}_{x,\nu}$  as the new degrees of freedom.
- Remaining  $\phi$ -integrals at a site x :

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} d\phi_x \ e^{-\kappa |\phi_x|^2 - \lambda |\phi_x|^4} \ (\phi_x)^{F(j,\overline{j})} \ (\phi_x^{\star})^{\overline{F}(j,\overline{j})}$$

 $F_x(j,\overline{j}), \overline{F}_x(j,\overline{j}) \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are linear combinations of the j and  $\overline{j}$  variables attached to the site x. They correspond to the total  $j, \overline{j}$ -flux at x.

# Dual representation – II

• Using 
$$\phi_x = r e^{i\theta}$$
 the integrals at a site  $x$  read:  

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} d \phi_x e^{-\kappa |\phi_x|^2 - \lambda |\phi_x|^4} (\phi_x)^{F(j,\overline{j})} (\phi_x^{\star})^{\overline{F}(j,\overline{j})} = \int_0^{\infty} dr r^{F_x + \overline{F}_x + 1} e^{-\kappa r^2 - \lambda r^4} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta e^{i\theta [F_x - \overline{F}_x]} = \mathcal{I}(F_x + \overline{F}_x) \delta(F_x - \overline{F}_x)$$

- At every site there is a weight factor  $\mathcal{I}(F_x + \overline{F}_x)$  and a constraint.
- The constraint  $\delta(F_x \overline{F}_x)$  forces the total flux  $F_x \overline{F}_x$  at x to vanish.
- The structure can be simplified by using linear combinations  $k_{x,\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and  $l_{x,\nu} \in \mathbb{N}_0$  of the original variables  $j_{x,\nu}$  and  $\overline{j}_{x,\nu}$ .
- Only the  $k_{x,\nu}$  are subject to constraints.

Dual representation – III (final form)

• The original partition function is mapped exactly to a sum over configurations of the dual variables  $k_{x,\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $l_{x,\nu} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ :

$$Z = \sum_{\{k,l\}} \mathcal{W}(k,l) \, \mathcal{C}(k) \, \prod_{x,\nu} (U_{x,\nu})^{k_{x,\nu}}.$$

• Weight factor (real and positive):

$$\mathcal{W}(k,l) = \prod_{x,\nu} \frac{1}{(|k_{x,\nu}| + l_{x,\nu})! l_{x,\nu}!} \\ \times \prod_{x} e^{-\mu k_{x,4}} \mathcal{I}\left(\sum_{\nu} [|k_{x,\nu}| + |k_{x-\hat{\nu},\nu}| + 2(l_{x,\nu} + l_{x-\hat{\nu},\nu})]\right)$$

• Constraint (only for *k*-variables):

$$\mathcal{C}(k) = \prod_{x} \delta\left(\sum_{\nu} \left[k_{x,\nu} - k_{x-\widehat{\nu},\nu}\right]\right)$$

Admissible configurations are loops:

• Constraint from the integration over the U(1) phases:

$$\forall x : f_x = \sum_{\nu} [k_{x,\nu} - k_{x-\widehat{\nu},\nu}] = 0$$

• Admissible configurations of dual variables are oriented loops of flux:



• The loops are dressed with link variables  $U_{x,\nu}$ . Chemical potential gives different weight to forward and backward temporal flux.

Scalar QED / U(1) gauge Higgs model with 2 flavors

Continuum action:

$$S = \int d^{4}x \Big\{ -\phi(x)^{*} \Big[ \partial_{\nu} + iA_{\nu}(x) \Big] \Big[ \partial_{\nu} + iA_{\nu}(x) \Big] \phi(x) \\ + \big[ m_{\phi}^{2} - \mu_{\phi}^{2} \big] |\phi(x)|^{2} + \lambda_{\phi} |\phi(x)|^{4} \Big\} + i\mu_{\phi} N_{\phi} \\ + \int d^{4}x \Big\{ -\chi(x)^{*} \Big[ \partial_{\nu} - iA_{\nu}(x) \Big] \Big[ \partial_{\nu} - iA_{\nu}(x) \Big] \chi(x) \\ + \big[ m_{\chi}^{2} - \mu_{\chi}^{2} \big] |\chi(x)|^{2} + \lambda_{\chi} |\chi(x)|^{4} \Big\} + i\mu_{\chi} N_{\chi}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \int d^4 x \, F_{\rho\sigma} \, F_{\rho\sigma}$$

Adding gauge d.o.f. in the dual representation

• Two copies of the loop sum integrated over gauge fields:

$$Z = \sum_{\{k,l,\overline{k},\overline{l}\}} \mathcal{W}_{\phi}(k,l) \, \mathcal{W}_{\chi}(\overline{k},\overline{l}) \, \mathcal{C}(k) \, \mathcal{C}(\overline{k})$$

$$\times \int D[U] \exp\left(\beta \sum_{x,\rho<\sigma} \operatorname{\mathsf{Re}} U_{x,\rho} \, U_{x+\hat{\rho},\sigma} \, U_{x+\hat{\sigma},\rho}^{\star} \, U_{x,\sigma}^{\star}\right) \prod_{x,\nu} (U_{x,\nu})^{k_{x,\nu}-\overline{k}_{x,\nu}}$$

• Expansion of the Boltzmann factor ....

$$e^{\beta U_{x,\rho} U_{x+\hat{\rho},\sigma} U_{x+\hat{\sigma},\rho}^{\star} U_{x,\sigma}^{\star}} = \sum_{p_{x,\rho\sigma}} \frac{\beta^{p_{x,\rho\sigma}}}{(p_{x,\rho\sigma})!} \left[ U_{x,\rho} U_{x+\hat{\rho},\sigma} U_{x+\hat{\sigma},\rho}^{\star} U_{x,\sigma}^{\star} \right]^{p_{x,\rho\sigma}}$$

... leads to new integer valued dual variables  $p_{x,\rho\sigma}$  on the plaquettes.

• Integrating the gauge fields  $dU_{x,\sigma}$  gives rise to new constraints that connect  $p_{x,\rho\sigma}, k_{x,\nu}$  and  $\overline{k}_{x,\nu}$  at each link.

#### Dual form of the partition function:

The original partition sum is mapped exactly to a sum over loop and surface configurations:

$$Z = \sum_{\{p,k,l,\overline{k},\overline{l}\}} \mathcal{W}_G(p) \, \mathcal{W}_\phi(k,l) \, \mathcal{W}_\chi(\overline{k},\overline{l}) \, \mathcal{C}_L(p,k,\overline{k}) \, \mathcal{C}_S(k) \, \mathcal{C}_S(\overline{k})$$

 $\mathcal{W}_G(p)$ : plaquette-based weight factor for gauge variables p $\mathcal{W}_{\chi}(k,l), \mathcal{W}_{\phi}(\overline{k},\overline{l}), :$  link-based weight factor for matter variables  $k, l, \overline{k}, \overline{l}$  $\mathcal{C}_L(p,k,\overline{k})$ : link-based constraint  $\Rightarrow$  gauge surfaces  $\mathcal{C}_S(k), \mathcal{C}_S(\overline{k})$ : site-based constraint  $\Rightarrow$  matter loops

$$\mathcal{C}_{L}[p,k,\overline{k}] = \prod_{x,\nu} \delta \left( \sum_{\rho:\nu<\rho} [p_{x,\nu\rho} - p_{x-\hat{\rho},\nu\rho}] - \sum_{\rho:\nu>\rho} [p_{x,\rho\nu} - p_{x-\hat{\rho},\rho\nu}] + k_{x,\nu} - \overline{k}_{x,\nu} \right)$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{S}[k] = \prod_{x} \delta \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{4} [k_{x-\hat{\nu},\nu} - k_{x,\nu}] \right)$$

# An admissible configuration:



# Chemical potential favors flux forward in time.

Generalized worm algorithm for gauge Higgs systems:

Worm starts by inserting a unit of matter flux. Adding segments transports both the site and link defects across the lattice ....



#### Generalized worm algorithm for gauge Higgs systems



Algorithm was tested (arXiv:1211.3436) in the 1-flavor U(1) model and in a  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  gauge Higgs model at finite  $\mu$ . Clearly outperforms local dual update.

#### Bulk observables

- Bulk observables are obtained as derivatives of the free energy with respect to the parameters.
- They have the form of averages and fluctuations of the dual variables.
- Observables related to the particle number:

$$n = \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{N_s^3 N_t} \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \mu} \quad , \quad \chi_n = \frac{\partial n}{\partial \mu}$$

• Observables related to field expectation values:

$$\langle |\phi|^2 \rangle = \frac{-T}{V} \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \kappa} = \frac{-1}{N_s^3 N_t} \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \kappa} , \quad \chi_\phi = \frac{-\partial \langle |\phi|^2 \rangle}{\partial \kappa}$$

• Dual forms:

$$n = \frac{1}{N_s^3 N_t} \left\langle \sum_x k_{x,4} \right\rangle \quad , \quad \langle |\phi|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{N_s^3 N_t} \left\langle \sum_x \frac{\mathcal{I}(f_x + 2)}{\mathcal{I}(f_x)} \right\rangle$$

Checks - I

Simulation with dual variables can be checked with high precision: (here for  $\beta = \infty$ )



#### <u>Checks - II</u>

# Comparison to conventional simulation: $(\mu_{\phi} = \mu_{\chi} = 0, \kappa_{\phi} = \kappa_{\chi} = 9.0, \lambda_{\phi} = \lambda_{\chi} = 0.0)$



Phase diagram at  $\mu = 0$ 

Using: 
$$\kappa_{\phi} = \kappa_{\chi}, \lambda_{\phi} = \lambda_{\chi} = 0.0$$



#### Turning on chemical potential

Using: 
$$\kappa_{\phi} = \kappa_{\chi} = 6.4, \lambda_{\phi} = \lambda_{\chi} = 0.0, \beta = 0.6$$



Silver blaze region that ends in a strong first order transition.

# Summary:

- Considerable progress was made towards rewriting several systems in representations where the partition sum has only real and positive terms.
- Dual degrees of freedom are surfaces for gauge fields and loops for matter.
- Constraints for dual variables can be handled with worm-type algorithms.
- Interesting new algorithmic options when surfaces have boundaries.
- Spectroscopy is under control.
- Systems may serve as solved test cases for other approaches.

# Spectroscopy at finite density ....

.... in case there is time left (unlikely).

Spectroscopy at finite density  $\Rightarrow$  Dual spectroscopy

• Zero momentum propagator

$$C(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle \phi_{\vec{x},t} \phi^*_{\vec{0},0} \rangle \propto e^{-E_0 t}$$
$$\langle \phi_y \phi^*_z \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int D[\phi] e^{-S} \phi_y \phi^*_z = \frac{Z_{y,z}}{Z}$$

• Dual representation of the partition sum  $Z_{y,z}$  with two insertions:

$$Z_{y,z} = \sum_{\{k,l\}} \prod_{x,\nu} \frac{1}{(|k_{x,\nu}| + l_{x,\nu})! l_{x,\nu}!} \prod_{x} \delta \left( \sum_{\nu} [k_{x,\nu} - k_{x-\widehat{\nu},\nu}] - \delta_{x,y} + \delta_{y,z} \right)$$
$$\times \prod_{x} e^{-\mu k_{x,4}} \mathcal{I} \left( \sum_{\nu} [|k_{x,\nu}| + |k_{x-\widehat{\nu},\nu}| + 2(l_{x,\nu} + l_{x-\widehat{\nu},\nu})] + \delta_{x,y} + \delta_{y,z} \right)$$

• Admissible configurations in  $Z_{y,z}$ :

Closed loops of flux plus an open string of flux connecting y and z.

- Since  $Z_{y,z}$  consists of closed loop plus a single open string, every step of the worm corresponds to an admissible configuration for some  $Z_{u,v}$ .
- In our propagators we project to zero momentum, i.e., the spatial lattice indices are summed.
- To compute C(t) one simply evaluates the temporal distance t of head and tail of the worm at every step and C(t) is obtained as a histogram.

What do we expect? Analysis of the free case in the continuum.



• Asymmetry between forward and backward propagation:

$$C(t) \propto \begin{cases} e^{-(m-\mu)t} & \text{for } t > 0\\ e^{+(m+\mu)t} & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

#### Test of free propagators against (lattice) Fourier transformation



Excellent agreement indicates that the finite density propagators computed from the dual representation are under control.  $(16^3 \times 100, m = 1, \lambda = 0)$ 

#### Propagators at non zero coupling



Asymmetric propagation for  $\mu < \mu_c \simeq 0.17$ . Condensation (= constant propagator) for  $\mu$  above  $\mu_c$ . ( $16^3 \times 100$ ,  $\kappa = 7.44$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ )