Real-time simulations using complex Langevin **Daniel Alvestad, University of Stavanger Collaborators: Rasmus Larsen and Alexander Rothkopf**





Real-time simulations

Real-time simulations (Minkowski): •

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = Tr[\rho \mathcal{O}] = \frac{1}{Z} \int D\phi$$

- Schwinger-Keldysh contour
- Non-equilibrium
- Thermal equilibrium

• Toy model:
$$\rho(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2 + i\lambda x}$$

• Measure: $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int dx \ \mathcal{O}(x) \rho(x)$
Importance sampling
not possible

R₽(₽) $\rho(\phi_1,\phi_2)$ S_{γ} $\oint \mathcal{O}(x)e^{iS[\phi]}$ S_E $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int D\phi_1 \int D\phi_2 \rho(\phi_1, \phi_2) \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} D\phi^+ D\phi^- \mathcal{O}(\phi) e^{iS[\phi^+] - iS[\phi^-]}$ $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int D\phi_E e^{-S_E} \int D\phi^+ D\phi^- \mathcal{O}(\phi) e^{iS[\phi^+] - iS[\phi^-]}$ $-i\beta$ Im(t)



Complex Langevin, Lefschetz thimbles, flowed manifolds,....

 $iS[\phi^+] - iS[\phi^-] - S_E[\phi_E] \rightarrow iS[\phi]$









Langevin equation

Langevin equation (Stochastic Differential equation)

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\tau_L} = -\frac{\partial S[\phi]}{\partial \phi(x)} + \eta(x, \tau_L) \text{ with}$$
$$\langle \eta(x, \tau_L) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \eta(x, \tau_L) \eta(x', \tau'_L) \rangle = 2\delta(x - \delta)$$

Fokker-Planck equation (Real)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi(x,t) = \sum_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{j}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{j}} + \frac{\partial S[\phi]}{\partial \phi_{j}} \right] \Phi(x,t) = L$$

• Equilibrium distribution of FP $\rightarrow e^{-S[\phi]}$

Fokker-Planck Langevin

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \lim_{\tau_L \to \infty} \int D\phi \ \Phi(\phi, \tau_L) \mathcal{O}(\phi) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T d\tau$$

Daniel Alvestad





Real-time complex Langevin

- Complexifying fields: $\phi \rightarrow \phi^R + i\phi^I$
- Scaling of complex Langevin



Daniel Alvestad

Complex Langevin equation

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\tau_L} = i \frac{\delta S[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)} + \eta(x, \tau_L)$$

Regulator

Regulate integral

$$\frac{S_1}{S_2}$$
 Re(t)

Convergence problem

Understand convergence problem of the complex Langevin





Regularising real-time contour



Regularisation of real-time contour $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int D\phi \ \mathcal{O}(x) e^{iS[\phi]}$

- Infinitesimal damping term: $\bar{S} = S + iR(\phi, \epsilon), \quad R = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\phi^2$
 - Tilting of the real-time contour
 - Problem with two limits $\Delta \tau \to 0$, $\epsilon \to 0$
- Implicit solver •
 - D.A, Larsen, Rothkopf (2021) No runaway solutions arxiv: 2105.02735
 - Regulator due to undershooting
- Kernel (Discussing this later)







Dynamics in thermal equilibrium

- Strongly coupled quantum anharmonic oscillator with m = 1, $\lambda = 24$ (same as in Berges, Borsanyi, Sexty, Stamatescu, 2007)
- Regulator: $\theta = 0.6$, Contour: $\beta = 1.0$, $x_0^{max} = 0.5$
- $G_{++}(\xi) = \langle \phi(0)\phi(\xi) \rangle \langle \phi(0) \rangle \langle \phi(\xi) \rangle$ for $\xi \le 0.5$
- $G_E(\xi) = \langle \phi(0)\phi(\xi) \rangle \langle \phi(0) \rangle \langle \phi(\xi) \rangle$ for $\xi \ge 1$





Non-Equilibrium dynamics

Gaussian initial density matrix with \bullet

 $\langle \phi_0 \rangle = 1, \langle \dot{\phi}_0 \rangle = 0, \langle \phi_0 \phi_0 \rangle = 1, \langle \dot{\phi}_0 \dot{\phi}_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{4}$ (Berges, Borsanyi, Sexty, Stamatescu, 2007)

- Small coupling $\lambda = 1$ and regulator $\theta = 0.6$
- Full access to G_{+-} and $G_{-+}(x_0) = \langle \phi_2 \phi(x_0) \rangle \langle \phi_2 \rangle \langle \phi(x_0) \rangle$ •









Real-time complex Langevin

Numerics now under control



Daniel Alvestad

Complex Langevin equation

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\tau_L} = i \frac{\delta S[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)} + \eta(x, \tau_L)$$

Regulator

Regulate integral $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int D\phi \ \mathcal{O}(x) e^{iS[\phi]}$ S_1 Re(t) S_2

Convergence problem

Understand convergence problem of the complex Langevin







Kernel controlled complex Langevin



Kernel controlled Langevin equation

Equilibrium distribution of Fokker Pla

Freedom in Fokker-Planck equation (Real)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \dot{q}$$

 Field independent kernelled Langevin equation d¢ $d au_{i}$ $\langle \eta(.$

anck
$$\rightarrow e^{-S[\phi]}$$

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(x,t) = \sum_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{j}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{j}} + \frac{\partial S[\phi]}{\partial \phi_{j}} \right] \Phi(x,t) = L_{0}^{T} \Phi(x,t)$

$$\Phi(x,t) = \sum_{j,l} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_j} K_{jl}[\phi] \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_l} + \frac{\partial S[\phi]}{\partial \phi_l} \right] \Phi(x,t) = L_0^T \Phi(x,t)$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial L} = -\frac{K}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial S[\phi]}{\partial \phi} + \sqrt{K} \eta(x, \tau_L) \quad \text{with}$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \phi} = 0, \quad \langle \eta(x_j, \tau_L) \eta(x_l, \tau'_L) \rangle = 2\delta(x_j - x_l)\delta(\tau_L - \tau'_L).$$



One-degree-of-freedom model

- Simple model $S = \frac{1}{2}ix^2$, $\dot{x} = -Kix + \sqrt{K\eta}$
 - Optimal kernel for same model: $K = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}}$

• CL with K:
$$\dot{x} = -x + e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}\eta$$

• Thimble
$$\frac{d\tilde{x}}{d\tau} = \frac{\overline{dS}}{d\tilde{x}}$$

- Solution $\tilde{x}(x, \tau) = x(\cosh \tau i \sinh \tau)$
 - For $\tau \to \infty$ we have $\tilde{x}(x) = xe^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} = x\sqrt{K}$
- Complex Langevin samples on the thimble
 - Kernel as a regulator





Free theory

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi} = iM\phi, \quad V = \frac{1}{2}m\phi^2, \quad m = 1$$

• Use propagator as kernel: $K = iM^{-1}$

$$\dot{\phi} = Ki\frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi} + \sqrt{K\eta} = -\phi + \sqrt{K\eta}$$

No need for adaptive step-size or implicit scheme



Problem of convergence to the wrong solution



Problem of wrong convergence

- Taking $\Delta \tau \rightarrow 0$ (Langevin time-step) not solution
- Correctness criterion: Boundary terms

Aarts, James, Seiler, Stamatescu (2011)

- Real-time problems:
 - Fokker-Planck equilibrium distribution not e^{iS} ?

Fixing the problem

- Boundary terms Scherzer, Seiler, Sexty, Stamatescu (2018+2019)
- Gauge Cooling Seiler, Sexty, Stamatescu (2013)
- Dynamical stabilisation Attanasio, Jäger (2019)



Modification to CLE

- Coordinate Transformations Aarts et. al. (2013)
- Kernels Söderberg (1988), Okamoto et. al. (1989)





Kernelled complex Langevin

- Interactive theory: $d\phi = K i \frac{\partial S[\phi]}{\partial \phi} d\tau_L + \sqrt{K} dW$
- Free theory propagator kernel for interactive theor

$$d\phi = -\phi + M^{-1}\frac{\lambda}{6}\phi^3 + \sqrt{iM^{-1}}dW$$



V,
$$i\frac{\partial S[\phi]}{\partial \phi} = iM\phi - i\frac{\lambda}{6}\phi^3$$

y:
$$K = iM^{-1}$$



Construct kernel using prior knowledge

- Known information
 - L^{Sym} : Symmetries of the model, ex. $\langle \phi^n \rangle = \text{const.}$ (known from Euclidean simulation)
 - L^{Eucl} : Euclidean part of real-time contour
 - L^{BT} : There should be no boundary terms
 - Contour symmetries (Forward-backwards real-time)
 - Fokker-Planck eigenvalues?
- Minimising using the above loss functions require the derivative $\frac{d\phi}{dK}$ which includes propagating through the whole simulation.
 - Possible due to auto-differentiation and sensitivity analysis
 - Currently too expensive due to highly stiff problem (Work in progress)







Low cost update

- Boundary terms accumulate with too slow falloff in the distribution.
- Minimising the drift out from origin
- Fast evaluation of the gradient $\nabla_{K} L_{D}(\{\phi\})$
- Gradient descent optimisation
- Use L^{Sym} , L^{Eucl} , L^{BT} to test result from minimising L_D

Boundary terms (L^{BT}) are minimised when we minimise L_D



$L_{D} = \left| D(\phi) \cdot (-\phi) - |D(\phi)| |\phi| \right|^{\xi},$ where $D = K \frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi}$

Holomorphic CL: Correctness criterion





$$L_{D} = \left| D(\phi) \cdot (-\phi) - |D(\phi)| |\phi| \right|^{\xi},$$

where $D = K \frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi}$





Optimisation using L_D , selecting iteration with best L^{Sym}



Real-time interactive theory results

- Strongly coupled quantum AHO with m = 1, $\lambda = 24$, $\beta = 1$ on a real-time contour
- Form of the kernel $K = e^{A+iB}$ where A and B are real matrices
- Optimisation using L_D , selecting iteration with best $L^{\text{Sym}} + L^{\text{Eucl.}}$
- Critical points away from the origin: $\frac{dS[\phi]}{d\phi} = 0$ (Free theory OK)



S_1 Re S_{2} S_E $-i\beta$ Im



Connection with thimbles





Daniel Alvestad

$L_{\text{True}} = |\langle x \rangle - \langle x \rangle_{\text{True}}| + |\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x^2 \rangle_{\text{True}}|$



Correctness cycle



Daniel Alvestad

Aarts, James, Seiler, Stamatescu (2011)







Daniel Alvestad

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\sigma x^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}x^4$$
$$\sigma = -1 + 4i, \ \lambda = 2$$

$$L_{\text{True}} = |\langle x \rangle - \langle x \rangle_{\text{True}}| + |\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x^2 \rangle|$$



- Implicit scheme; Stabilise and regularise real-time CL
- Goal: extending real-time convergence CL
- Kernel controlled complex Langevin:
 - Free scalar theory: ok with kernel
 - Optimised kernel in real-time thermal ϕ^4 theory
- Minimising drift loss L_D minimise boundary terms
- Kernels can alter Fokker-Planck eigenvalue spectrum

Summary and Outlook

- Implicit scheme for gauge models
- Kernel as appropriately parameterised function
 - Field dependent kernel
- Improved loss function including more than one of the critical points
- Sensitivity analysis of full complex Langevin simulation



Thank you





Daniel Alvestad



Learning free theory kernel

- Kernel form $K = e^{A+iB}$



Optimising L_D using constant kernel

30 iterations



Able to find kernel for all real-times when only one critical point at the origin







Kernel form after optimisation

• Free theory up to 10 in real-time



Daniel Alvestad



Field dependent kernel

Need to add extra derivative term



$$S = \frac{1}{2}\sigma x^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{4}x^{4}$$

$$U$$

$$\sigma = 4i, \lambda = 2$$

$$(x^2)e^{-i\theta_{\sigma}} + \frac{1}{|\lambda|}(1 - f(x^2))e^{-i\theta_{\lambda}}$$





Construct kernel

- Can we find a kernel by using prior knowledge about the Complex Langevin and the model
- In thermal ϕ^4 we know:
 - $\langle x \rangle = 0$ and $\langle x^2 \rangle = \operatorname{Re} \langle x^2 \rangle = \operatorname{const.}$
 - Euclidean correlation $G(\xi)$ for $\xi \geq 2$

• Minimize
$$L(K) = \sum_{i} ||O_i - \langle O_i(K) \rangle||^2$$

- Matrix kernel, starting out with $K_0 = I$
- Update K_n based on $\nabla L(K_n)$
- Contour: $\beta = 1.0$, $x_0^{\text{max}} = 1.0$
- Field dependent kernel













Low cost update scheme

$$L_{D} = \left| D(\phi) \cdot (-\phi) - |D(\phi)| |\phi| \right|^{\xi}$$

where $D = K \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi}$





Updating the kernel

Make configuration using $K_0 = I$: $\{\phi_i^0\}$ $d\phi = K_0 \,\partial_\phi S[\phi] + \sqrt{K_0} dW$

Update kernel based on gradient of the loss function $\nabla_{K} L_{D}\left(\{\phi^{0}\}\right)$

Loop N times (index k)

Make configuration using K_k : $\{\phi_i^k\}$ $d\phi = K_k \,\partial_\phi S[\phi] + \sqrt{K_k} dW$

Update kernel based on gradient of the loss function $\nabla_{K}L_{D}\left(\left\{\phi^{k}\right\}\right)$

Measure L^{Sym} , L^{Eucl} , L^{BT}

Pick out the iteration with the smallest $L^{\text{Sym}}, L^{\text{Eucl}}, L^{\text{BT}}$

