Quantum gravity and Standard-Model-like fermions

Stefan Lippoldt

in collaboration with Astrid Eichhorn





Institut für Theoretische Physik

Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg

based on Phys. Lett. B 767, 142 (2017)

March 7, 2017

Motivation & Introduction

- search for observational tests of quantum gravity
- direct observation of, e.g., graviton scattering is difficult
- indirect tests of quantum gravity theories are more feasible
- compatibility of quantum gravity and (existing) matter

- light fermions \leftrightarrow chiral symmetry
- chiral symmetry forbids mass term $m_\psi ar \psi \psi$
- masses are generated by Yukawa interactions and chiral symmetry breaking in QCD
- masses are generated far below the Planck scale
- ⇒ Is chiral symmetry an observational constraint for quantum gravity?
- \Rightarrow Is chiral symmetry implied by quantum gravity?

Non-minimally coupled Fermions

- in the asymptotic safety scenario we need a (UV) fixed point for the action ([$G_{
 m N}$] = -2)
- the beta functions of the gravitational sector depend on the matter content and the respective symmetries
- $\Rightarrow\,$ putting the "wrong" matter can destroy the fixed point and thereby the asymptotic safety scenario

- see what happens if we break chiral symmetry explicitly
- choose truncation according to canonical dimension (polynomial and derivative expansion)

$$\Gamma_{k} = \Gamma_{\text{grav}} + Z_{\psi} \int_{x} \bar{\psi}^{i} \nabla \psi^{i} + \bar{m}_{\psi} \int_{x} \bar{\psi}^{i} \psi^{i} + \bar{\xi} \int_{x} R \bar{\psi}^{i} \psi^{i} + \bar{\zeta} \int_{x} \bar{\psi}^{i} \nabla^{2} \psi^{i}$$

- kinetic term $\bar{\psi}^i \nabla \psi^i = \bar{\psi}^i_L \nabla \psi^i_L + \bar{\psi}^i_R \nabla \psi^i_R$ features $U(N_f)_L \times U(N_f)_R$
- mass term $\bar{\psi}^i \psi^i = \bar{\psi}^i_L \psi^i_R + \bar{\psi}^i_R \psi^i_L$ breaks $U(N_f)_L \times U(N_f)_R \rightarrow U(N_f)$

some technicalities I:

- choose Litim-type regulator
- background field approximation and Einstein-Hilbert truncation

$$\Gamma_{
m grav} = rac{-1}{16\pi G}\int_X (R-2ar\lambda) + S_{
m gf} + S_{
m gh}$$

• metric split:
$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{16\pi G} h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\tau}{2} 16\pi G h_{\mu\rho} h^{\rho}_{\ \nu}$$

 $(\eta_h = 0)$

• gauge fixing:

$$S_{
m gf} = rac{1}{32\pilpha} \int_X ar{g}_{\mu
u} F^\mu F^
u, \qquad F^\mu = \left(ar{g}^{\mu\lambda} ar{D}^\kappa - rac{1+eta}{4} ar{g}^{\lambda\kappa} ar{D}^\mu
ight) h_{\lambda\kappa}$$

- Landau gauge (hard gauge fixing): lpha
 ightarrow 0
- neglect ghost interactions with the other fields ($\eta_c=$ 0)

some technicalities II:

• for the fermion covariant derivative $\nabla_{\mu}\psi^{i} = \partial_{\mu}\psi^{i} + \Gamma_{\mu}\psi^{i}$ we use the spinbase formalism

$$\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} + { \nu \\ \mu
ho } \gamma^{
ho} + [\Gamma_{\mu}, \gamma^{
u}] = 0, \qquad {
m tr} \ \Gamma_{\mu} = 0$$

• need to calculate (in a curved space)

$$G_{\rm grav} = (\Gamma_{\rm grav}^{(2)} + \mathcal{R}_k)^{-1}$$

 \Rightarrow can be done within a curvature expansion:

$$G_{ ext{grav}} \simeq {}^{(n)} ilde{G}_{ ext{grav}}, \qquad {}^{(n)} ilde{G}_{ ext{grav}} ({\sf \Gamma}_{ ext{grav}}^{(2)} + {\cal R}_k) = \mathbb{1} + {\cal O}(R^{n+1})$$

• employ the flow equation

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{STr} \left[(\Gamma_k^{(2)} + \mathcal{R}_k)^{-1} \partial_k \mathcal{R}_k \right]$$

• the flow of the dimensionless fermionic couplings

$$ar{m}_{\psi} = Z_{\psi} k \, m_{\psi}, \qquad ar{\xi} = rac{Z_{\psi}}{k} \, \xi, \qquad ar{\zeta} = rac{Z_{\psi}}{k} \, \zeta$$

is driven by three diagrams



AS with heavy and light Fermions

Non-Chiral Fixed Point ($N_f = 1$) Chiral Fixed Point ($N_f = 1$) chiral fixed-point values $(\tau=0)$ 3.0 fixed-point values $(\tau=0)$ • q. 2.00.0 **g** 1.0-1.0 η₀ -2.0 η_d 0.0 λ. -3.0 -λ. $^{-1.0}$ 0.5 -2.0-1.0-0.50.0 1.0 -0.50.0 0.5 1.0 ο ζ. в ß positive critical exponents $(\tau=0)$ positive critical exponents $(\tau=0)$ 4.04.0 3.0 3.0 θ_1 . θ_1 2.02.0 A. 1.0 θ_3 1.0 . θ).0L. -2.0 0.0L -2.0 -0.51.0-1.50.0 0.5 1.0 -1.0-0.5β





Note: gauge and parametrization dependence increases for larger N_f

 \Rightarrow maybe chiral higher terms become important, e.g, $R ar{\psi}^i
arrow \psi^i$

 $\Rightarrow\,$ maybe the background approximation breaks down

- observe two fixed points (chiral and non-chiral)
- could lead to a UV completion of a fermionic dark matter model
- N_f chiral fermions and N_d non-chiral (dark) fermions

• example:
$$N_f = N_d = 1$$
 $(\beta = 0, \tau = 0)$

$$\begin{aligned} g_* &= 1.36, & \lambda_* = -0.44, \\ m_{d*} &= 0.59, & \xi_{d*} = 0.97, & \zeta_{d*} = 0.97, & \eta_d = -0.38, \\ m_{f*} &= 0, & \xi_{f*} = 0, & \zeta_{f*} = 0, & \eta_f = -0.09 \end{aligned}$$

 four critical exponents corresponding to the four (canonically) relevant operators

$$\sqrt{g}, \qquad \sqrt{g}R, \qquad \sqrt{g}\bar{\psi}_f\psi_f, \qquad \sqrt{g}\bar{\psi}_d\psi_d$$

- for generic quantum gravity models (outside) AS we can use the effective field theory picture
- \bullet analogous to: microscopic model \rightarrow effective low energy degrees of freedom
- \Rightarrow Is chiral symmetry attractive?

- treat g and λ as external parameters
- investigate the stability matrix $-\frac{\partial \beta_{g_i}}{\partial g_i}$ at the chiral fixed point
- the stability matrix has always an IR-repulsive direction for g ∈ (0, 30), λ ∈ (-2, 0.4)
- \Rightarrow Is chiral symmetry attractive? No!
 - chiral symmetry (or an analogue) has to be enforced on the microscopic level

Note: chiral symmetry is a delicate business on the lattice

Summary

- analysis of gravity-matter systems can rule out quantum gravity models with contemporary measurements
- asymptotic safety could imply chiral symmetry in the UV
- analysis of gravity-matter systems is technically **very** challenging
- at this stage dependence on details seems rather strong

Thank you for your attention!