Tilman Plehn LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion

LHC Data Science

Symbolic rec

LHC Theory as Fun Data Science

Tilman Plehn

Universität Heidelberg

Benasque, September 2022



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- · dark matter
- · baryogenesis
- · Higgs VEV









Symbolic reg

Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- · dark matter
- · baryogenesis
- · Higgs VEV

LHC physics

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- · complete uncertainty control
- $\cdot\,$ first-principle precision simulations



Symbolic reg

Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- · dark matter
- baryogenesis
- Higgs VEV

LHC physics

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- · complete uncertainty control
- · first-principle precision simulations

Traditional methods

- · discover in rates
- · unveil little black holes
- find supersymmetry
- travel extra dimensions
- measure couplings





Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- dark matter
- baryogenesis
- Higgs VEV

LHC physics

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- · complete uncertainty control
- · first-principle precision simulations

Traditional methods

- · discover in rates
- · unveil little black holes
- find supersymmetry
- travel extra dimensions
- measure couplings

First-principle simulations

- · start with Lagrangian
- · calculate scattering using QFT
- simulate events
- simulate detectors
- → LHC events in virtual worlds





Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- dark matter
- baryogenesis
- Higgs VEV

LHC physics

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- · complete uncertainty control
- · first-principle precision simulations

Traditional methods

- · discover in rates
- · unveil little black holes
- find supersymmetry
- travel extra dimensions
- measure couplings

First-principle simulations

- · start with Lagrangian
- calculate scattering using QFT
- simulate events
- · simulate detectors
- \rightarrow LHC events in virtual worlds

BSM searches

- $\cdot\,$ compare simulations and data
- · analyze data systematically [SMEFT]
- · understand LHC dataset [SM or BSM]
- · publish useable results
- \rightarrow With a little help from data science...





LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn LHC physics

ML example Uncertaintie

- Anomalies
- Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

LHC questions

· How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?



- LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion
- Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

- · How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 - Data compression [Netflix]



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies

Ask a data scientist

- · How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 - Data compression [Netflix]
- · How to analyze events with 4-vectors?



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

- How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

- How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- · How to incorporate symmetries?



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

- How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

- How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors? Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- · How to combine tracker and calorimeter?



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

- How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors? Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

- How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- · How to remove pile-up?



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

- How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

- How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]
- · How to look for BSM physics?



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

- How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]
- How to look for BSM physics?
 - Autoencoders [SAP]



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

- How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 - Data denoising [Cars]
- $\cdot\,$ How to look for BSM physics?
 - Autoencoders [SAP]
- · How to compare simulations and data?



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

- How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 - Data denoising [Cars]
- How to look for BSM physics?
 Autoencoders [SAP]
- · How to compare simulations and data?
- · How to treat uncertatinties?



LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

Ask a data scientist

LHC questions

- How to get from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 - Data denoising [Cars]

. . .

- How to look for BSM physics?
 Autoencoders [SAP]
- · How to compare simulations and data?
- · How to treat uncertatinties?
- \rightarrow How can we contribute to data science?



LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn LHC physics

ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies

Shortest ML-intro ever

Fit-like approximation

- · approximate known f(x) using $f_{\theta}(x)$
- $\cdot \,$ no parametrization, just very many values θ
- · new representation/latent space θ

Construction and contol

- · define (well-defined) loss function
- $\cdot \,$ minimize loss to find best θ
- · compare $x o f_{ heta}(x)$ for training/test data

LHC applications

. . . .

- · regression $x \to f_{\theta}(x)$
- · classification $x \to f_{\theta}(x) \in [0, 1]$
- \cdot generation $r \sim \mathcal{N}
 ightarrow f_{ heta}(r)$
- · conditional generation $r \sim \mathcal{N} \rightarrow f_{\theta}(r|x)$
- \rightarrow Transforming numerical science



LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties

- Anomalies
- Symbolic reg

ML-applications for analysis

Top tagging [supervised classification]

- · 'hello world' of LHC-ML
- · the end of QCD
- · different NN-architectures
- $\rightarrow\,$ Non-NN left in the dust...



The Machine Learning Landscape of Top Taggers G. Katoka (eff), T. Petra (eff), A. Butte¹, S. Charare¹, D. Butte¹, M. D. Bolo¹, M. Fattkeir, P. A. Penegly¹, W. Fotekov, C. Cay, J. Cashara, J. J. Katok,¹ B. Nathara, S. N. Penegly¹, W. Fotekov, C. Cay, J. Cashara, J. Katok,¹ B. Nathara, ^{10,1} K. Nathara,^{10,1} J. Patthe¹, H. Dy, Y. Rab,¹ M. Zagys¹⁰, D. Shit¹, J. M. Tangerov, and S. Watter,¹ I. Heitelf for Described by M. Distancial Bandwarg, Genergy 1. Intellect for Described by M. Distancial Bandwarg, Genergy

SciPost Physics

Linute for Theoremist Princip Uncertain Evolution, Denomy
 Toron for Consider galaxy and constraints for the Astronomy, VIC 2010
 Linute State St



ML-applications for analysis

Top tagging [supervised classification]

- · 'hello world' of LHC-ML
- · the end of QCD
- · different NN-architectures
- \rightarrow Non-NN left in the dust...



The Machine Learning Landscape of Top Taggers G. Kueisen (ed)¹, T. Fols (ed)², A. Butterl, Y. Casarel³, D. Debardt, S. M. Effel, B. Feitsein¹, D. A. Foregli, W. Folder, C. Guy, L. Cossida¹, J. Kuesl³, P. T. Kueisk³, S. Leile A. Linel¹, A. Linel¹, S. Linel³, D. Kuesl³, P. Kahana,³ N. Kueisl³, M. Linel¹, G. Linel¹, S. Linel³, J. Kuesl³, J. K. Thumper¹, and S. Wang⁴, L. Kuesl³, J. Kuesl³, J. M. Thumper¹, and S. Wang⁴, D. Kuesl³, S. Kuesl³, J. Kuesl³, J. K. Thumper¹, and S. Wang⁴, J. Kuesl³, K. Kuesl³, J. Kuesl³, K. Kuesl³, J. Kuesl³, Kuesl³, J. Kuesl³, J.

Latence for Theoremist Princip Unconstitutional Booking, Ground Carlor of Counding and Dear Princip and Carlo Latence, NY, San Karlow, San Karlow, NY, San Karlow, NY, San Karlow, NY, San Karlow, NY, San Karlow, San Karlow, NY, San Karlow, San Karlow, NY, San Ka

Particle flow [classification, super-resolution]

- · mother of jet tools
- · combined detector channels
- · similar studies in CMS
- \rightarrow Seriously impressive





Towards a Computer Vision Particle Flow *

Francesco Armando Di Bello^{1,1}, Sanmay Gangely^{3,1}, Eilam Gross¹, Marumi Kado^{3,4}, Michael Pitt², Lorenzo Santi ³, Jonathan Shlomi¹

¹Weizmann Institute of Science, Robevot 76100, Junei ²CERN, CHI 1211, Genera 23, Steinerland ³Universitä di Roma Sapierus, Piazza Aldo Moo, 2, 00185 Roma, Italy e INPN, Italy ¹Universit\u00e9 Paralog, CMSR/R2P2, IJCLub, 91405, Ossay, France Fig. 7: An event display of total energy abover (within topecluster), as captured by a calorimeter layer of 8 × 8 granularity, along with the location of the track, denoted by a red cross (left) and the same shower is captured by a calorimeter layer of 32×32 granularity (middle). The bottom right panel shows the corresponding event predicted by the NN. The figure shows that the shower originating from a $n^2 \rightarrow \gamma$ is resolved by a 32 × 32 granularity layer.



ML examples

Symmetries

Learning symmetries [representation, visualization]

- · (particle) physics is all symmetries
- · identify symmetries in 2D systems [paintings]
- · CNN on PCAs of penultimate network layers
- \rightarrow Networks represent data patterns



Symmetry meets AI

Galarich Barealusin", Johannes Hien", and Verinita Sam""

Deserved of Plasics and Astronomy, Deservely of Passes, Bridden BV 858, UK

We replace vehicles Neural Ne

shape of ellipses¹. From the been data, hance Newton was guites the existpathen due exismitten of ellipsis, deeper and than normation of releasid hadres the ellipsis iteration. Each learned

implies, decayer and then some powerd developition of the ration of ordering limites than the original critication of horevaliants. Their forwarding many years, we now meeration that Norderin's laws can be obtained from inning a symmetry on an abstrate dispet collect for Arian. Our idea in this paper is to by the homilations for an

Our idea in this paper is to by the foundations for an atomated, or artificial intelligence (AI), version of the ErgPre intermediate step between Booke and Newton. A basetional task oriented implementation of the gen-







ML examples

Symmetries

Learning symmetries [representation, visualization]

- · (particle) physics is all symmetries
- · identify symmetries in 2D systems [paintings]
- · CNN on PCAs of penultimate network layers
- \rightarrow Networks represent data patterns



PCA detected Inde 1 Inde 2 Inde 2

Symmetric networks [contrastive learning, transformer network]

- · rotations, translations, permutations, soft splittings, collinear splittings
- · learn symmetries/augmentations
- → Symmetry-aware latent space





Physics	Sabulation

Symmetries, Safety, and Self-Supervision

Barry M. Dilon¹, Gregor Kasiocaks², Hans Olashlager¹, Tilman Piehn¹, Peter Sorrenson³, and Lorenz Vogs¹

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Beidelberg, Germany
 Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamberg, Germany
 Heidelberg Collaboratory for Image Processing, Universität Heidelberg, Germany

August 11, 2021

Abstract

Califies markes from the during or during a regressration of high-dimensional data, such duri hydrold symmetric are smallerly the discriminating intervers were training, and the durin of representation in mer-dynkin signatic. We intersisten ACCLR is no show the marging fram knowled data to spitation discrimination strateging discrimination of the strateging discrimination of the strateging discrimination of the strateging discrimination of the strateging discrimination data to expension discrimination of the strateging discrimination data and the strateging discrimination data and the strateging discrimination discrimination of the strateging and the strateging discrimination discrimination discrimination and the strateging and the strateging discrimination and discrimination discrimination discrimination of the strateging and discrimination discriminati discrimination discrimin



next of Physics and Astronomy, Determing of Hauser, Brighton IEU 400 whicher Stare & Networks (NDs) (see also next the parameter of promotives a link. For this, we wish handbooks of Nets on a dirac parameter base distribution of the start in the start of the start of the start of the distribution of the start of the start of the start of the start of the NETs parameter is to forward fluctuations, as the largest for the start with the start of the start of

1. INTERESTICTOR promotion are reacted to the work-optimal processors or -16 shows only of a symmetry depict the resis or of a balancend of principle and maximum kinetic the second optimal balance and obtained and the second and show of the second second and the second second second and the second second second and second second second and the second second second second second and the second second second second second second second second section -10^{-10} second second

generaly, wanne reasons a sewired spannerby, no. Adult hindpels, deprese and loss masses general descriptions of motions of ordering the holes. Use a signal of different description of the second second second second second description of the Newton's horse can be relationed from produce a symmetry on an alwiteast adopts colled the time. One ideas in this paper is to leg the foundations for a matimation of an artificial individues (Newton 1) for a matimation of an artificial individues (Newton 1) for a matimation of a matilicial individues (Newton 1) for a matimation of a matilicial individues (Newton 1) for a matimation of the second second

mated, or artificial intelligence (AI), version of the ire intermediate step between Boder and Newton. Insettional task corrected implementation of the gen-

ML examples

Non-QCD and parton densities

Anomaly searches [unsupervised training, see later]

- · train on QCD-jets, SM-events
- · look for non-QCD jets, non-SM events

SciPest Piceles

Abstract

Better Latent Spaces for Better Autoencoders

Burry M. Dillon¹, Tilman Pielm¹, Christol Suser², and Peter Surresson² 1 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Germany

April 20, 2821

→ Spirit of LHC





LHC Data ML examples

Non-QCD and parton densities

Anomaly searches [unsupervised training, see later]

- train on QCD-jets, SM-events
- · look for non-QCD jets, non-SM events

Better Latent Spaces for Better Autoencoders

Burry M. Dilon¹, Tilman Fielm¹, Classical Scare², and Price Surresson² 1 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Germann

→ Spirit of LHC



NNPDF/N3PDF parton densities [full blast]

starting point: pdfs without functional ansatz

Abstract

- moving on: cutting-edge ML everywhere
- \rightarrow Leaders in ML-theory

N

A data-based parametrization of parton distribution functions

Stelans Carrama^{12,3}, Jasa Crus-Martinez¹, and Bor Stepsman¹

THP Lab, Dipartimento di Finica, Università degli Risti di Milano and INFN Seniore di Milano. GERS, Theoretical Physics Department, CH-1211 Geneve 22, Switzerland, Quantum Research Contex, Technology Borovania Institute, Ales Dahl, UAE.

Received, date / Beviewl version: date

Abstract. Since the first determination of a structure function many docades age, all methodologies used to determine structure functions or parton distribution functions (PDFb) have employed a common prefactor a part of the parametrization. The NNPUP reliaberation pinement due used consult services to reverse

PACS. 32.38-4 Quantum chromodynamics - 12.39-w Phenomenological quark models - 88.35.+1 Neural





Events and amplitudes

LHC Data Science Filman Plehn

LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties

- Inversion
- Anomalies
- Symbolic reg

Speeding up Sherpa [sampling]

· precision simulations limiting factor for Runs 3&4

 $uu \rightarrow t\bar{t}quu | u\bar{u} \rightarrow t\bar{t}qde$

3.6e-4

5.0e-3

0.19

7.1e-2

6.5e-2

199

- unweighting critical
- \rightarrow Phase space sampling

2.4c-2 3.8e-2

0.9999 0.9994 0.9994 0.9994

4.80

Table 4: Performance measures for partonic channels contributing to #+3 into production

661

(test)/(tear) 39312

zen datuer deter

emiliaria di anticiaria di ant

figed 3.50 8.26 3.91 2.22

Ber	1 Call	: PB)	9808	

MCNET-21-13

Accelerating Monte Carlo event generation – rejection sampling using neural network event-weight estimates

K. Damiger¹, T. Janfen², S. Schumann², F. Siegert¹

Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, TU Drenden, Deesden, Germany
 Institut für Theoretische Physik, George-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttin

September 27, 2021

Abstract

The generation of unde-wight security for complex scattering processes presents a source scheduleg to source Maching to source Maching to source matchings to more structures. The source of the structure process structure of the structure of th





LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn LHC physics

Uncertaintie

- Inversion
- Anomalies
- Symbolic reg

Events and amplitudes

Speeding up Sherpa [sampling]

- · precision simulations limiting factor for Runs 3&4
- unweighting critical
- \rightarrow Phase space sampling

	$gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}ggg$	$ug \rightarrow t\bar{t}ggu$	$su \rightarrow t\bar{t}gss$	$u\bar{u} \rightarrow t\bar{t}gd\bar{d}$
662	1.1e-2	7.3e-3	6.8e-3	6.6e - 4
Colour	6.7e-3	5.8e-3	4.7e-3	3.6e-4
(test)/(test)	39312	2417	199	64
2000 C	52.03	32.52	03.75	325.19
enter.	2.4:-2	3.8e-2	2.1e-2	5.6e-3
opm.	0.0669	0.9984	0.9994	0.9951
En.	2.21	4.89	1.47	0.29
yord	30.40	19.14	27.78	35.34
e mod	4.3e-2	6.4e-2	5.1e-2	7.1e-2
amed	0.9963	0.9966	0.9943	0.5921
021	3.90	8.26	3.91	2.22

Table 4: Performance measures for parionic channels contributing to $t\bar{t}+3$ jets production at the LHC.



MCNET-21-13

Accelerating Monte Carlo event generation - rejection sampling using neural network event-weight estimates

K. Dansiger¹, T. Janfen², S. Schumsen², F. Siegert¹

Institut für Kern- und Tellchenphysik, TU Drenden, Deesden, Germany
 Institut für Theoretische Physik, George August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen,

September 27, 2021

Abstract

The generation of unit-wight sense for couples contribute presences presents as severe shalting to move Markov fits one way agreen show. Severe shalting to move the severe shalting the severe shalt and the severe severe shalting the severe shalting severe in present applications. However, while it will guarantee subsets subsets downling from the presence while it will guarantee subsets downling form the presence while it will guarantee subsets downling form the presence of the severe starts of downline with a way way while it will guarantee subsets downling form the over ever target distribution. We apply, validate and becknar: the sew approach is where we for project printer up to target printer u



Speeding up amplitudes [precision regression]

- · loop-amplitudes expensive
- · interpolation standard
- → Network amplitudes





PRESIDENT FOR STRAINING TO JHEP

IPPP/20/136

Optimising simulations for diphoton production at hadron colliders using amplitude neural networks

Joseph Aylott-Bullock^{1,2} Simon Badger¹ Ryan Moodie

Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, Department of Physics, Darham University, Darham, DRI 3247, United Kingdom

³Instituté for Data Science, Darkam University, Darkam, DHI IEE, United Einplem ⁴Dpartiments de Paise and Arsold-Pappe Centre, Université de Tavina, and JMPN, Science de Tortes. Na F. Centra J. - Patrill Tortes. Bach.

E-wait j.p. billockBdurham.ac.uk, minendavid hadger@mite.it, rjan.i.medieOdurham.ac.uk

APPTACC: Modulas learning technology has the potential to demandially optimise comparison and singulations. We consist as biogenering for the ord anomal storehus to appendix and the singular storehus to appendix the singular storehus to appendix the singular storehus to appendix to history and the singular storehus the potential storehus to appendix to history and potential storehus the singular storehus to appendix to history and the singular storehus to appendix to history and the singular storehus the potential storehus to potential storehus potential



LHC Data ML examples

Invertible event generation and errors

Unfolding and inversion [conditional normalizing flows, see later]

shower/hadronization unfolded by jet algorithm

Abstract

per-event probabilistic interpretation over parton-level phase space.

- · detector/decays unfolded e.g. in tops
- · calibrated inverse sampling
- Backwards generation \rightarrow



M_{W,recp} [GeV]

LHC Data

Invertible event generation and errors

Unfolding and inversion [conditional normalizing flows, see later]

shower/hadronization unfolded by jet algorithm

SciPost Phonics

- · detector/decays unfolded e.g. in tops
- calibrated inverse sampling
- Backwards generation \rightarrow



Generative networks with uncertainties [Bayesian discriminator-flows]

- control through discriminator [GAN-like]
- uncertainties through Bayesian networks
- → Precision & control









LHC Data Tilman Plehn ML examples

String landscape and learned formulas

Navigating string landscape [reinforcement learning]

- · searching for viable vacua
- high dimensions, unknown global structure
- → Model space sampling



Figure 1: Left: Cluster structure in dimensionally reduced flux samples for RL and 25 GA runs (PCA on all samples of GA and RL). The colors indicate individual GA runs. Right: Dependence on flux (input) values (N3 and N5 respectively) in relation to principal components for a PCA fit of the individual output of GA and RL.

Probing the Structure of String Theory Vacua with Genetic Algorithms and Reinforcement Learning



Identifying string theory vacua with desired physical properties at low energies requires searching through high-dimensional solution spaces - collectively referred reinforcement learning and genetic algorithms. In the context of flux vacua, we are able to reveal nevel features (suggesting previously unidentified symmetries) in the string theory solutions required for properties such as the string coupling. In order to identify these features robustly, we combine results from both search methods. which we argue is imperative for reducing sampling bias.



Symbolic reg

String landscape and learned formulas

Navigating string landscape [reinforcement learning]

- searching for viable vacua
- · high dimensions, unknown global structure
- → Model space sampling



Figure 1: Left: Cluster structure in dimensionally reduced flux samples for RL and 25 GA runs (PCA on all samples of GA and RL). The colors indicate individual GA runs. Right: Dependence on flux (input) values (N₃ and N₅ respectively) in relation to principal components for a PCA fit of the individual output of GA and RL.

Probing the Structure of String Theory Vacua with Genetic Algorithms and Reinforcement Learning

Alex Cole University of Amsterdam a.e.cole@uva.nl	Sven Krippendorf Arnold Sommetfold Center for Theoretical Physics LMU Monish aven. krippendorf Ophynik.uni-maenchen.de
Andreas Schachner Centre for Mathematical Scier University of Cambridge as26730cam.ac.uk	Gary Shia ces University of Wiscensin-Madison shiu0physics.visc.edu
	Abstract
Identifying string theory vacua requires searching through high- to as the string landscope. We reinforcement learning and gene able to reveal newel features (or	with desired physical properties at low energies dimensional solution spaces – collectively referred highlight that this search problem is amenable to tic algorithms. In the context of this vacua, we are genting previously unidentified symmetries) in the

which we argue is impenative for reducing sampling bias

string theory solutions required for properties such as the string coupling. In order to identify these features robustly, we combine results from both search methods,

Learning formulas [genetic algorithm, symbolic regression, see later]

- · approximate numerical function through formula
- · example: score/optimal observables
- → Useful approximate formulas







Back to the Formula — LHC Edition

Anja Butter¹, Tilman Piehn¹, Nathalio Soybelman¹, and Johann Beehmer²

1 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universitilt Heidelberg, Germany Center for Data Science, New York University, New York, United States nathalis@acybelman.de

November 16, 2021

Abstract

While near a setworks offer an attractive way to manufastly encode functions, actual formula in remain the language of theoretical portice layous, we way subdic regressions include on matrix-densemi information to extract, for instance, optimal LHC observables. This way invert the usual finalizabits pareling and activat study interpretable formation from constant of the study of



LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties

- Inversion
- Symbolic reg

Modern generative networks

Normalizing flows — $\rm INN$

- · phase space density estimation
- · trained on event samples
- · Gaussian latent space
- · bijective mapping
- known Jacobian
- · log-likelihood loss
- $\rightarrow\,$ Better for physics than VAEs and GANs







Symbolic reg

Modern generative networks

Normalizing flows - INN

- · phase space density estimation
- $\cdot\,$ trained on event samples
- · Gaussian latent space
- · bijective mapping
- known Jacobian
- · log-likelihood loss
- $\rightarrow\,$ Better for physics than VAEs and GANs

Bayesian networks with uncertainties

- network weight distributions [Gal (2016)]
- · sample for output [efficient ensembling]
- $\cdot \,$ working for regression, classification
- · events with error bars [density & uncertainty maps]
- · 2D: wedge ramp, kicker ramp,...
- ightarrow Bayesian INNs just fits with error bars





Symbolic reg

Modern generative networks

Normalizing flows - INN

- · phase space density estimation
- $\cdot\,$ trained on event samples
- · Gaussian latent space
- · bijective mapping
- known Jacobian
- log-likelihood loss
- $\rightarrow\,$ Better for physics than VAEs and GANs

Bayesian networks with uncertainties

- network weight distributions [Gal (2016)]
- · sample for output [efficient ensembling]
- $\cdot \,$ working for regression, classification
- · events with error bars [density & uncertainty maps]
- · 2D: wedge ramp, kicker ramp,...
- → Bayesian INNs just fits with error bars





Inverse simulation

Invertible ML-simulation

- · forward: $r \rightarrow$ events trained on model
- \cdot inverse: $r \rightarrow$ anything trained on model, conditioned on event





Inverse simulation

Invertible ML-simulation

- \cdot forward: $r \rightarrow$ events trained on model
- \cdot inverse: $r \rightarrow$ anything trained on model, conditioned on event
- · individual steps known problems

detector unfolding unfolding to QCD parton means jet algorithm unfolding jet radiation known combinatorics problem unfolding to hard process standard in top groups [needed for global analyses] matrix element method an old dream

- · improved through coherent ML-method
- \rightarrow Free choice of data-theory inference point





Inverting to hard process

Conditional INN

- · partonic events from $\{r\}$, given detector event
- $\cdot\,$ loss based on likelihood, Bayes' theorem, Jacobian

- $\cdot\,$ eventually to be combined with reweighting
- \rightarrow Stable and statistically calibrated

Undo QCD jet radiation in $pp \rightarrow ZW$ +jets

- · nasty jet combinatorics, missing higher-
- $\cdot\,$ hard process given and relevant
- · jet radiation universal QCD
- · ME vs PS jets from network
- \rightarrow Report measurement where it matters





LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties

Inversion

Anomalies

Symbolic reg

Learning background only

Unsupervised classification

- train on background only extract unknown signal from reconstruction error
- $\cdot \,$ reconstruct QCD jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ top jets hard to describe
- $\cdot \,$ reconstruct top jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ QCD jets just simple top-like jet
- \rightarrow Symmetric performance $S \leftrightarrow B$?



Symbolic reg

Learning background only

Unsupervised classification

- train on background only extract unknown signal from reconstruction error
- $\cdot \,$ reconstruct QCD jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ top jets hard to describe
- $\cdot \,$ reconstruct top jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ QCD jets just simple top-like jet
- \rightarrow Symmetric performance $S \leftrightarrow B$?

Moving to latent space

- · anomaly score from latent space?
- $\begin{array}{rrrr} \cdot \mbox{ VAE } \rightarrow \mbox{ does not work } \\ \mbox{ GMVAE } \rightarrow \mbox{ does not work } \\ \mbox{ Dirichlet VAE } \rightarrow \mbox{ works okay } \\ \mbox{ density estimation } \rightarrow \mbox{ does not work } \end{array}$







Learning background only

Unsupervised classification

- train on background only extract unknown signal from reconstruction error
- $\cdot \,$ reconstruct QCD jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ top jets hard to describe
- $\cdot \,$ reconstruct top jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ QCD jets just simple top-like jet
- \rightarrow Symmetric performance $S \leftrightarrow B$?

Normalized autoencoder [penalize missing features]

- normalized probability loss
- · Boltzmann mapping $[E_{\theta} = MSE]$

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \frac{e^{-E_{\theta}(x)}}{Z_{\theta}}$$
$$L = -\langle \log p_{\theta}(x) \rangle = \langle E_{\theta}(x) + \log Z_{\theta} \rangle$$

- inducing background metric
- $\cdot\,$ small MSE for data, large MSE for model
- · Z_{θ} from (Langevin) Markov Chain
- \rightarrow Symmetric autoencoder, at last







Learning background only

Unsupervised classification



- train on background only extract unknown signal from reconstruction error
- $\cdot \,$ reconstruct QCD jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ top jets hard to describe
- $\cdot \,$ reconstruct top jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ QCD jets just simple top-like jet
- \rightarrow Symmetric performance $S \leftrightarrow B$?

Normalized autoencoder [penalize missing features]

- normalized probability loss
- · Boltzmann mapping $[E_{\theta} = MSE]$

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \frac{e^{-E_{\theta}(x)}}{Z_{\theta}}$$
$$L = -\langle \log p_{\theta}(x) \rangle = \langle E_{\theta}(x) + \log Z_{\theta} \rangle$$

- · inducing background metric
- $\cdot\,$ small MSE for data, large MSE for model
- · Z_{θ} from (Langevin) Markov Chain
- \rightarrow Symmetric autoencoder, at last





LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn LHC physics ML examples

Optimal observables

Measure model parameter θ optimally

· single-event likelihood

$$p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\theta)} \frac{d^m \sigma(x|\theta)}{dx^m}$$

 \cdot expanded in θ around θ_0 , define score

$$\log \frac{p(x|\theta)}{p(x|\theta_0)} \approx (\theta - \theta_0) \nabla_{\theta} \log p(x|\theta) \bigg|_{\theta_0} \equiv (\theta - \theta_0) t(x|\theta_0) \equiv (\theta - \theta_0) \mathcal{O}^{\text{opt}}(x)$$

· leading order parton level

$$p(x|\theta) \approx |\mathcal{M}|_{0}^{2} + \theta |\mathcal{M}|_{int}^{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad t(x|\theta_{0}) \sim \frac{|\mathcal{M}|_{int}^{2}}{|\mathcal{M}|_{0}^{2}}$$



LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties

Inversion

Anomalies

Symbolic reg

Optimal observables

Measure model parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ optimally

· single-event likelihood

$$p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\theta)} \frac{d^m \sigma(x|\theta)}{dx^m}$$

 $\cdot \,$ expanded in θ around $\theta_0,$ define score

$$\log \frac{p(x|\theta)}{p(x|\theta_0)} \approx (\theta - \theta_0) \nabla_{\theta} \log p(x|\theta) \bigg|_{\theta_0} \equiv (\theta - \theta_0) t(x|\theta_0) \equiv (\theta - \theta_0) \mathcal{O}^{\text{opt}}(x)$$

· leading order parton level

$$p(x|\theta) \approx |\mathcal{M}|_{0}^{2} + \theta |\mathcal{M}|_{int}^{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad t(x|\theta_{0}) \sim \frac{|\mathcal{M}|_{int}^{2}}{|\mathcal{M}|_{0}^{2}}$$

H

CP-violating Higgs production

· unique CP-observable

 $t \propto \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \ k_1^{\mu} \ k_2^{\nu} \ q_1^{\rho} \ q_2^{\sigma} \ \text{sign} \left[(k_1 - k_2) \cdot (q_1 - q_2) \right] \stackrel{\text{lab frame}}{\longrightarrow} \sin \Delta \phi_{jj}$

- · CP-effect in $\Delta \phi_{jj}$ D6-effect in $p_{T,j}$
- \Rightarrow Key LHC observable



PySR

Analytic formula for score

- · function to approximate $t(x|\theta)$
- \cdot phase space parameters $x_{
 m p}=
 m
 ho_T/m_H,\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi$ [node]
- \cdot operators $\sin x, x^2, x^3, x + y, x y, x * y, x/y$ [node]
- · represent formula as tree [complexity = number of nodes]
- ⇒ Figures of merit

$$\mathsf{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[g_i(x) - t(x, z|\theta) \right]^2 \rightarrow \mathsf{MSE} + \mathsf{parsimony} \cdot \mathsf{complexity}$$

Score around Standard Model

compl	dof	function	MSE	•
3	1	$a \Delta \phi$	$1.30\cdot 10^{-1}$	Λ_{π}
4	1	$\sin(a\Delta\phi)$	$2.75\cdot 10^{-1}$. • V\
5	1	$a\Delta\phi x_{p,1}$	$9.93\cdot10^{-2}$	10-1
6	1	$-x_{p,1}\sin(\Delta\phi+a)$	$1.90\cdot10^{-1}$	ш 🖡 🖕
7	1	$(-x_{p,1}-a)\sin(\sin(\Delta\phi))$	$5.63 \cdot 10^{-2}$	W
8	1	$(a - x_{p,1})x_{p,2}\sin(\Delta\phi)$	$1.61 \cdot 10^{-2}$	
14	2	$x_{p,1}(a\Delta\phi - \sin(\sin(\Delta\phi)))(x_{p,2} + b)$	$1.44\cdot10^{-2}$	
15	3	$-(x_{p,2}(a\Delta\eta^2 + x_{p,1}) + b)\sin(\Delta\phi + c)$	$1.30\cdot10^{-2}$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16	4	$-x_{p,1}(a-b\Delta\eta)(x_{p,2}+c)\sin(\Delta\phi+d)$	$8.50 \cdot 10^{-3}$	10-2
28	7	$ \begin{aligned} &(x_{p,2}+a)(bx_{p,1}(c-\Delta\phi)\\ &-x_{p,1}(d\Delta\eta+ex_{p,2}+f)\sin(\Delta\phi+g)) \end{aligned} $	$8.18\cdot 10^{-3}$	5 10 15 20 25 complexity

30



PySR

Analytic formula for score

- · function to approximate $t(x|\theta)$
- \cdot phase space parameters $x_{p}=p_{T}/m_{H},\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi$ [node]
- \cdot operators $\sin x, x^2, x^3, x + y, x y, x * y, x/y$ [node]
- · represent formula as tree [complexity = number of nodes]
- \Rightarrow Figures of merit

$$\mathsf{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[g_i(x) - t(x, z | \theta) \right]^2 \rightarrow \mathsf{MSE} + \mathsf{parsimony} \cdot \mathsf{complexity}$$

Score around Standard Model

- · expected limits:
 - very wrong formula wrong formula right formula MadMiner
- · same within statistical limitation
- ⇒ New optimal observables next





LHC physics ML examples Uncertainties Inversion Anomalies Symbolic reg

ML for LHC Theory

ML-applications in LHC physics

- · just another numerical tool for a numerical field
- · driven by money from data science, medical research
- · goals are...

...improve established tasks ...develop new tools for established tasks ...transform through new ideas

- · link to growing Heidelberg lecture notes
- → Turn HL-LHC into fun!

Machine Learning and LHC Event Generation

Anja burne¹¹, Timan Pelan¹, Setfies Schumat¹ (Editors), Biston Badge¹¹, Sacha Carne¹¹, Syle Carnel¹¹, Panceto Ammel, Di Bislo¹, Bistone Borge¹¹, Sacha Carne¹¹, Sule Carnel¹¹, Carnel Di Bislo¹¹, Di Cheng¹¹, Ganda Carne¹¹, Sule Schumer, ¹¹, Ansander Heid¹¹, Sacha Hoke¹¹, Thom Di Hab¹¹, Mohan Bagar¹¹, Carnel¹¹, Sacha King¹¹, Sahan Kom¹¹, Cardanis Kamas¹¹, Yan Kamas¹¹, Nex Komforg¹¹, Andar King¹¹, Sahan Kom¹¹, Mohan Bada¹¹, Mohan Bagar¹¹, Carney Kaucacha¹², Pich Bislo¹¹, Sahan Kom¹¹, Moha Balan¹¹, ¹¹ Matarin¹¹, Oliver Marchar¹¹, Jaspins Malsers¹¹, Moha Balan¹¹, ¹¹ Matarin¹¹, Oliver Marchar¹¹, Jaspins Malsers¹¹, Sacha Matarin¹¹, ¹¹ Matarin¹¹, Oliver Mathem¹¹, ¹¹ Mathem¹², ¹¹ Mathem¹², ¹¹ Matarin¹¹, ¹¹ Mathem¹², ¹¹ Mathem¹²,

Abstract

First-principle simulations are at the heart of the high-energy physics research program. They link the vart data dougst of multi-propose detectors with fundamental theory predictions and interpretation. This review illustrates a wide range of applications of molera machine learning to event generation and simulation based infrarees, including conceptional developments driven by the specific requirements of particle physics. New ideas and tooid seveloped at the interface of particle physics and machine learning with improve the speed and precision of forward simulations, handle the complexity of collision data, and enhance informed an simulations. Man the based of the complexity of collision data, and enhance informed as an impreve simulation problem.

> Submitted to the Proceedings of the US Community Study on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass)

