

10 Quantization of Spinors

10.1 The Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} (i\not{\partial} - m) \psi; \quad \psi = \{\psi_a\}$$

$$\pi^a = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\psi}_a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\psi}_a} (i\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \dot{\psi}) = i\psi^\dagger \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\pi = i\psi^\dagger}}$$

(with π interpreted as row-vector)

- We see that the Lagrangian (and hence the Hamiltonian) can be given using just ψ & $\pi \sim \psi^\dagger$. There is no need to introduce a further canonical momentum belonging to $\psi^\dagger$. This is different from the complex scalar, where both ϕ, π & $\phi^\dagger, \pi^\dagger$ were really needed. The reason is that the EOMs are only 1st order in t , hence one has fewer initial conditions & fewer d.o.f.

... cf. Weinberg, Sect. 7 for more details.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = \pi \dot{\psi} - \mathcal{L} &= i\psi^\dagger \dot{\psi} - \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (i\not{\partial} - m) \psi = -\bar{\psi} (i\gamma^0 \not{\partial} - m) \psi \\ &= \underline{\underline{i\pi \gamma^0 (i\gamma^0 \not{\partial} - m) \psi}} \end{aligned}$$

10.2 Quantization attempt with commutators

$$[\psi(\bar{x}), \pi(\bar{y})] = [\psi(\bar{x}), i\psi^\dagger(\bar{y})] = i\delta^3(\bar{x} - \bar{y}) \cdot \mathbb{1}$$

- Skipping familiar steps, jump directly to ansatz for field in terms of creation/annihilation operators multiplying the known classical solutions (as for complex scalar):

$$\psi(x) = \int d\tilde{p} \left(a_{\tilde{p}}^s u_s(p) e^{-ipx} + b_{\tilde{p}}^{s\dagger} v_s(p) e^{ipx} \right)$$

(ξ implied)

where $[a_{\vec{p}}^r, a_{\vec{q}}^{s+}] = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{q}) \delta^{rs} 2p_0$ & same for b .

- We must check consistency with our original commut. relations: (at equal time, i.e. $x^0 = y^0$)

$$[\psi(\vec{x}), \psi^\dagger(\vec{y})] = \int d\vec{p} d\vec{q} \left(e^{i\vec{p}\vec{x} - i\vec{q}\vec{y}} u_s(p) u_r^\dagger(q) [a_{\vec{p}}^s, a_{\vec{q}}^{r+}] \right. \\ \left. + e^{-i\vec{p}\vec{x} + i\vec{q}\vec{y}} u_s(p) v_r^\dagger(q) [b_{\vec{p}}^{s+}, b_{\vec{q}}^r] \right)$$

$$= \int d\vec{p} \left[e^{i\vec{p}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})} (p_0 + m) - e^{-i\vec{p}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})} (p_0 - m) \right] \gamma^0$$

change $\vec{p} \rightarrow -\vec{p}$ appealing to symm. of the integration measure.

$$= \int d\vec{p} e^{i\vec{p}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})} (p_0 \gamma_0 + p_i \gamma_i + m - (p_0 \gamma_0 - p_i \gamma_i - m)) \gamma^0$$

- We see this will fail since the p_0 -term, needed to cancel $1/p^0$ from $d\vec{p}$, will drop out. However, we can try to assume $[b, b^\dagger] = -1$, effectively exchanging the roles of b & $b^\dagger$. This appears to work:

$$\dots = \int d\vec{p} e^{i\vec{p}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})} 2p_0 \gamma_0 \cdot \gamma^0 = \delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) \cdot \mathbb{1}.$$

- However, we finally fail when it comes to H . A straightforward calculation (for details see a similar calculation below) gives:

$$H = \int d\vec{p} p_0 \sum_s (a_{\vec{p}}^{s+} a_{\vec{p}}^s - b_{\vec{p}}^{s+} b_{\vec{p}}^s)$$

This wrong sign (independent of $b^\dagger \leftrightarrow b$) makes the energy unbounded below and hence the vacuum unstable. No "easy" cure is known!

10.3 Quantization with anticommutators

- The only known cure to the problem above is to fundamentally change the rules of the game and to quantize with anticommutators.

[It can be proven vigorously that this must be done for all fields with half-integer spin. This is known as the "Spin-Statistics-Theorem", see e.g. Streater/Wightman: "PCT, Spin and Statistics, and All That".]

- At the calculational level, we just repeat Sect. 10.2, but with the new postulate:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\psi(\bar{x}), \pi(\bar{y})\} &= \{\psi(\bar{x}), i\psi^+(\bar{y})\} = i\delta^3(\bar{x}-\bar{y}) \\ \Downarrow \\ \{a_{\bar{p}}^r, a_{\bar{q}}^{s+}\} &= \{b_{\bar{p}}^r, b_{\bar{q}}^{s+}\} = (2\pi)^3 2p_0 \delta^3(\bar{p}-\bar{q}) \delta^{rs} \end{aligned}$$

& all other anticommutators = 0.

- Again, as in Sect. 10.2 we find

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int d\tilde{p} p_0 \sum_s (a_{\bar{p}}^{s+} a_{\bar{p}}^s - b_{\bar{p}}^s b_{\bar{p}}^{s+}) \\ H &= \int d\tilde{p} p_0 \sum_s (a_{\bar{p}}^{s+} a_{\bar{p}}^s + b_{\bar{p}}^{s+} b_{\bar{p}}^s) + (\sim \mathbb{1}) \end{aligned}$$

← This is where the sign-problem of 10.2 is solved due to $AB = -BA + \dots$

dropped, as in scalar case

- Now that the conceptual idea is clear, let's do the actual calculation giving H in some detail:
- Use $H = \int d^3x \bar{\psi} (-i\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} + m) \psi$

$$\psi(\bar{x}) = \int d\tilde{p} (a_{\bar{p}}^s u_s(p) e^{i\bar{p}\bar{x}} + b_{\bar{p}}^{s+} v_s(p) e^{-i\bar{p}\bar{x}})$$

$$\bar{\psi}(\bar{x}) = \int d\tilde{p}' (a_{\bar{p}'}^{s'+} \bar{u}_{s'}(p') e^{-i\bar{p}'\bar{x}} + b_{\bar{p}'}^{s'} \bar{v}_{s'}(p') e^{i\bar{p}'\bar{x}})$$

$$\& \int d^3\vec{x} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x} \pm i\vec{p}'\cdot\vec{x}} = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\vec{p} \pm \vec{p}').$$

• We find terms involving $a^\dagger a$, $a^\dagger b^\dagger$, $b a$, $b b^\dagger$.

$$(1) H_{a^\dagger a} = \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{2p^0} a_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} a_{\vec{p}}^s \bar{u}_{s'}(p) (\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} + m) u_s(p)$$

$$\text{Use } 0 = (\not{p} - m)u(p) = (\gamma^0 p^0 - \vec{\gamma}\vec{p} - m)u(p) \quad [\text{Note: } \vec{p} = \{p^i\}]$$

$$\Rightarrow (\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} + m)u(p) = \gamma^0 p^0 u(p) \quad \vec{\nabla} = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow H_{a^\dagger a} = \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{2} a_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} a_{\vec{p}}^s \bar{u}_{s'}(p) \gamma^0 u_s(p)$$

Useful relations: $\boxed{\bar{u}_r(p) \gamma^0 u_s(p) = \bar{u}_r(p) \gamma^0 v_s(p) = 2p_0 \delta_{rs}}$

Derivation: We know: $\boxed{(\not{p} - m)u(p) = 0}$

It follows: $0 = u(p)^\dagger (\not{p}^\dagger - m) = u(p)^\dagger (\not{p}^\dagger - m) \gamma^0 = \bar{u}(p) (\not{p} - m)$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\bar{u}(p) (\not{p} - m) = 0}$$

Using these, we have: $\bar{u}_r(p) \gamma^0 u_s(p) = \frac{1}{2m} \bar{u}_r(p) \{m, \gamma^0\} u_s(p)$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \bar{u}_r(p) \{\not{p} - m + m, \gamma^0\} u_s(p) = \frac{1}{2m} \bar{u}_r(p) \{\not{p}, \gamma^0\} u_s(p)$$

$$= \frac{p_0}{m} \bar{u}_r(p) u_s(p) = \frac{p_0}{m} 2m \delta_{rs} = 2p_0 \delta_{rs}.$$

(The relation with v is derived analogously.)

$$\Rightarrow H_{a^\dagger a} = \int d\vec{p} p_0 a_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} a_{\vec{p}}^s$$

(2), (3) $H_{a^\dagger b^\dagger} = H_{b a} = 0$ since $u_s^\dagger(p_i, -\vec{p}) v_r(p_i, \vec{p})$

$$= \underline{\underline{v_s^\dagger(p_i, -\vec{p}) u_r(p_i, \vec{p}) = 0}}$$

↑

Prove this! → Two useful relations!

$$(4) H_{bb^+} = \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{2p_0} b_{\vec{p}}^{s'} b_{\vec{p}}^{s+} \underbrace{\bar{\psi}_{s'}(p) (-\gamma \vec{p} + m) \psi_s(p)}_{\bar{\psi}_{s'}(p) (-\gamma^0 p_0) \psi_s(p)}$$

in analogy to earlier argument

$$\Rightarrow H_{bb^+} = \int d\vec{p} p_0 (-b_{\vec{p}}^s b_{\vec{p}}^{s+})$$

Thus, as claimed before

$$\underline{H = \int d\vec{p} p_0 (a_{\vec{p}}^{s+} a_{\vec{p}}^s + b_{\vec{p}}^{s+} b_{\vec{p}}^s)} + \text{irrelev. const.}$$

Define Fock space as in bosonic case:

$$|0\rangle; a_{\vec{p}}^{s+} |0\rangle; b_{\vec{p}}^{s+} |0\rangle; a_{\vec{p}}^{s+} a_{\vec{p}}^{r+} |0\rangle, \dots$$

where as before

$$a_{\vec{p}}^s |0\rangle = b_{\vec{p}}^s |0\rangle = 0 \quad \forall \vec{p}, s.$$

Crucial difference: Since we used anti-commut. rels.,

$(a_{\vec{p}}^{s+})^2 |0\rangle = 0$, i.e., multiparticle states where two particles have equal labels never occur. Our particles

are fermions. (Hence the name "spin-statistics-theorem")

[Actually, for plane waves $\vec{p} = \vec{p}'$ "never occurs" anyway.

To define the above more properly one should go to e.g. to a finite volume, where $\vec{p}$ is discrete and $(a_{\vec{p}}^+)^2 = 0$ makes sense in a more straightforward way.]

10.4 Time ordering, free states, Dirac propagator

- Basic object of interest:

$$\langle 0|T(\text{product of } \psi\text{'s and } \bar{\psi}\text{'s})|0\rangle$$

- Due to the anticommut. rels., the definition of T is changed in a crucial way:

$$T \psi_{a_1}(x_1) \cdots \psi_{a_n}(x_n) \equiv \text{sgn}(p) \psi_{a_{p(1)}}(x_{p(1)}) \cdots \psi_{a_{p(n)}}(x_{p(n)})$$

Where $\{p(1), \dots, p(n)\}$ is a permutation "p" of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $x_{p(1)}^0 \geq \dots \geq x_{p(n)}^0$.

$\text{sgn}(p) = \pm 1$ for even/odd p. [Same for $\bar{\psi}$'s or mix of ψ 's & $\bar{\psi}$'s.]

- With this definition, the LSZ-formula still holds (up to a possible overall minus-sign, which we here ignore)
- The relation between time ordered greens fct's for interacting & free fields also still holds (recall that, crucially, this is where $\exp(iS_{\text{int}})$ enters).
- Finally, in the last step towards Feynman rules, the Wick-theorem is crucially affected. (In fact, without the above adjustment in the definition of T we would not be able to derive a Wick-theorem at all.

Wick-thm. for spinor fields

$$T(\prod \psi_i \bar{\psi}_j) = : (\prod \psi_i \bar{\psi}_j + \text{all poss. contractions}) :$$

↑

Here, by definition, a "contraction" includes a factor (-1) for each exchange of neighboring $\psi, \bar{\psi}$'s required to put contracted pairs next to each other.

Example: $: \overbrace{\psi_1 \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_3 \bar{\psi}_4} : = - \overbrace{\psi_1 \bar{\psi}_3} : \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_4 :$

- A contraction is defined exactly as in the bosonic case:

$$\overbrace{\psi_a(x) \bar{\psi}^b(y)} \equiv \langle T \psi_a(x) \bar{\psi}^b(y) \rangle \equiv S_F(x-y)_a^b.$$

- Contractions $\psi\psi$ & $\bar{\psi}\bar{\psi}$ vanish or, if you wish, don't exist.
- The "Dirac propagator" (the index F still stands for the "Feynman- $i\varepsilon$ -prescription") reads

$$S_F(x-y)_a^b = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \cdot \frac{i(\not{p} + m)}{p^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon} \cdot e^{-ip(x-y)}$$

(The derivation is as in the bosonic case, just with a bit more algebra to obtain the " $\not{p} + m$ " from the u 's & v 's of the field decomposition.) $\rightarrow$ Problems.

- An alternative "derivation" is as follows:

(The fact that this is a proper derivation will only become clear in the path integral approach.)

- For the scalar, we have

$$-(\Box_x + m^2)D(x-y) \equiv i\delta^4(x-y) \text{ in general}$$

(this makes D a "green's fct.")

and, in particular,

$$D_F(x-y) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \cdot \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon} \cdot e^{-ip(x-y)}$$

This denominator is obviously $\uparrow$ just " $-(\Box + m^2)$ " in Fourier space.

(Depending on the pole-prescription we get Feynman, retarded or advanced Green's fct.s.)

- Analogously

$$(i\partial_x - m) S(x-y) \equiv 1 \cdot i\delta^4(x-y)$$

with

$$S_F(x-y) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \cdot \frac{i(\not{p} + m)}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \cdot e^{-ip(x-y)}.$$

- The crucial piece of algebra to remember is

$$(i\partial_x - m) \rightarrow (\not{p} - m) \quad \& \quad \frac{(\not{p} - m)(\not{p} + m)}{p^2 - m^2} = \frac{p^2 - m^2}{p^2 - m^2} = 1$$

or, equivalently,
$$\frac{\not{p} + m}{p^2 - m^2} = \frac{1}{\not{p} - m}.$$

10.5 U(1)-Symmetry of the Dirac Lagrangian

$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} (i\partial - m)\psi$; $\psi \rightarrow e^{-i\epsilon} \psi$ is a global symmetry.

• Recall the Noether theorem:

$$j^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \psi)} X - F^\mu \text{ is conserved, where}$$

- 1) the symm. trf. is $\psi \rightarrow \psi + \epsilon X$
- 2) $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} + \epsilon \partial_\mu F^\mu$

• Here ($F=0$; $X = -i\psi$) we find

$$j^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \psi)} (-i\psi) = \bar{\psi} i\gamma^\mu (-i\psi) = \underline{\underline{\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi}}.$$

(This will become the electromagnetic current!)

and

$$Q = \int d^3x j^0 = \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \psi = \underline{\underline{\int d^3p \sum_s (a_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} a_{\vec{p}}^s - b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} b_{\vec{p}}^s)}}$$

10.6 Yukawa theory

115

- The arguably simplest interacting theory with fermions is the Yukawa theory:

$$\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{\bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial} - m)\psi}_{\text{free}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{2}\phi^2}_{\text{free}} + \underbrace{\lambda\bar{\psi}\psi\phi}_{\text{interaction}}$$

- It plays e.g. a (phenomenological) role in nuclear interactions and a (as far as we know fundamental) role in the fermion-mass generation in the SM.
- For more details see "Christmas problem"...
- We now turn to the more complicated and structurally more interesting gauge interactions of fermions.