Mbte: This also works with more complicated theories,  
including gravity. The famous historical "Kaluza-Klinn  
throry" is gravity on 
$$R^{13} \times S^{1}$$
:  
 $S \sim \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-G} M_{5}^{3} \mathcal{R}[G_{MN}] \qquad G_{MN}(x_{1}^{o}, x_{1}^{3}x^{5}) - 5d$  undric  
 $\sim \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} (M_{5}^{3}\mathcal{R} \mathcal{R}[g_{\mu\nu}] + (\frac{2}{f}\varphi)(\partial^{4}\varphi) + F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + massive)$   
 $4d$ -metric  
 $= 2ero mode of G_{\mu\nu}$  (size of S1  
 $or$  "variable"  $\mathcal{R}$ )

 This would also work for e.g. IB on R<sup>13</sup>×T<sup>6</sup>, but we would get a highly-SUSY theory in 400 with Cots of massless scalars (corresponding e.g. to the moduli of T<sup>6</sup>).

16.2 T- duality

- Return to bosonic string (just for simplicity) and replace our target space IR<sup>1,25</sup> by IR<sup>1,24</sup> × S<sup>1</sup>.
   ⇒ X<sup>26</sup> is periodic with period 2πR
- Work out the mass-shell constraint in any of the approaches we used before.  $\Rightarrow -p^{2} = \frac{2}{\alpha'} (N + \tilde{N} - 2) + \frac{n^{2}R^{2}}{\alpha'^{2}}$ Also, by the kk-mode this new form arises because we can logic above:  $(p^{M})^{2} = (p^{M})^{2} + (p^{25})^{2}$  (i.e. a "winding string")

$$Loith p^{25} = \frac{m}{R} ("kk momentum") k H = 0, ..., 24, 26$$

$$p = 0, ..., 24$$

$$\Rightarrow The mass spectrum of the 25d effective theory is$$

$$(moss)^{2} = -(p)^{4})^{2} = \frac{2}{\alpha'^{1}}(N+\tilde{N}-2) + \frac{m^{2}}{R^{2}} + \frac{n^{2}R^{2}}{\alpha'^{2}}$$
• Vizualization:
$$(N + \tilde{N} + R) + R^{2} + \frac{n^{2}R^{2}}{\alpha'^{2}}$$
• Vizualization:
$$(N + \tilde{N} + R) + R^{2} + \frac{n^{2}R^{2}}{\alpha'^{2}}$$

$$(N + \tilde{N} + R) + R^{2} + \frac{n^{2}R^{2}}{\alpha'^{2}}$$
• Vizualization:
$$(N + R) + R^{2} + \frac{n^{2}R^{2}}{\alpha'^{2}}$$

$$(N + R) + R^{2} + \frac{n^{2}R^{2}}{\alpha'^{2}}$$
• Vizualization:
$$(N + R) + R^{2} + \frac{n^{2}R^{2}}{\alpha'^{2}}$$

• Key observation: Spectrum (and in fact the 25d theory) is invariant  

$$mder$$
  $m \iff h$ ,  $R \iff R' = \frac{\alpha'}{R}$ .  
This is called "T-duality".

- Implication: The same 25d theory has two stringy UV-completions: If one of them has R < Vai, then the other has R > Vai. Thus, one may say that ls ~ Vai is really in some the smallest distance at which our field-theoretic intuition about space holds. If we make the S<sup>1</sup> smaller, control is lost due to many light winding modes. But, a new, T-duck description becomes available in which Tai < R and winding modes are heavy.
- In superspring theory, T-duality changes not just the radius but also the type of theory: IA <> IB & het. SU(32) <> het Eg×Eg

16.3 M- theory

• Thanks to dualities, the 5 different superstring theories we learned about are not just different fundamental theories but different, Calculationally controlled corners of one unique fundamental theory (sometimes called 19-theory):



- We control only the regime of a "large" target space (R > Tai)
   and lov gs < 1. The centre of the diagram is the regime where heither of the above "control handles" epplies.</li>
- One of the corners has no stringy UV completion but a (poorly undershood) UV completion through "M2-branes". This MA SUGRA theory (the only SUGRA above D=10) toms into type ITA string theory upon compactification on S1. M2-branes wrapped on S1 turn into the fundamental strings of type ITA.

16.4 EFT lagrangions

· As already mentioned several times, at low energies in 10d we only see the mossless modes. These form the field content of

135

$$\begin{split} S_{IIA} &= S_{NS} + S_{R} + S_{cs} + fermions & higher-denirative \\ fermis & (K_{10}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{7} \alpha'^{4}) \\ & \omega' H_{1} \quad S_{NS} &= \frac{1}{2K_{10}^{2}} \int d^{10} x \, F_{cs} e^{-2\phi} \left( R + 4(2\phi)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} |H_{3}|^{2} \right) \\ & S_{R} &= \frac{1}{2K_{10}^{2}} \int d^{10} x \, F_{cs} \left( -\frac{1}{2} |F_{2}|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} |F_{4}|^{2} \right) \\ & S_{cs} &= -\frac{1}{9K_{10}^{2}} \int B_{2} \Lambda F_{4} \Lambda F_{4} \qquad ("durn - Simons") \\ & \sim B_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} \left( F_{4} \right)_{\mu_{3}\cdots\mu_{6}} \left( F_{4} \right)_{\mu_{2}\cdots\mu_{10}} \mathcal{E}^{\mu_{3}\cdots\mu_{10}} \\ & |F_{P}|^{2} &= \frac{1}{p'} \left( F_{P} \right)_{\mu_{3}\cdots\mu_{p}} \left( F_{P} \right)_{\mu_{3}\cdots\nu_{p}} G^{\mu_{3}\nu_{1}} \cdots G^{\mu_{p}\nu_{p}} \\ & \cdot The \ IIB \ achion \ is \ similar \ i \ just \ with \ different \ RR - form - degrees \\ and \ a \ different \ CS \ kerm. \\ & \cdot \ If \ D-bromes \ are \ Dremat, \ one \ algo \ has: \qquad "cs" \end{split}$$

$$S_{Dp} = -\mu_p \int d^{p+n} \xi e^{-\phi} tr \sqrt{-det(G_{ab} + B_{ab} + 2\pi d F_{ab})} + \mu_p \int C_{p+n} t flym. b higher - deniv.$$

$$"DBI-achion"$$

$$(Dirac - Born - Infeld)$$

16.5 Ads/CFT

· A very remarkable duchity avises from considering a stack of N

D3 branes in flot 10d space. We can only shetch this. We do not display the 4 dims. parellel to the branes and draw the 6 orthogonal dims. as two:



The above is the perharbohive  $(g_s = e^{\phi} \ll 1)$  picture. At larger  $g_{s_1}$ backreaction effects are considerable (from the D3-mass & F<sub>5</sub>) and the geometry gets deformed. This is very nimilar to a charged BH:

Multic:  $\begin{aligned}
& = \frac{1}{r} \\
& =$ 

 $dx^{2} = dt^{2} - dx^{2}$ ,  $dy^{2} = dv^{2} + v^{2} dv_{5}^{2}$  for p = 3

 low-energy excitations of the SU(N) SYM ≤ gravitational excitations (rary deep in the AdS<sub>5</sub> × S<sup>5</sup> throat. This can be made precise by taking the limit E < 1/Tai in the math. sense</li>
 ⇒ flat-4d SU(N) SYM = type IB ST (i.e. gravity!) on AdS<sub>5</sub>×S<sup>5</sup> (gs N = R<sup>4</sup>/4π x<sup>12</sup>) vadius R<sup>1</sup> 16.6 Towards the real world

· To veolize the idea that ST UV-completes sharity + SM in our real world, the best-explored path is to consider a Kkcompachification on a CY (= special 6d compact manifold) ~ 105 different topologies known, finite total number conjectured. · e.g. type IB on IR" × M6 ; M6 = CY × IIII D-brames (e.g. D7s) fluxes (F3,H3≠0) Ľ Stabilizes V "moduli" SIY gauge proup & matter Note: CY's are special by being Compact mfs. with R w = 0, such that IR "x 146 is a solution of Einstein - eqs. But they can be deformed in many ways, shill being (Ys. This corresponds to 4d scalar fields (like g 55 = q of the 5d -> 4d KK theory). "Turning on fluxes" can stabilize huse moduli. It can also break SUSY ( which the CY by itself preserves). Much more should be said ... -> e.g. book "Natural ners, String-Landscope & Multiverse"

& lots of other literature, e.g. "Ibanez/Uranga".

16.7. Finel remarks

1) Our approach to the superstring (the "RNS superstring") was to make the WS theory supersymmetric. The alternotive, Known as "freen-Schwarz superstring" is to make the target space supersymmetric:  $\chi^{\mu}(\tau, 6) + O^{q}(\tau, 6)$  $\mu = 0, ..., D-1$   $q = 1, ..., 2^{D/2}$ .

2) We argued for the 10d action only perturbatively, through by adding "string-realized" particles or waves to 10d flat space. But one raw instead directly Quisider the WS action on a non-trivial background, i.e. in the simplest case

 $S = \frac{1}{4\pi d^{2}} \int d^{2} \sigma \sqrt{-h} \ C_{\mu\nu}(X) \ \partial_{a} X^{\mu} \partial_{b} X^{\nu} h^{ab}.$ 

This 2d theory is not foce (quadratic in X) any more. Instead, it is an intracting 2d QFT. After gauge - fixing, i.e. on a flat 2d WS, it is than not obvious whether we are dealing with a CFT. Explicitly, expanding around a point Xo, we have:

Gpv (X) = Gpv (X0) + Apvs (X-X0) + Bpvs 5 (X-X0) (X-X0) + ...

can be removed by coord. Anvice on target space

together with (2X)2 leven, this gives rise to a vertex :  $\times$ 

- Since Weyl inv.  $\iff$  and invariance, we need to ensure all  $\beta$ -fots. of our 2d QFT vanish. In particular  $\beta_{35}(G(X,\mu)) = \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} G_{35}(X,\mu) \stackrel{!}{=} 0$ .
- This can be analyzed order by order in the expansion in  $(X-X_0)$  skeldred above. (This expansion is an expansion in  $\alpha'$ , as seen by redefining  $(X-X_0) \rightarrow (X-X_0)V\alpha'$ .)
- One finds e.g.  $\beta_{35}(G) = d'R_{35} + \cdots$  (-> Polchinshi) such that the CFT- condition enforces Ricci-flatness of the target space, i.e. Einstein's eqs.
- · More generally, vanishing B-fets. on the WS converspond to the EOMs of the 10d SUGRA theory.

This was only a start. Much more could be said. Keep shudying the literature!

Thank you for your offention.