

9 Modern covariant quantization — BRST

9.1 BRST symmetry (Becchi, Rouet, Stora, Tyutin)

- For notational simplicity, we will work in euclidean signature, s.t.

$S = \int d^d x (T + V)$ and the exponential factor is $\exp(-S)$.

- Generically, we have $Z = \int D\phi \exp(-S_\phi[\phi])$
 index to distinguish \uparrow in our case $X^\mu(\xi)$ & $h_{ab}(\xi)$
 this from extra terms below

- Write the gauge conditions as $F^A[\phi] = 0$. (In our case $h_{ab} - \delta_{ab} = 0$.)
 index labelling the
 different independent conditions.

- Our last section showed that Z may be expressed as

$$Z = \int D\phi DB_A Db_A Dc^\alpha \exp(-S_\phi[\phi] - S_{gf}[B, \phi] - S_{FP}[b, c, \phi])$$

with $S_{gf} = -i B_A F^A[\phi]$ (s.t. the B_A -integration implies $F^A = 0$)

and $S_{FP} = b_A c^\alpha \underbrace{\delta_\alpha F^A[\phi]}_{\text{variation of } F^A \text{ w.r.t. the gauge parameter } \alpha}$ (s.t. the c/b -integrations calculate the FP-determinant)

- Thus, α labels the gauge group generators and $[\delta_\alpha, \delta_\beta] = f_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \delta_\gamma$ is the algebra of infinitesimal gauge transformations.

(Note: In our case c^α is the Grassmann version of our ϵ^a , generating diffeomorphism. In principle, one would expect a 3rd generator corresponding to Weyl trfs. and "ω". But we have

been able to explicitly perform the integration over ω , so our FP-determinant is only 2×2 , not 3×3 .)

Key claim: $S \equiv S_\phi + S_{gf} + S_{FP}$ is invariant under the BRST-transformation

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \delta_{BRST} \phi &= -i \epsilon c^\alpha \delta_\alpha \phi \\ \delta_{BRST} B_A &= 0 \\ \delta_{BRST} b_A &= \epsilon B_A \\ \delta_{BRST} c^\alpha &= \frac{i}{2} \epsilon c^\beta c^\gamma f_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{This is a generalized version of} \\ \text{a gauge trf., where } c^\alpha \text{ takes} \\ \text{the place of the gauge parameter} \\ \text{and } \epsilon \text{ is a Grassmann-type} \\ \text{infinitesimal parameter.} \end{array}$$

(Check the invariance of S !)

- We can apply Noether's theorem and derive the conserved charge, called "BRST charge Q " or "BRST operator".

As usual, $\delta_{BRST} = \epsilon Q$.

3.2 BRST-quantization: general structure

- If we quantize our theory with fields ϕ, B, b, c , we will get a much too large Fock space. We can restrict it by demanding gauge invariance of amplitudes. To do so, consider an infinitesimal change of the gauge condition: $F^A \rightarrow F'^A = F^A + \delta F^A$.
- This implies a change of $S_{gf} + S_{FP}$ by $\delta(S_{gf} + S_{FP})$.
- A physical amplitude should not change, i.e.

$$\delta \left(\int_{initial}^{final} D\phi \dots Dc \exp(-S_\phi - S_{gf} - S_{FP}) \right) = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\text{initial}}^{\text{final}} D\phi \dots Dc \exp(-S) \delta(S_{gf} + S_{FP}) = 0$$

$$\langle \text{final} | \delta(S_{gf} + S_{FP}) | \text{initial} \rangle = 0 \quad \Uparrow \Downarrow$$

• Now we observe: $\delta_{BRST}(b_A F^A) = i \epsilon (S_{gf} + S_{FP})$ (easy to check!)

• Hence, we need to demand that $\delta(\delta_{BRST}(b_A F^A))$ vanishes under the path integral or between physical states. Since Q is a fermionic operator, we have:

$$\delta(\delta_{BRST}(b_A F^A)) = \delta(\{Q, b_A F^A\}) = \{\delta Q, b_A F^A\} + \{Q, \delta(b_A F^A)\}$$

\uparrow vanishes by gauge condition \uparrow need to demand that this is zero!

• Thus, assuming also that Q is hermitian, all we need to demand is

$$\boxed{Q|\text{phys}\rangle = 0}$$

• Key fact: $\boxed{Q^2 = 0}$ (Q is nilpotent)

(To check: $\delta_{BRST}^{\epsilon'} \delta_{BRST}^{\epsilon}(\dots) = 0$, e.g.

$$\delta_{BRST}^{\epsilon'} \delta_{BRST}^{\epsilon} b_A = \delta_{BRST}^{\epsilon'} (\epsilon B_A) = 0, \text{ etc.})$$

\Rightarrow States of the form $Q|\chi\rangle$ (with arbitrary $|\chi\rangle$) are always physical. They are also orthogonal to any phys. state:

$$\langle \text{phys} | Q | \chi \rangle = \langle \chi | Q | \text{phys} \rangle^* = 0.$$

Hence, these states are "null" according to our earlier definition.

\Rightarrow The true Hilbert space is $\boxed{\mathcal{H}_{BRST} \equiv \text{Ker } Q / \text{Im } Q}$

- In other words: $\mathcal{H}_{\text{BRST}}$ is the cohomology of Q

(This is mathematically a very natural definition.

Cf. e.g. " H^p is the cohomology of d acting on Ω^p ,")

9.3 BRST quantization of the bosonic string

Recall from last section: $L_m = L_m^X + L_m^g + a \delta_m$

with $L_m^X = : \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{m-n} \alpha_n :$ +

$$L_m^g = : \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (m-n) b_{m+n} c_{-n} :$$

(analogous eqs.
for \tilde{L} are suppressed)

[Specifically, $L_0^X = \frac{\alpha_0^2}{2} + \sum_1^{\infty} \alpha_{-n} \alpha_n$

$$L_0^g = \sum_1^{\infty} n (b_{-n} c_n + c_{-n} b_n)$$

\Downarrow

Rewriting original expression from T_{00} in form of L_m^X contributes

- $D/24$ to a . Ghosts contribute $+ \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ b \& c \text{ part}}}{2/24}$. $\Rightarrow a = \frac{-26+2}{24} = -1$.

- Now we want explicitly obtain Q in terms of the above operators.
- By Noether's theorem, we associate a current j_{BRST} to the symmetry δ_{BRST} . The latter is essentially "Diff", with ϵ^a replaced by c^a and declared to be part of the symm. def. Thus, we get the same current as for "Diff", but with an extra factor c^a :

$$j_{\text{BRST}}^a \sim T^{0b} c_b.$$

- Explicitly, one finds (we report the open string case for brevity): 62

$$Q = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} : \left(L_{-m}^X + \frac{1}{2} L_{-m}^g + a \delta_m \right) C_m : \\ = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (L_{-m}^X + a \delta_m) C_m + : \sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{m-n}{2} b_{m+n} c_{-n} c_{-m} :$$

- One can now straightforwardly check the nilpotency relation:

$$Q^2 = \frac{1}{2} \{Q, Q\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} \underbrace{\left([L_m, L_n] - (m-n) L_{m+n} \right)}_{\sim \text{Virasoro anomaly}} C_{-m} C_{-n}$$

(This is not surprising, since BRST is built on the presence of a proper group symm with a Lie algebra.)

\Rightarrow BRST needs $Q^2 = 0$ and hence, again, $D=26$ & $a=-1$ is enforced.

- We now proceed with the Fock space construction.
- The so-called anti-ghost B_A is eliminated by quantizing around the vacuum $k_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$, where our "F^A" vanishes.
- The novel part is in the fermionic b_m, c_m oscillators.
- We start with b_0, c_0 subalgebra: $c_0^2 = b_0^2 = 0$; $\{c_0, b_0\} = 1$.
Define $| \downarrow \rangle$ by $b_0 | \downarrow \rangle = 0$. Define $| \uparrow \rangle \equiv c_0 | \downarrow \rangle$.
Obviously, $c_0 | \uparrow \rangle = 0$. Moreover, $b_0 | \uparrow \rangle = b_0 c_0 | \downarrow \rangle = (1 - c_0 b_0) | \downarrow \rangle = | \downarrow \rangle$. Thus, we have two states with, by convention, c_0 being the raising and b_0 the lowering operator.

- Completely analogous 2-state representations exist for each of the pairs c_1, b_{-1} ; b_1, c_{-1} ; c_2, b_{-2} ; The Fock space is the tensor product.
- As opposed to the bosonic case, the algebra does not distinguish between creator & annihilator (and hence we can't tell who is "vacuum" and who "excited state"). This distinction is made by the ghost-part of the Hamiltonian: L_0^g .
- However, no term with c_0, b_0 is present in L_0^g , so it is up to us to define $|1\rangle$ or $|↓\rangle$ as the vacuum.
- The correct choice is $|↓\rangle$. In other words, physical states $|X\rangle$ are built by applying α_{-m}' s to $|↓\rangle$.

Justification: • $Q|X\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \underbrace{[(L_0^X + a)c_0 + \sum_{m>0} c_{-m} L_m^X]}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{w/o. ghost excitations}}} |X\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} 0$
 This leads precisely to the familiar constraints.

- By contrast, if we had started from $|1\rangle$ and built $|X\rangle$ by applying α_{-m}' s to this "vacuum", then $c_0|X\rangle = 0$ would be automatic and no L_0^X -constraint would result.

Thus, in more detail we have:

|| The Hilbert space is the Q -cohomology of the Fock space built on $|0, p, ↓\rangle, |0, p, ↑\rangle$. In addition, the part built on $|0, p, ↑\rangle$ is excluded from the physical spectrum. ||

(Equivalently: we impose the extra constraint $b_0|\psi\rangle = 0$.)

Explicit construction

First, one easily checks that $\{Q, b_0\} = L_0$. Then $b_0|\psi\rangle = 0$
 $\Rightarrow L_0|\psi\rangle = 0$ for all physical states. Now recall that

$$L_0 = \alpha' p^2 + N + a, \text{ with } N = N_x + N_y \text{ the "total" level.}$$

$$\Rightarrow m^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} (N + a), \text{ i.e. mass shell condition guaranteed at all levels.}$$

① Level zero: $|0, p, \downarrow\rangle$, set $a = -1$

$$0 \stackrel{!}{=} Q|0, p, \downarrow\rangle = \left[\sum_m (L_{-m}^X - \delta_m) c_m + \sum_{m,n} \frac{m-n}{2} : b_{m+n} c_{-m} c_{-n} : \right] |0, p, \downarrow\rangle$$

If we drop all terms containing at least one annihilator, all that's left of the square bracket is $(\alpha' p^2 - 1) c_0 \Rightarrow m^2 = -\frac{1}{\alpha'}$ (tachyon)

Note: Since Q does not change p^2 or the level, this state can not be Q -exact, i.e. expressible as $Q|\psi\rangle$. If it were, it would have to be $Q|0, p, \downarrow\rangle$, but this is zero. Thus, there are no null states at level zero.

① Level one: $|\psi\rangle = (\epsilon \cdot \alpha_{-1} + \beta b_{-1} + \gamma c_{-1}) |0, p, \downarrow\rangle$

• Consider how the $(L_0^X - 1)$ -part & ghost part of Q act on these three terms:

$$A) (L_0^X - 1) c_0 (\epsilon \cdot \alpha_{-1}) |0, p, \downarrow\rangle = c_0 (\alpha' p^2 + 1 - 1) (\epsilon \cdot \alpha_{-1}) |0, p, \downarrow\rangle = 0 \quad (p^2 = 0)$$

$$B) (L_0^X - 1) c_0 (\beta b_{-1}) |0, p, \downarrow\rangle = c_0 (\alpha' p^2 - 1) \beta b_{-1} |0, p, \downarrow\rangle$$

$$C) (L_0^X - 1) c_0 (\gamma c_{-1}) |0, p, \downarrow\rangle = c_0 (\alpha' p^2 - 1) \gamma c_{-1} |0, p, \downarrow\rangle$$

$$D) \sum \frac{m-n}{2} : b_{m+n} c_{-m} c_{-n} : (\epsilon \cdot \alpha_{-1}) |0, p, \downarrow\rangle = 0$$

$$E) \quad - \quad - \quad - \quad (\beta b_{-1}) |0, p, \downarrow\rangle = c_0 \beta b_{-1} |0, p, \downarrow\rangle$$

$$F) \quad - \quad - \quad - \quad (\gamma c_{-1}) |0, p, \downarrow\rangle = c_0 \gamma c_{-1} |0, p, \downarrow\rangle$$

Cancellation

\Rightarrow We got zero. So we only need to enforce that $\sum_{m \neq 0} L_m^\perp c_{-m}$ annihilates our state. In fact, only the terms with $m = \pm 1$ contribute. We find:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\stackrel{!}{=} (p \cdot \alpha_1 c_{-1} + \alpha_{-1} \cdot p c_1) (\varepsilon \cdot \alpha_{-1} + \beta b_{-1} + \gamma c_{-1}) |q, p, \downarrow\rangle \\
 &= ((\varepsilon \cdot p) c_{-1} + (\alpha_{-1} \cdot p) \beta) |q, p, \downarrow\rangle \quad (*) \\
 &\quad \Downarrow \quad \quad \quad \Downarrow \\
 &\quad \text{transversality of } \varepsilon \quad \quad \beta = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we learned that at level one:

- b-excitations are forbidden (since $\beta = 0$)
 - c-excitations are gauge freedom
 - X-excitations are (residual) gauge freedom
- } We see this by applying Q to a level-one state with

$$[\text{see eq. } (*)] \leftarrow \beta \neq 0 \text{ \& } \varepsilon \cdot p \neq 0$$

Higher levels: One can show systematically that this pattern continues.

Comment: At the end of Sect. 4.2, Polchinski gives a general argument for the need to eliminate $1\uparrow$ states.