Trisections in colored tensor models

Reiko Toriumi

Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology (OIST) Graduate School

Heidelberg, May, 2022

in collaboration with Riccardo Martini: arXiv 2110.06659



3

Motivations and Background

Beegaard Splittings and Trisections in SMOOTH category

3 Heegaard Splittings and Trisections in PL category

3

Random geometrical path integral formulations

Partition function of quantum gravity may be given by

$$Z_{
m gravity} \sim \sum_{
m top} \int \mathcal{D} g \; e^{-S_{
m gravity}} \quad
ightarrow \quad \sum_{
m random \; triangulations} e^{-S_{
m discretised \; gravity}}$$

d = 2: Matrix models

• Large N expansion of partition function is controlled by a topological invariant (genus g),

$$Z = \sum_{g} N^{2-2g} Z_g, \quad ext{where } Z_g \sim |\lambda - \lambda_c|^{(2-\gamma)\chi(g)/2} f_g \,.$$

• A clear relation between topology and the critical behavior in the continuum limit ($N \to \infty$, $\lambda \to \lambda_c$ while κ being constant),

$$Z = \sum_{g} \kappa^{2g-2} f_{g}$$
, where $\kappa^{-1} = N |\lambda - \lambda_{c}|^{(2-\gamma)/2}$

Random geometrical path integral formulations

Partition function of quantum gravity may be given by

$$Z_{
m gravity} \sim \sum_{
m top} \int \mathcal{D} g \; e^{-S_{
m gravity}} \quad
ightarrow \sum_{
m random triangulations} e^{-S_{
m discretised gravity}}$$

d > 2: Tensor models

 Large N limit is controlled by Gurau degree ω_G, having strong influence from combinatorics and encoding geometrical information via the number of bicolor cycles (faces), F_G,

$$Z \sim \sum_{\mathcal{G}} N^{d-\frac{2}{(d-1)!}\omega_{\mathcal{G}}}, \quad \mathrm{where} \; \omega_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{(d-1)!}{2} \Big(\frac{d(d-1)}{2} p + d - F_{\mathcal{G}} \Big) = \sum_{\mathrm{jackets}, \mathcal{J}} g_{\mathcal{J}} \geq 0 \,.$$

- A systematic analysis of subleading contributions ($\omega_G > 0$) turns out to be hard. (how to go beyond melons ?)
- Q. Can we do something different if we learn new topological constructions?

Colored tensor models

Consider combinatorially nonlocal 0-dimensional field theories of size N random tensors with d-indices $T : \mathbb{Z}_N^d \to \mathbb{C}$, where the d = 4 action for (d + 1) = (4 + 1)-colored tensors with (d = 4)-indices is given by

$$S[T, \bar{T}] = N^{4/2} \Big(\sum_{c=0}^{4} \sum_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_N} T^{c}_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} \bar{T}^{c}_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} \\ + \lambda \sum_{a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_N} T^{0}_{a_{01} a_{02} a_{03} a_{04}} T^{1}_{a_{10} a_{14} a_{13} a_{12}} T^{2}_{a_{21} a_{20} a_{24} a_{23}} T^{3}_{a_{32} a_{31} a_{30} a_{34}} T^{4}_{a_{43} a_{42} a_{41} a_{40}} \\ + \bar{\lambda} \sum_{a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_N} \bar{T}^{0}_{a_{01} a_{02} a_{03} a_{04}} \bar{T}^{1}_{a_{10} a_{14} a_{13} a_{12}} \bar{T}^{2}_{a_{21} a_{20} a_{24} a_{23}} \bar{T}^{3}_{a_{32} a_{31} a_{30} a_{34}} \bar{T}^{4}_{a_{43} a_{42} a_{41} a_{40}} \Big).$$

with $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ and the probability measure is given by

$$d\mu = \int \mathcal{N} \prod_{c,a_i} dT^i{}_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} d\bar{T}^i{}_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} e^{-S[T,\bar{T}]}.$$
 (1)

The tensors transform under the symmetry of $U(N)^4$:

$$\begin{split} T_{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} &\to T'_{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} = T_{lmnp}U_{a_{1}}^{l}U_{a_{2}}^{m}U_{a_{3}}^{n}U_{a_{4}}^{p} \\ \bar{T}_{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} &\to \bar{T}'_{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} = \bar{T}_{lmnp}(U^{\dagger})_{a_{1}}^{l}(U^{\dagger})_{a_{2}}^{m}(U^{\dagger})_{a_{3}}^{n}(U^{\dagger})_{a_{4}}^{p} \end{split}$$

(d + 1)-colored graphs (also, called graph encoding manifolds (GEM)) are dual to simplicial triangulations of piecewise linear (PL) *d*-dimensional pseudo-manifolds [Bandieri, Gagliardi 1982; Ferri, Gagliardi, Grasselli 1986].

Illustration below of *d*-simplices in d = 2, 3, 4 dimensions, where we embedded d + 1-colored graphs.



Figure: Colored representation.





Figure: Stranded representation.

Motivations and Background

Peegaard Splittings and Trisections in SMOOTH category

Beegaard Splittings and Trisections in PL category

3



Handle decomposition (in SMOOTH category)

 An *i*-handle is a thickening of Dⁱ, and is therefore Dⁱ × D^{d-i} glued along Sⁱ⁻¹ × D^{d-i}. e.g., in 3-dimensions,



Figure: Compression discs and spines are transversal. Belt sphere for 1-handle to become an attaching curve, and attaching sphere for 2-handle to become an attaching curve.



Handle decomposition (in SMOOTH category)

 In Morse theory, passing through an index *i* critical point means attaching an *i*-handle, i.e., topology changes when passing an *i*-handle.

• Morse theory picture naturally provides us with the **handle decomposition** of a closed *d*-manifold: $M^{(d)} = 0$ -handle $\cup g$ 1-handles $\cup h$ 2-handles $\cup \cdots \cup d$ -handle.





Heegaard splittings in 3-dimensions (in SMOOTH category)

A special case is when H^(d) = 0-handle ∪ g 1-handles (therefore with a boundary), then H^(d) is called 1-handlebody with its genus defined as g. In a 1-handlebody, there is a 1-skeletal spine (i.e., a graph, or equivalently a set of nodes and lines) where the manifold collapses onto.



Figure: g = 3 1-handlebody (0-handle \cup three 1-handles).

• Definition. A Heegaard splitting of a compact connected oriented 3-manifold $M^{(3)}$ is the triple $(\Sigma^{(2)}, H_1^{(3)}, H_2^{(3)})$, where $\Sigma = \partial H_1 = \partial H_2 = H_1 \cap H_2$, called a Heegaard surface, is a compact connected closed oriented 2-dimensional surface, while $H_1^{(3)}$ and $H_2^{(3)}$ are 1-handlebodies whose union is $M^{(3)} = H_1 \cup H_2$. $g(\Sigma) = g(H_1) = g(H_2)$. min(g) is a topological invariant.



Heegaard splittings in 3-dimensions (in SMOOTH category)

• One can represent $M^{(3)}$ with a **Heegaard diagram** which consists of a Heegaard surface and α and β attaching curves, e.g.,





Heegaard splittings in 3-dimensions (in SMOOTH category)

• Two diagrams for a given $M^{(3)}$ can be transformed into each other by a finite sequence of moves of stabilisations/handle-cancelations and handle slides. In particular, **stabilisations** can be visualised as



 handle slides. There is a freedom to where one glues handles on a given Heegaard surface. The corresponding Heegaard diagrams are equivalent.



Trisections in 4-dimensions (in SMOOTH category)



A trisection is given by [Gay and Kirby 2012]

•
$$M^{(4)} = X_1^{(4)} \cup X_2^{(4)} \cup X_3^{(4)}$$

•
$$H_{ij}^{(3)} = X_i^{(4)} \cap X_j^{(4)}$$
 and $\partial X_i^{(4)} = H_{ij}^{(3)} \cup H_{ik}^{(3)}$.

- $\Sigma^{(2)} = X_1^{(4)} \cap X_2^{(4)} \cap X_3^{(4)}$ is a closed surface.
- All $X_i^{(4)}$ and $H_{ij}^{(3)}$ are 1-handlebodies.

Some remarks follow:

- $(H_{ij}^{(3)}, H_{jk}^{(3)}, \Sigma^{(2)})$ forms a Heegaard splitting.
- $g(H_{12}^{(3)}) = g(H_{13}^{(3)}) = g(H_{23}^{(3)}) = g(\Sigma^{(2)}).$
- min g(Σ⁽²⁾), trisection genus, is an invariant.

Trisections in 4-dimensions (in SMOOTH category)

• One can represent $M^{(4)}$ in a trisection diagram with a 2-dim central surface and α , β , γ -attaching curves, e.g.,



• Again, one can perform a **stabilisation**, which will not alter the overall 4-manifold nor spoil the trisection.





Extending theorem (Montesinos).

Given a 4-dimensional 1-handlebody X of genus g (so, g-number of 1-handles and a 0-handle) and a homeomorphism $\phi : \partial X \to \partial X$, there exists a unique homeomorphism $\Phi : X \to X$ extending ϕ .

Trisections, then, allow us to fully determine $M^{(4)}$ by the three 3-dimensional 1-handlebodies $H_{ii}^{(3)}$ which in turn, can be represented by means of Heegaard diagrams.

Motivations and Background

Heegaard Splittings and Trisections in SMOOTH category

Heegaard Splittings and Trisections in PL category

3

• Given a colored graph \mathcal{G} , Gurau degree $\omega(\mathcal{G})$ is given as the sum of genera of all *jackets* of $\mathcal{G}, \omega(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{\mathcal{J}} g_{\mathcal{J}}$, where a jacket \mathcal{J}_{η} is an embedded 2-subcomplex of a colored graph \mathcal{G} , labeled by a permutation η of the set $\{0, \ldots, d\}$ such that \mathcal{J}_{η} and \mathcal{G} have the same node and line sets and the bicolored cycle (face) set of \mathcal{J}_{η} is a subset of that of \mathcal{G} .



Figure: The elementary melon graph for tensor models with 3-indices, and its three jackets, in stranded representation

Regular embeddings (= jackets) of the graph define surfaces in the simplicial complex, and define matrix models within tensor models.

Jackets as Heegaard surfaces (in PL category)

Splitting of a 3-simplex and a visualisation of how the 3-dim 1-handlebody can be formed [Ryan 2012]:



Constructing trisections in colored tensor model graphs (in PL cat.)

1. Start with mapping a 4-simplex $\Delta^{(4)}$ onto a triangle by partitioning the sets of vertices in three sets $P_0 = \{v^{\hat{0}}\}, P_1 = \{v^{\hat{1}}, v^{\hat{2}}\}$ and $P_2 = \{v^{\hat{3}}, v^{\hat{4}}\}$ [Bell, Hass, Rubinstein, Tillmann, 2017; Casali, Cristofori, 2019]



Notice

• the nested Heegaard splitting-like structures inside a trisection-like structure already present in a single 4-simplex; see the triples (s, $Q_{\Delta(4)}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\Delta(4)}$), (s, $Q_{\Delta(4)}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta(4)}$), and (s, $\mathcal{R}_{\Delta(4)}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta(4)}$).

•
$$s = \Delta^{(4)} \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{B}^{\hat{0}}))$$
, where $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{B}^{\hat{0}}))$ is the realisation of the **jacket of** $\hat{0}$ -**bubbles**.

The problem is that each of the 3-dimensional pieces which are to be $H_{ij}^{(3)}$ s in a trisection is not connected once we look at the induced structure on a whole colored tensor model graph.

Constructing trisections in colored tensor model graphs (in PL cat.)

2. So, carve out a neighborhood of embedded 0-color lines of the colored graph [Martini, Toriumi 2021].

For example, let us take \mathcal{D}_{σ_a} and \mathcal{D}_{σ_b} , from where we carved out such a neighborhood.



Via the carving operation above, we connected the isolated duals of 4-bubbles, also ensuring the spines of 3-dimensional and 4-dimensional pieces are 1-skeletons.



Now let us analyse the genus of the central surface of the trisection constructed above.

Obtain a graph $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ derived from a colored graph \mathcal{G} , by contracting all the $\hat{0}$ -bubbles to points which will then become the nodes of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, whose number is $|\mathcal{V}^{\hat{0}}|$.

The genus of the central surface is given by

$$g_c = \sum_{a=1}^{|\mathcal{V}^0|} g_{\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{B}_a^{\hat{0}})} + L_0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \sum_{c=1}^{15} g_c = \omega(\mathcal{G}) + 3(4p+1),$$

where L_0 the number of independent loops of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, 2p is the number of nodes of the original colored graph \mathcal{G} , and $\omega(\mathcal{G})$ is the Gurau degree.

Constructing trisections in colored tensor model graphs (in PL cat.)

Drawing trisection diagrams based on colored tensor model graphs.



(2)

(4)

- We formulated a construction of trisections on manifolds realised by colored tensor models with 4-indices.
- We found in this construction, a central surface of a trisection is realised via a jacket of a 4-bubble.
- Some drawbacks in relation to initial hope is that in tensor models as a random geometric approach to quantum gravity, one is interested in taking a continuum limit, where we shall send the number of triangulations to infinity, i.e., the graph will become large, therefore, g_c tends large as well, being far away from the topologically invariant trisection genus.
- It is also ambiguous whether classifying graphs according to g_c is meaningful.