

9 Quantization of Spinors

9.1 Naive attempt with commutators

$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} (i\not{\partial} - m)\psi$; The canonical mom. belonging to ψ is

$$\pi_a = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\psi}_a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\psi}_a} (i\psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \dot{\psi}) = i\psi_a^\dagger \quad \text{or} \quad \pi = i\psi^\dagger$$

$\uparrow$
 interpreted as
 a row-vector

- We see that π w.r.t. ψ is $\psi^\dagger$, the "other" field appearing in $\mathcal{L}$. Hence, we don't need another π belonging to $\psi^\dagger$. This unusual reduction of d.o.f. is due to the linearity of $\mathcal{L}$ in ∂_t (and the fact that linear EOMs require less initial conditions than quadratic EOMs).

- We have: $\pi = i\psi^\dagger$ cf. Weinberg, Sect. 7

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi \dot{\psi} - \mathcal{L} = i\psi^\dagger \dot{\psi} - \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 (i\not{\partial} - m)\psi = -\bar{\psi} (i\gamma^i \partial_i - m)\psi.$$

- We could attempt to define:
$$= i\pi \gamma^0 (i\gamma^i \partial_i - m)\psi$$

$$[\psi(\vec{x}), \pi(\vec{y})] = [\psi(\vec{x}), i\psi^\dagger(\vec{y})] = i\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \cdot \mathbb{1}.$$

- Proceed by "guessing" the expansion of ψ in creation/annih. ops:

$$\psi(x) = \int d\tilde{p} \left(a_{\tilde{p}}^s u_s(p) e^{-ipx} + b_{\tilde{p}}^{s\dagger} v_s(p) e^{ipx} \right)$$

(by analogy to compl. scalar; s-sum. implied).

with $[a_{\tilde{p}}^r, a_{\tilde{p}'}^s] = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\tilde{p} - \tilde{p}') \delta^{rs} 2p_0$ & same for b .

Consistency check:

$$[\psi(\bar{x}), \psi^\dagger(\bar{y})] = \int d\tilde{p} \int d\tilde{q} \left(e^{i\tilde{p}\bar{x} - i\tilde{q}\bar{y}} u_s(p) u_r^\dagger(q) [a_{\tilde{p}}^s, a_{\tilde{q}}^{r+}] \right. \\ \left. + e^{-i\tilde{p}\bar{x} + i\tilde{q}\bar{y}} u_s(p) u_r^\dagger(q) [b_{\tilde{p}}^{s+}, b_{\tilde{q}}^r] \right) \\ \text{(equal line)}$$

$$= \int d\tilde{p} \left[e^{i\tilde{p}(\bar{x}-\bar{y})} (p-m) - e^{-i\tilde{p}(\bar{x}-\bar{y})} (p+m) \right] \gamma^0 \\ \downarrow \tilde{p} \rightarrow -\tilde{p} \text{ in second term} \\ = \int d\tilde{p} e^{i\tilde{p}(\bar{x}-\bar{y})} ((p^0\gamma^0 + p^i\gamma_i - m) - (p^0\gamma^0 - p^i\gamma_i + m)) \gamma^0.$$

This has no chance to work. Let's however change this sign, assuming "[b, b⁺] = -1", i.e. interchanging the role of b & b⁺.

We find: $[\psi(\bar{x}), \psi^\dagger(\bar{y})] = \int d\tilde{p} e^{i\tilde{p}(\bar{x}-\bar{y})} \cdot 2p^0 \cdot 1 = \delta^3(\bar{x}-\bar{y}) \cdot 1$, as it should be.

- However, another very serious problem appears: Working out H in terms of creation/annihilation operators (cf. a similar calculation below), we find:

$$H = \int d\tilde{p} p_0 \sum_s (a_{\tilde{p}}^{s+} a_{\tilde{p}}^s - b_{\tilde{p}}^{s+} b_{\tilde{p}}^s)$$

↑
This wrong sign leads to a spectrum not bounded from below, which is unacceptable.
(This is not cured by interchanging the roles of b & b⁺.)

- It turns out that this problem can only be solved by a rather fundamental change in the whole formalism of canonical quantization: Use anticommutators instead of commutators!

[That this change is really unavoidable for all fields with half-integer spin can be demonstrated rigorously and is the content of the "Spin-Statistics-Theorem" — see e.g. the book by Streater & Wightman: "PCT, Spin and Statistics, and All That".]

9.2 Quantization with anticommutators

- When canonically quantizing fields with half-integer spin, use anticommutators instead of commutators:

$$[\dots, \dots] \rightarrow \{\dots, \dots\} \quad (\text{where } \{A, B\} \equiv AB + BA).$$

- Following the lines of Sect. 9.1, we find:

$$\{\psi(\bar{x}), \pi(\bar{y})\} = \{\psi(x), i\psi^+(\bar{y})\} = i\delta^3(\bar{x}-\bar{y})$$

$\Downarrow$

$$\{a_{\vec{p}}^r, a_{\vec{q}}^{s\dagger}\} = \{b_{\vec{p}}^r, b_{\vec{q}}^{s\dagger}\} = (2\pi)^3 2p_0 \delta^3(\vec{p}-\vec{q}) \delta^{rs}$$

(all other anticommutators vanish).

- Calculating H in the usual way, we find

$$H = \int d\vec{p} p_0 \sum_s (a_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} a_{\vec{p}}^s + b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} b_{\vec{p}}^s)$$

$\uparrow$

The sign problem we encountered before is solved since

$$-b_{\vec{p}}^s b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} = +b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} b_{\vec{p}}^s + (\text{term} \sim 1),$$

because b & $b^\dagger$ anticommute.

- As an example calculation, we discuss the derivation of this form of H in some detail:

$$H = \int d^3x \mathcal{H} = \int d^3x (\pi \dot{\psi} - \mathcal{L}) = \dots$$

$$= \int d^3x \left[i\psi^\dagger \psi - i\psi^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial} \psi + \bar{\psi} \left(-i \overbrace{\gamma^i}^{\uparrow} \overbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}^{\uparrow} + m \right) \psi \right]$$

$$= \int d^3x \bar{\psi} (-i \overleftrightarrow{\partial} + m) \psi.$$

• Using $\psi(\bar{x}) = \int d\tilde{p} \left(a_{\tilde{p}}^s u_s(p) e^{i\tilde{p}\bar{x}} + b_{\tilde{p}}^{s\dagger} v_s(p) e^{-i\tilde{p}\bar{x}} \right)$
 $\bar{\psi}(\bar{x}) = \int d\tilde{p}' \left(a_{\tilde{p}'}^{s'\dagger} \bar{u}_{s'}(p') e^{-i\tilde{p}'\bar{x}} + b_{\tilde{p}'}^{s'} \bar{v}_{s'}(p') e^{i\tilde{p}'\bar{x}} \right)$

as well as $\int d^3x e^{i\tilde{p}\bar{x} \pm i\tilde{p}'\bar{x}} = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\tilde{p} \pm \tilde{p}')$,

we arrive at an expression with terms of the type

$$a^\dagger a; a^\dagger b^\dagger; b a; b b^\dagger.$$

• Focus first on the type $a^\dagger a$:

3 other types...

$$H = \int \frac{d\tilde{p}}{2p_0} a_{\tilde{p}}^{s'\dagger} a_{\tilde{p}}^s \bar{u}_{s'}(p) (\not{\tilde{p}} + m) u_s(p) + \dots$$

• Use $0 = (\not{p} - m) u(p) = (\gamma^0 p^0 - \vec{\gamma} \vec{p} - m) u(p)$

$$\Rightarrow (\vec{\gamma} \vec{p} + m) u(p) = \gamma^0 p^0 u(p)$$

$$\Rightarrow H = \int \frac{d\tilde{p}}{2} a_{\tilde{p}}^{s'\dagger} a_{\tilde{p}}^s \bar{u}_{s'}(p) \gamma^0 u_s(p) + \dots$$

Technical aside: Using $\bar{u}(p)(\not{p} - m) = 0$, $(\not{p} - m)u(p) = 0$,

one can show that

$$\bar{u}_r(p) \gamma^0 u_s(p) = \frac{1}{2m} \bar{u}_r(p) \{m, \gamma^0\} u_s(p)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \bar{u}_r(p) \{\not{p} - m + m, \gamma^0\} u_s(p) = \frac{1}{2m} \bar{u}_r(p) \{\not{p}, \gamma^0\} u_s(p)$$

$$= \frac{p^0}{m} \bar{u}_r(p) u_s(p) = \frac{p^0}{m} 2m \delta_{rs} = 2p_0 \delta_{rs}$$

(analogously: $\bar{v}_r(p) \gamma^0 v_s(p) = 2p_0 \delta_{rs}$)

$$\Rightarrow H = \int d\tilde{p} p_0 a_{\tilde{p}}^{s+} a_{\tilde{p}}^s + \dots$$

Let us now turn to the remaining terms:

- the $a^+ b^+$ & $b a$ terms vanish
- the $b b^+$ term is treated analogously to the $a^+ a$ term:

$$H = \dots + \int \frac{d\tilde{p}}{2p_0} b_{\tilde{p}}^{s+} b_{\tilde{p}}^s \bar{u}_s(p) (-\not{\gamma}\bar{p} + m) u_s(p),$$

Use $0 = (\not{p} + m) u(p)$ and the "technical aside" above to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_r(p) (-\not{\gamma}\bar{p} + m) u_s(p) &= \bar{u}_r(p) (-\not{p} \gamma^0) u_s(p) \\ &= \bar{u}_r(p) \frac{p^0}{2m} \{ (\not{p} + m - m), \gamma^0 \} u_s(p) = \frac{p^0}{2m} \bar{u}_r(p) \{ \not{p}, \gamma^0 \} u_s(p) \\ &= \frac{(p^0)^2}{m} \bar{u}_r(p) u_s(p) = -2(p^0)^2 \delta_{rs} \end{aligned}$$

↑
This is where the crucial minus comes in,
which potentially causes a problem.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow H &= \int d\tilde{p} p^0 (a_{\tilde{p}}^{s+} a_{\tilde{p}}^s - b_{\tilde{p}}^s b_{\tilde{p}}^{s+}) \\ &= \int d\tilde{p} p^0 (a_{\tilde{p}}^{s+} a_{\tilde{p}}^s + b_{\tilde{p}}^{s+} b_{\tilde{p}}^s) + \text{irrelev. constant} \end{aligned}$$

↑
The anti-commut. relations save us!

This suggests a particle/antiparticle interpretation of the Fock space

$$\begin{aligned} &|0\rangle ; a_{\tilde{p}}^{s+} |0\rangle ; b_{\tilde{p}}^{s+} |0\rangle ; a_{\tilde{p}}^{s+} a_{\tilde{q}}^{r+} |0\rangle ; \text{etc.} \\ &\quad \uparrow \\ &\text{defined, as before, by } a_{\tilde{p}}^s |0\rangle = b_{\tilde{p}}^s |0\rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Namely: $a^\dagger/a$ create / annihil. particles
 $b^\dagger/b$ — " — antiparticles.

(each comes with spin $\pm 1/2$, labelled by "s, r" etc.)

Due to the anticommut. relations, these particles are fermions
 (hence "spin-statistics-theorem"):

$$(a_{\vec{p}}^{s+})^2 |0\rangle = 0, \text{ i.e. in multiparticle states}$$

no particles with identical "labels" ($\vec{p}$ & s)
 can appear.

[To connect this to the (experimentally well-established)
 exclusion principle for fermions, one has to be more
 careful and work with localized states (wave packets)
 since, for plane waves in infinite space, $\vec{p} = \vec{p}'$ "never
 occurs".]

9.3 Dirac propagator

(We will be very brief since all calculations are very close to the
 bosonic case, except for signs and indices (the a of ψ_a).)

- As before, expressions of the type

$\langle 0 | T (\text{product of } \psi\text{'s \& } \bar{\psi}\text{'s}) | 0 \rangle$ (i.e. Green's fct's.)
 can be evaluated by (anti-) commuting a, b to the right
 and $a^\dagger, b^\dagger$ to the left.

- T is now defined as (with or without "-")

$$T \overset{(-)}{\psi}_{a_1}(x_1) \cdots \overset{(-)}{\psi}_{a_n}(x_n) = \text{sgn}(P) \cdot \overset{(-)}{\psi}_{a_{P(1)}}(x_{P(1)}) \cdots \overset{(-)}{\psi}_{a_{P(n)}}(x_{P(n)})$$

where P is a permutation of $\{1 \cdots n\}$ ensuring

$x_{p(1)}^0 \leq \dots \leq x_{p(n)}^0$. $\text{sgn}(p)$ is $+/-$ depending on whether p is even/odd.

- This extra sign in the definition of T does not affect the way in which T appears in the LSZ-formalism (since one always starts with a single product with some order of factors), except for a possible overall sign.
- However, it is only with this def. of T that we can prove the Wick theorem for spinor fields:

T (product of ψ 's & $\bar{\psi}$'s)

$= :$ (product of ψ 's & $\bar{\psi}$'s + all possible contractions):

↑

Here, each contraction is defined to include a sign which is the product of all signs arising in the necessary exchanges of ψ 's to put contracted pairs next to each other.

(e.g. $:\overline{\psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3 \psi_4} = - \overline{\psi_1 \psi_3} : \psi_2 \psi_4 :$)

- A contraction is defined as

Dirac propagator
↓

$$(\overline{\psi_1 \psi_2})_{ab} = \overline{\psi_a(x_1) \psi_b(x_2)} = \langle T \psi_a(x_1) \bar{\psi}_b(x_2) \rangle \equiv S_F(x_1 - x_2)_{ab}.$$

- It can be evaluated (as in the bosonic case, just with a bit more algebra) to give

$$S_F(x_1 - x_2)_{ab} = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \cdot \frac{i(\not{p} - m)}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-ip(x_1 - x_2)}.$$

Note: No $\psi\psi$ or $\bar{\psi}\bar{\psi}$ contractions!

Comment:

The scalar (Feynman) propagator contains the "inverse momentum-space Klein-Gordon operator" $\frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}$, consistent with the fact that

$$-(\Box_x + m^2) \left(\int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \cdot \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-ip(x-y)} \right) = i\delta^4(x-y)$$

[This also explains the term "Feynman" familiar from class electrodynamics and provides an alternative route to the derivation of the Feynman propagator. The "iε" makes it the time-ordered or Feynman propagator. Other propagators, corr. to "advanced" or "retarded" Green's fcts. also exist.]

Analogously, the Dirac propagator fulfils

$$\begin{aligned} (i\not{\partial} - m)_x \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i(\not{p} + m)}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-ip(x-y)} \\ = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \cdot \frac{i(\not{p} + m)(\not{p} - m)}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-ip(x-y)} = 1 \cdot \delta^4(x-y) \end{aligned}$$

Correspondingly, it can be viewed as containing the inverse of the mom.-space Dirac operator: $\frac{1}{\not{p} - m} = \frac{\not{p} + m}{p^2 - m^2}$.

9.4 U(1)-symm. of the Dirac Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial} - m)\psi \quad ; \quad \psi \rightarrow e^{-i\epsilon}\psi \text{ is a (global) symm.}$$

infinitesimally: $\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) = \psi(x) - i\varepsilon\psi(x)$.

Noether theorem: $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \varepsilon\chi$ is symm. of action

$$\Rightarrow j^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \varphi)} \cdot \chi - F^\mu$$

↑
from change of $\mathcal{L}$ by $\varepsilon \partial_\mu F^\mu$

In our case: $j^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \psi)} \cdot (-i\psi) = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^\mu (-i\psi) = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$

(This will be the electromag. current after coupling to the electromag. field)

charge: $Q = \int d^3x j^0 = \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \psi = \int d\tilde{p} \sum_s (a_{\tilde{p}}^{s\dagger} a_{\tilde{p}}^s - b_{\tilde{p}}^{s\dagger} b_{\tilde{p}}^s),$

i.e. we have defined particles/antiparticles to have charge ± 1 under this symm.