## Spinor Gravity
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# Unified Theory of fermions and bosons 

## Fermions fundamental

## Bosons composite

- Alternative to supersymmetry
- Composite bosons look fundamental at large distances, e.g. hydrogen atom, helium nucleus, pions
- Characteristic scale for compositeness : Planck mass
- Graviton, photon, gluons, W-,Z-bosons, Higgs scalar : all composite


# massless bound states familiar if dictated by symmetries 

In chiral QCD :
Pions are massless bound states of
massless quarks!

Gauge bosons, scalars ...
from vielbein components
in higher dimensions
(Kaluza, Klein)

concentrate first on gravity

## Geometrical degrees of freedom

- $\Psi(\mathrm{x})$ : spinor field (Grassmann variable)
- vielbein : fermion bilinear

$$
\tilde{E}_{\mu}^{m}=i \bar{\psi} \gamma^{m} \partial_{\mu} \psi
$$

$$
E_{\mu}^{m}(x)=\left\langle\tilde{E}_{\mu}^{m}(x)\right\rangle
$$

## Action

$$
S_{E} \sim \int d^{d} x \operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{E}_{\mu}^{m}(x)\right)
$$

$$
\tilde{E}=\frac{1}{d!} \epsilon^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{d}} \epsilon_{m_{1} \ldots m_{d}} \tilde{E}_{\mu_{1}}^{m_{1}} \ldots \tilde{E}_{\mu_{d}}^{m_{d}}=\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{E}_{\mu}^{m}\right)
$$

contains 2 d powers of spinors

$$
\tilde{E}_{\mu}^{m}=i \bar{\psi} \gamma^{m} \partial_{\mu} \psi
$$ d derivatives contracted with $\varepsilon$ - tensor

## Symmetries

- General coordinate transformations (diffeomorphisms)
- Spinor

K.Akama,Y.Chikashige,T.Matsuki,H.Terazawa (1978)
K.Akama (1978)
D.Amati, G.Veneziano (1981)
G.Denardo,E.Spallucci (1987)


## Lorentz- transformations

Global Lorentz transformations:

- spinor $\psi$
- vielbein transforms as vector
- action invariant

Local Lorentz transformations:

- vielbein does not transform as vector
- inhomogeneous piece, missing covariant derivative

$$
\tilde{E}_{\mu}^{m}=i \bar{\psi} \gamma^{m} \partial_{\mu} \psi
$$

Two alternatives :

# 1) Gravity with global and not local Lorentz symmetry? <br> Compatible with observation! 

2) Action with local Lorentz symmetry? Can be constructed!

## How to get gravitational field equations?

How to determine geometry of space-time, vielbein and metric?

# Functional integral formulation of gravity 

- Calculability
(at least in principle)
- Quantum gravity
- Non-perturbative formulation


## Vielbein and metric

$$
E_{\mu}^{m}(x)=\left\langle\hat{E}_{\mu}^{m}(x)\right\rangle
$$

$$
g_{\mu \nu}(x)=E_{\mu}^{m}(x) E_{\nu m}(x)
$$

## Generating functional

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z[J]=\int \mathcal{D} \psi \exp \left\{-\left(S+S_{J}\right)\right\} \\
S_{J}=-\int d^{d} x J_{m}^{\tilde{E}} \tilde{E}_{\mu}^{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
E_{\mu}^{m}(x)=\left\langle\tilde{E}_{\mu}^{m}(x)\right\rangle=\frac{\delta \ln Z}{\delta J_{m}^{\mu}(x)}
$$

If regularized functional measure can be defined
(consistent with diffeomorphisms)
Non- perturbative definition of quantum gravity

$$
Z[J]=\int \underline{\mathcal{D} \psi} \exp \left\{-\left(S+S_{J}\right)\right\}
$$

## Effective action

$$
\Gamma\left[E_{\mu}^{m}\right]=-W\left[J_{m}^{\mu}\right]+\int d^{d} x J_{m}^{\mu} E_{\mu}^{m}
$$

$W=\ln Z$

## Gravitational field equation

$$
\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta E_{\mu}^{m}}=J_{m}^{\mu}
$$

Symmetries dictate general form of effective action and gravitational field equation

## diffeomorphisms !

Effective action : curvature scalar $\boldsymbol{R}$ + additional terms

## Gravitational field equation

 and energy momentum tensor$$
\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta E_{\mu}^{m}}=J_{m}^{\mu}
$$

$$
T^{\mu \nu}=E^{-1} E^{m \mu} J_{m}^{\nu}
$$

Special case : effective action depends only on metric

$$
\Gamma_{0}^{\prime}\left[E_{\mu}^{m}\right]=\Gamma_{0}^{\prime}\left[g_{\nu \rho}\left[E_{\mu}^{m}\right]\right]
$$

$$
g_{\mu \nu}=E_{\mu}^{m} E_{\nu m}
$$

$$
T^{\mu \nu}=-E^{-1} E^{m \mu} \frac{\delta \Gamma_{0}^{\prime}}{\delta g_{\rho \sigma}} \frac{\delta g_{\rho \sigma}}{\delta E_{\nu}^{m}}=T_{(g)}^{\mu \nu}
$$

# Unified theory in higher dimensions and energy momentum tensor 

- Only spinors, no additional fields - no genuine source
- J ${ }_{\mathrm{m}}$ : expectation values different from vielbein and incoherent fluctuations
- Can account for matter or radiation in effective four dimensional theory (including gauge fields as higher dimensional vielbein-components)


## Approximative computation of field equation

# Loop- and Schwinger-Dyson- equations 

## Terms with two derivatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{(2)}= & \frac{\mu}{2} \int d^{d} x E\{-R \\
& \left.+\tau\left[D^{\mu} E_{m}^{\nu} D_{\mu} E_{\nu}^{m}-2 D^{\mu} E_{m}^{\nu} D_{\nu} E_{\mu}^{m}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## expected

new!
covariant derivative

$$
D_{\mu} E_{\nu}^{m}=\partial_{\mu} E_{\nu}^{m}-\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} E_{\lambda}^{m}
$$

has no spin connection!

## Fermion determinant in background field

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{(1 l)} & =-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \ln (E \mathcal{D}), \\
\mathcal{D} & =\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2 E} \gamma^{m} \partial_{\mu}\left(E E_{m}^{\mu}\right)=\gamma^{\mu} \hat{D}_{\mu}, \\
\gamma^{\mu} & =E_{m}^{\mu} \gamma^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}=\gamma^{m}\left(E_{m}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}-\Omega_{m}\right), \Omega_{m}=-\frac{1}{2 E} \partial_{\mu}\left(E E_{m}^{\mu}\right)
$$

Comparison with Einstein gravity : totally antisymmetric part of spin connection is missing !

$$
\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{D}_{E}[E]+\frac{1}{4} \Omega_{[m n p]}[E] \gamma_{(3)}^{m n p}
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}_{E}[e]=\gamma^{m} e_{m}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}-\frac{1}{4} \Omega_{[m n p]}[e] \gamma_{(3)}^{m n p}
$$

## Ultraviolet divergence

new piece from missing totally antisymmetric spin connection :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{K} & =\frac{\rho^{2}}{64} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\mathcal{D}_{E}^{-1} A_{K} \mathcal{D}_{E}^{-1} A_{K}\right\}=\tilde{\tau} \int d^{d} x e K_{[m n p]} K^{[m n p]} \\
A_{K} & =K_{[m n p]} \gamma_{(3)}^{m n p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{K}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{K}= & -\frac{\rho^{2}}{64} \Omega_{d} \int \frac{d^{d} p}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \frac{p_{\mu} p_{\nu}}{p^{4}} K_{\left[\rho_{1} \rho_{2 \rho 3}\right]} K^{\left[\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}\right]} \\
& \operatorname{tr}\left\{\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{(3)}^{\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \rho_{3}} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma_{(3) \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

naïve momentum cutoff $\Lambda$ :

$$
\tilde{\tau}=\frac{v_{d}(d-6) q_{d}}{24 d(d-2)} A_{d-2} \Lambda^{d-2}
$$

Functional measure needs regularizetion!

## Assume diffeomorphism symmetry preserved :

## relative coefficients become calculable

B. De Witt

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\Gamma_{(2)}= & \frac{\mu}{2} \int d^{d} x E\{-R & \mathrm{d}=4: \\
& \left.+\tau\left[D^{\mu} E_{m}^{\nu} D_{\mu} E_{\nu}^{m}-2 D^{\mu} E_{m}^{\nu} D_{\nu} E_{\mu}^{m}\right]\right\} & & \tau=3
\end{array}
$$

## Gravity with global and not local Lorentz symmetty:

Compatible with observation!

No observation constrains additional term in effective action that violates
local Lorentz symmetty $(\sim \tau)$

## Action with local Lorentz

symmetry can be constructed!

## Time space asymmetry

## unified treatment of time and space -

but important difference between time and space due to signature

## Origin ?

# Time space asymmetry from spontaneous symmetry breaking 

C.W. , PRL , 2004

## Idea : difference in signature from spontaneous symmetry breaking

With spinors : signature depends on

```
signature of Lorentz group
```

- Unified setting with complex orthogonal group:
- Both euclidean orthogonal group and minkowskian Lorentz group are subgroups
- Realized signature depends on ground state !


## Complex orthogonal group

$\mathrm{d}=16, \psi: 256$ - component spinor ,
real Grassmann algebra

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta \psi=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\rho, & -\tau \\
\tau, & \rho
\end{array}\right) \psi \\
\rho=-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{m n} \hat{\Sigma}^{m n}, \tau=\frac{1}{2} \bar{\epsilon}_{m n} \hat{\Sigma}^{m n}
\end{gathered}
$$

SO (16,C)
$\varrho, \tau$ :
antisymmetric
$128 \times 128$ matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{E}^{m n} & =\hat{\Sigma}^{m n} \mathbb{1}, B^{m n}=-\hat{\Sigma}^{m n} I, \\
I & =\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), I^{2}=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Compact part: @
Non-compact part : $\tau$

## vielbein

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{E}_{\mu}^{0}=\psi_{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{\alpha}, \tilde{E}_{\mu}^{k}=\psi_{\alpha}\left(\hat{a}^{k} I\right)_{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{\beta} \\
\left\{\hat{a}^{k}, \hat{a}^{l}\right\}=-2 \delta^{k l}, k, l=1 \ldots 15 \\
\hat{\sum}^{k l}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\hat{a}^{k}, \hat{a}^{l}\right], \sum^{2}, \ldots=-\frac{1}{2} \hat{a}^{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{E}_{\mu}^{\mathrm{m}}=\delta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{m}}: \\
\mathrm{SO}(1,15)-\text { symmetry }
\end{gathered}
$$

however :

# Formulation of action invariant under $\mathrm{SO}(16, C)$ 

- Even invariant under larger symmetry group
SO(128,C)
- Local symmetry !


## complex formulation

## so far real Grassmann algebra

 introduce complex structure by$$
\varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}=\psi_{\hat{\alpha}}+i \psi_{128+\hat{\alpha}}, \varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}^{*}=\psi_{\hat{\alpha}}-i \psi_{128+\hat{\alpha}}
$$

$$
\delta \varphi_{\hat{\alpha}}=\sigma_{\hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta}} \varphi_{\hat{\beta}}, \sigma=\rho+i \tau
$$

$\sigma$ is antisymmetric $128 \times 128$ matrix , generates $\operatorname{SO}(128, C)$

## Invariant action

## (complex orthogonal group, diffeomorphisms )

$S=\alpha \int d^{d} x W[\varphi] R\left(\varphi, \varphi^{*}\right)+c . c .$,

$$
W[\varphi]=\frac{1}{16!} \epsilon^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{16}} \partial_{\mu_{1}} \varphi_{\hat{a}_{1}} \ldots \partial_{\mu_{16}} \varphi_{\hat{a}_{16}} L^{\hat{\alpha}_{1} . . \hat{a}_{16}}
$$

$$
L^{\hat{a}_{11 .} \hat{a}_{16}}=\operatorname{sym}\left\{\delta^{\hat{a}_{1} \hat{a}_{2} \delta^{\hat{a}_{3} \hat{a}_{4}}} \ldots \delta^{\hat{a}_{15}^{a_{0} \hat{1}_{6}}}\right\}
$$

$R\left(\varphi, \varphi^{*}\right)=T(\varphi)+\tau T\left(\varphi^{*}\right)+\kappa T(\varphi) T\left(\varphi^{*}\right)$,

$$
T(\varphi)=\frac{1}{128!} \epsilon^{\hat{\beta}_{1} \ldots \hat{\beta}_{128}} \varphi_{\hat{\beta}_{1}} \ldots \varphi_{\hat{\beta}_{128}}
$$

invariants with respect to SO $(128, C)$
and therefore also
with respect to subgroup SO (16,C)

## contractions with $\delta$ and $\varepsilon-$ tensors

no mixed terms $\varphi \varphi^{*}$

For $\tau=0$ : local Lorentz-symmetry !!

## Generalized Lorentz symmetry

- Example $\mathrm{d}=16$ : $\mathrm{SO}(128, \mathrm{C})$ instead of $\mathrm{SO}(1,15)$
- Important for existence of chiral spinors in effective four dimensional theory after dimensional reduction of higher dimensional gravity
S.Weinberg


## Unification in $\mathrm{d}=16$ or $\mathrm{d}=18$ ?

- Start with irreducible spinor
- Dimensional reduction of gravity on suitable internal space
- Gauge bosons from Kaluza-Klein-mechanism
- 12 internal dimensions : $\mathrm{SO}(10) \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$ gauge symmetry : unification + generation group
- 14 internal dimensions : more $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gener. sym. ( $\mathrm{d}=18$ : anomaly of local Lorentz symmetry )

L.Alvarez-Gaume,E.Witten

# Ground state with appropriate isometries: 

guarantees massless gauge
bosons and graviton in spectrum

## Chiral fermion generations

- Chiral fermion generations according to chirality index
C.W. , Nucl.Phys. B223,109 (1983) ;
E. Witten, Shelter Island conference, 1983
- Nonvanishing index for brane geometries (noncompact internal space)
C.W. , Nucl.Phys. B242,473 (1984)
- and wharping
C.W. , Nucl.Phys. B253,366 (1985)
- $\mathrm{d}=4 \bmod 4$ possible for ${ }^{6}$ extended Lorentz symmetry' ( otherwise only $d=2 \bmod 8$ )


## Rather realistic model known

- $\mathrm{d}=18$ : first step : brane compactifcation

- $\mathrm{d}=6, \mathrm{SO}(12)$ theory : ( anomaly free )
- second step : monopole compactification

- $\mathrm{d}=4$ with three generations, including generation symmetries
- SSB of generation symmetry: realistic mass and mixing hierarchies for quarks and leptons
(except large Cabibbo angle)
C.W., Nucl.Phys. B244,359( 1984) ; B260,402 (1985) ; B261,461 (1985) ; B279,711 (1987)


## Comparison with string theory

- Unification of bosons and fermions
- Unification of all interactions ( $d>4$ )
- Non-perturbative
(functional integral )
formulation
- Manifest invariance under diffeomophisms


## SStrings

ok Sp.Grav. ok
ok
ok

- ok ?
- ok


# Comparison with string theory 

SStrings
ok

- Finiteness/regularization
- Uniqueness of ground state/ predictivity
- No dimensionless parameter ok

Sp.Grav.
-
?

## Conclusions

- Unified theory based only on fermions seems possible
- Quantum gravity -
if functional measure can be regulated
- Does realistic higher dimensional model exist?
- Local Lorentz symmetry not verified by observation


## Local Lorentz symmetry not verified by observation!

## Gravity with global and not local Lorentz symmetty:

Compatible with observation!

No observation constrains additional term in effective action that violates
local Lorentz symmetty $(\sim \tau)$

## Phenomenology, $\mathrm{d}=4$

Most general form of effective action which is consistent with diffeomorphism and global Lorentz symmetry

Derivative expansion

$$
\Gamma=\epsilon \Gamma_{0}+\mu\left(I_{1}+\tau_{A} I_{2}+\beta_{A} I_{3}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \int d^{d} x E\left\{D^{\mu} E_{m}^{\nu} D_{\nu} E_{\mu}^{m}-D_{\mu} E_{m}^{\mu} D^{\nu} E_{\nu}^{m}\right\} \\
& I_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \int d^{d} x E\left\{D^{\mu} E_{m}^{\nu} D_{\mu} E_{\nu}^{m}-2 D^{\mu} E_{m}^{\nu} D_{\nu} E_{\mu}^{m}\right\} \\
& I_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \int d^{d} x E D_{\mu} E_{m}^{\mu} D^{\nu} E_{\nu}^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$I_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} \int d^{d} x e R[g[e]]$

## new

not in one loop SG

## New gravitational degree of freedom

$$
E_{\mu}^{n}=e_{\mu}^{m} H_{m}^{n}
$$

for local Lorentz-symmetry:
H is gauge degree of freedom

$$
\bar{E}=\bar{e} H, H \eta H^{T}=\eta, \operatorname{det} H=1
$$

matrix notation :

$$
g=\bar{e} \eta \bar{e}^{T}, E=\operatorname{det} \bar{E}=\operatorname{det} \bar{e}=e
$$

$$
D_{\mu} e_{\nu}^{n}=0
$$

## new invariants ( only global Lorentz symmetry ): derivative terms for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{mn}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\mu} E_{\nu}^{m} & =e_{\nu}^{n} D_{\mu} H_{n}{ }^{m}, \\
D_{\mu} H_{n}{ }^{m} & =\partial_{\mu} H_{n}{ }^{m}-\omega_{\mu n}^{p}[e] H_{p}{ }^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \int d^{d} x e\left\{D^{p} H^{n m} D_{p} H_{n m}-2 D^{p} H_{n m} D^{n} H_{p}^{m}\right\} \\
& I_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \int d^{d} x e D^{n} H_{n m} D^{p} H_{p}^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
I_{1}=-\frac{1}{2} \int d^{d} x e R[g[e]]
$$

## Gravity with

## global Lorentz symmetry has additional massless field!

## Local Lorentz symmetry not tested!

loop and SD- approximation : $\beta=0$
new invariant $\sim \tau$
is compatible with all present tests!

## Linear approximation ( weak gravity )

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{\mu}^{m}=\delta_{\mu}^{m}+\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{\mu \nu}+a_{\mu \nu}\right) \eta^{\nu^{\prime \prime m}} \\
h_{\mu \nu}=b_{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{(d-1)}\left(\eta_{\mu \nu}-\frac{\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu}}{\partial^{2}}\right) \sigma \\
+\frac{\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} f+\partial_{\mu} v_{\nu}+\partial_{\nu} v_{\mu}}{\partial^{2}} \\
a_{\mu \nu}=c_{\mu \mu}+\partial_{\mu}\left(v_{\nu}+w_{\nu}\right)-\partial_{\nu}\left(v_{\mu}+w_{\mu}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{c}_{\mu \nu}$ couples only to spin
( antisymmetric part of energy momentum tensor )
test would need source with macroscopic spin
and test particle with macroscopic spin

## Post-Newtonian gravity

## No change in lowest nontrivial order in Post-Newtonian-Gravity!

## Schwarzschild and cosmological solutions : not modified !

beyond linear gravity!

## Second possible invariant ( $\sim \beta$ )

 strongly constrained by observation !
## most general bilinear term :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma= & \frac{\mu}{8} \int d^{d} x\left\{\partial^{\mu} b^{\nu \rho} \partial_{\mu} b_{\nu \rho}-\left(\frac{d-2}{d-1}-\beta_{A}\right) \partial^{\mu} \sigma \partial_{\mu} \sigma\right. \\
& \left.+\tau_{A} \partial^{\mu} c^{\nu \rho} \partial_{\mu} c_{\nu \rho}+\beta_{A} \partial^{2} w^{\mu} \partial^{2} w_{\mu}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## dilatation mode $\sigma$ is affected !

For $\beta \neq 0$ : linear and Post-Newtonian gravity modified !

## Newtonian gravity

$$
\Delta \phi=\frac{\rho}{2 \mu} \frac{1-2 \beta_{A}}{1-\frac{3}{2} \beta_{A}}=4 \pi G_{N} \rho=\frac{\rho}{2 \bar{M}^{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{M}^{2}=M_{p}^{2} / 8 \pi \\
\bar{M}^{2}=\frac{1-\frac{3}{2} \beta_{A}}{1-2 \beta_{A}} \mu
\end{gathered}
$$

## Schwarzschild solution

$$
d s^{2}=-B(r) d t^{2}+A(r) d r^{2}+r^{2}\left(d \vartheta^{2}+\sin ^{2} \vartheta d \varphi^{2}\right)
$$

no modification for $\beta=0$ ! strong experimental bound on $\beta$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=1-\frac{r_{s}}{r}, A^{-1}=1-\gamma \frac{r_{s}}{r} \\
& \gamma-1 \approx \beta=(2.1 \pm 2.3) 10^{-5}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cosmology

general isotropic and homogeneous vielbein :

$$
E_{0}^{0}=1, E_{0}^{i}=0, E_{i}^{0}=0, E_{i}^{j}=a(t) \delta_{i}^{j}
$$

$$
H(t)=\dot{a}(t) / a(t)
$$

only the effective Planck mass differs
between cosmology and Newtonian gravity if $\beta \neq 0$

$$
\frac{\bar{M}_{c}^{2}}{\bar{M}^{2}}=1-2 \beta_{A}
$$

Otherwise : same cosmological equations !

## Modifications only for $\beta \neq 0$ !

## Valid theory with global instead of local Lorentz invariance for $\beta=0$ !

General form in one loop / SDE : $\beta=0$
Can hidden symmetry be responsible?

## Geometry

One can define new curvature free connection

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\lambda}=\left(\partial_{\mu} E_{\nu}^{m}\right) E_{m}^{\lambda}
$$

## Torsion

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{\mu \nu \rho}=\left(\partial_{\mu} E_{\nu}^{m}-\partial_{\nu} E_{\mu}^{m}\right) E_{\rho m} \\
\Gamma_{(2)}=\frac{\mu}{2} \int d^{d} x e\left\{-R+\tau^{\prime} T_{[\mu \nu \rho]} T^{[\mu \nu \rho]}\right\} \\
\tau^{\prime} \equiv 3 \tau / 4=9 / 4
\end{gathered}
$$

