hep-ml Tilman Plehn

LHC physics Examples Generation GANplification Inversion

ML for Particle Physics

Tilman Plehn

Universität Heidelberg

Freiburg RTG, October 2023



Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- · dark matter?
- · baryogenesis?
- · origin of Higgs field?







Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- · dark matter?
- · baryogenesis?
- · origin of Higgs field?

LHC physics

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- $\cdot\,$ first-principle, precision simulations
- · complete uncertainty control



Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- · dark matter?
- · baryogenesis?
- · origin of Higgs field?

LHC physics

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- $\cdot\,$ first-principle, precision simulations
- · complete uncertainty control

Successful past

- · measurements of event counts
- model-driven analyses
- Higgs discovery





Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- · dark matter?
- · baryogenesis?
- · origin of Higgs field?

LHC physics

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- · first-principle, precision simulations
- · complete uncertainty control

Successful past

- · measurements of event counts
- · model-driven analyses
- Higgs discovery

First-principle, precision simulations

- · start with Lagrangian
- · calculate scattering using QFT
- simulate collisions
- simulate detectors
- \rightarrow LHC collisions in virtual worlds





Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- dark matter?
- · baryogenesis?
- · origin of Higgs field?

LHC physics

- fundamental questions
- huge data set
- · first-principle, precision simulations
- · complete uncertainty control

Successful past

- · measurements of event counts
- model-driven analyses
- Higgs discovery

First-principle, precision simulations

- · start with Lagrangian
- · calculate scattering using QFT
- simulate collisions
- · simulate detectors
- \rightarrow LHC collisions in virtual worlds

BSM searches

- $\cdot\,$ compare simulations and data
- understand LHC dataset systematically
- · infer underlying theory [SM or BSM]
- · publish useable results
- \rightarrow Lots of data science...





Role of theory

First-principle simulations

- start with Lagrangian generate Feynman diagrams
- compute hard scattering amplitudes for on-shell, include decays add QCD jet radiation [ISR/FSR]
- add parton shower [still QCD]
 push fragmentation towards QCD
- · all theory, except for detectors
- → Simulations, not modeling!





Role of theory

First-principle simulations

- start with Lagrangian generate Feynman diagrams
- compute hard scattering amplitudes for on-shell, include decays add QCD jet radiation [ISR/FSR]
- add parton shower [still QCD]
 push fragmentation towards QCD
- $\cdot\,$ all theory, except for detectors
- → Simulations, not modeling!

Pythia/Madgraph/Sherpa... for HL-LHC

- · factor 10 more expected (= simulated) data
- more complex final states higher-orders precision
- · parameter coverage for signals
- enable analysis reinterpretation? enable global LHC analyses?
- → Theory challenge



8%

Data Deriv MC Deriv Analysis





Role of theory

First-principle simulations

- start with Lagrangian generate Feynman diagrams
- compute hard scattering amplitudes for on-shell, include decays add QCD jet radiation [ISR/FSR]
- add parton shower [still QCD]
 push fragmentation towards QCD
- $\cdot\,$ all theory, except for detectors
- → Simulations, not modeling!

LHC-specific explainable AI

- · SBI conditional on theory simulations
- · understanding LHC data is QFT
- · computing speed means precision
- control critical
- · uncertainties crucial
- · phase space interpretable
- \rightarrow Well-defined, but non-standard AI/ML



Scientific simulators





LHC physicist vs data scientist

LHC questions

· How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- · How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 - Data compression [Netflix]



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- · How to analyze events with 4-vectors?



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Nettlix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Nettlix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- · How to incorporate symmetries?



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- $\cdot\,$ How to incorporate symmetries?
 - Contrastive learning [Google]



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- · How to combine tracker and calorimeter?



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- · How to remove pile-up?



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 - Data denoising [Cars]



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]
- · How to look for BSM physics?



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
- Data denoising [Cars] • How to look for BSM physics?
 - Autoencoders [SAP]



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]
- · How to look for BSM physics?
 - Autoencoders [SAP]
- How to analyse LHC data?



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]
- How to look for BSM physics?
 Autoencoders [SAP]
- How to analyse LHC data? Simulation-based inference



LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]
- How to look for BSM physics?
 Autoencoders [SAP]
- How to analyse LHC data? Simulation-based inference
- · How to treat uncertatinties??



Shortest ML-intro ever

Fit-like approximation

- · approximate known f(x) using $f_{\theta}(x)$
- $\cdot \,$ no parametrization, just very many values θ
- · new representation/latent space θ

Construction and contol

- · define loss function
- \cdot minimize loss to find best θ
- · compare $x o f_{ heta}(x)$ for training/test data

LHC applications

. . . .

- · regression $x \to f_{\theta}(x)$
- · classification $x \to f_{\theta}(x) \in [0, 1]$
- · generation $r \sim \mathcal{N} \rightarrow f_{\theta}(r)$
- · conditional generation $r \sim \mathcal{N} \rightarrow f_{\theta}(r|x)$
- \rightarrow Transforming numerical science



ML-applications in experiment

Top tagging [supervised classification]

- · 'hello world' of LHC-ML
- · end of QCD-taggers
- · different NN-architectures
- \rightarrow Non-NN left in the dust...



The Machine Learning Landscape of Top Taggers G. Kaiscia (eff), T. Fishi (eff), A. Bure, R. K. Conzard, D. Debasti, S. M. Billor, N. Fortserf, D. J. Foreggir, W. Fortsch, C. Gurf, L. Goudi, J. F. Kassels, P. T. Kostin, S. La Constant, A. S. Kassel, T. K. Martin, J. K. More, National, Y. K. K. J. Martin, C. S. Kassel, J. K. Martin, J. K. More, J. K. Tangere, and S. Kassel, J. S. Kassel, J. S. Kassel, J. K. Kassel, J. K. Tangere, and S. Kassel, J. S. Kassel, J. S. Kassel, J. K. K. Kassel, J. K. Ka

SciPost Physics

 Landor for Dynamical Labox, Charsenk Handreg, Consent Tabletic for Densites PLoy, Livense Handreg, Consent Tabletic for Densites PLoy, Livense Handreg, Consent Tabletic for Densites PLoy, Livense Handreg, Consent Martin, S. & Charlos and Jahrensen, Barrey, Tele Handreg, Consent Tabletic Ploy, San Marken, Charles, Tabletic Plant, S. Kimol, Barrey, Tabletic Plant, San Marken, Charles, Charles, Charles, Barrey, Charles Plant, San Marken, Charles, Charles, Charles, Barrey, Barrey, Charles, Carlos and Laboxes, Falsen, Handres, Handres, Charles, Charles, Charles, Laboxa, Laboxa, Barrey, Handres, Charles, Charles, Charles, Charles, Laboxa, Laboxa, San Marken, Charles, Charles, Charles, Laboxa, Laboxa, Barrey, Handres, Charles, Charles, Charles, Charles, Laboxa, Barrey, Handres, Charles, Charles, Charles, Charles, Laboxa, Schore, Handres, Charles, C



ML-applications in experiment

Top tagging [supervised classification]

- · 'hello world' of LHC-ML
- · end of QCD-taggers
- · different NN-architectures
- → Non-NN left in the dust...



- · mother of jet tools
- · combined detector channels
- · similar studies in CMS
- → Beyond just concepts





7 Department of Popoies and Antonessey. The Valenceity of Initials Charlans is 8 Department of Popoies. University of California, Standard California, USA 9 Foodly of Multi-matties and Popoies, University of Linkinga, Shoreita 10 Control for Theoretical Popoies, Martin California, Usabiana, Usabiana, 11 (TSI, Universities) and Antonia Statistical Control (California) 12 Statistical Control (California) (California) (California) 13 Statistical Control (California) (California) (California) 13 Statistical Control (California) (California) (California) 14 National Institute for Scienceir Physics (DIRIBER), Amsterlaus, Netherlaufies 15 JUTIE, CSNR & Sciencea University, Parketing, Parket 15 JUTIE, CSNR & Sciencea University, Parket

16 III. Physics Institute A, RWTH Aachen University, Germany





Towards a Computer Vision Particle Flow *

Francesco Armando Di Bello^{1,1}, Sanmay Ganguly^{3,1}, Eilam Gross¹, Marumi Kado^{3,4}, Michael Pitt², Lorenzo Santi ³, Jonathan Shlomi¹

¹Weizmann Institute of Science, Robevot 76100, Junei ²CERN, CHI 1211, Genera 23, Steitzerland ³Universitä di Roma Sapierus, Piazza Aldo Moo, 2, 60185 Roma, Italy e INPN, Italy ¹Universit\u00e9 Paris-Soligo, CMSR/R2P2, IJCLub, 91405, Ossay, France Fig. 7: An event display of total energy abover (within topecluster), as captured by a calorimeter layer of 8 × 8 granularity, along with the location of the track, denoted by a red cross (left) and the same shower is captured by a calorimeter layer of 32×32 granularity (middle). The bottom right panel shows the corresponding event predicted by the NN. The figure shows that the shower originating from a $n^2 \rightarrow \gamma$ is resolved by a 32 × 32 granularity layer.



hep-ml Tilman Plehn LHC physics Examples

Generatior

Inversion

Jets and parton densities

Anomaly searches [unsupervised training]

- · train on QCD-jets, SM-events
- · look for non-QCD jets, non-SM events
- \rightarrow Autoencoders



April 20, 2022 Abstract Afatometric and a set of the set of the

Better Latent Spaces for Better Autoencoders

Borry M. Dillon¹, Titman Pidn¹, Christof Sases², and Price Serveson², 1 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Germany 2 Physikolisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, Germany 3 Eddelberg (Chlamentyfor für Mange Processing, Utschnitt: Heidelberg, Germany



Jets and parton densities

Anomaly searches [unsupervised training]

- · train on QCD-jets, SM-events
- · look for non-QCD jets, non-SM events
- \rightarrow Autoencoders



Barry M. Dillow¹, Tilman Pielm¹, Christof Saner², and Peter Sarresson²,

1 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Beidelberg, Germany 2 Physikalisches Institut, Universität Beidelberg, Germany 2 Beidelberg Collaboratory for Image Processing, Universität Beidelberg, Germany

April 20, 2821

Abstract

Astarsmouth a stable birkball assumpty surveying at the DDC have the elevatore) problem that free only werk were at direction, encoursing just will Mudder complexity that with the other way around. To address this, we derive chandlers from the initial space of (varietimal insourcedows, prediction) is Gaussian institutum and Kriddella historization, so interior that produce the gaussian and improves both the performance and the interpretability of the networks.



NNPDF/N3PDF parton densities [full blast]

- · starting point: pdfs without functional ansatz
- · moving on: cutting-edge ML everywhere
- \rightarrow Leaders in ML-theory

N

NON Not team jate Research behaviolate boourents - Forthe-public

A data-based parametrization of parton distribution functions

Stefans Carnens^{12,3}, June Cran-Martinez¹, and Boy Stegeman³
¹ THY Lab, Dipartments of Frinz, University field Studie & Minno and INTN Stefans & Minno ⁴ (SSIN: Theorem 20, Switzenbard, Chicky, Ch

¹ Quartum Research Centre, Technology Incornition Institute, Alm Diabi, UAE,

Received. date / Berind venios: dat

Attracts. Since the fine determination of a structure function many decide sp, all periodicality and or discretion structure in the structure function framework (Figs. 2014). The structure field of the structure of the structure field of the structure of the structure field of the structure of

PRES. 12.28-4: Quantum thremodynamics - 12.28-a: Phenomenological quark models - 80.25.+1 Neural Networks





Symmetries

Symmetric networks [contrastive learning, transformer network]

- · rotations, translations, permutations, soft splittings, collinear splittings
- · learn symmetries/augmentations
- → Symmetric latent representation



Post Physics		Submission

Symmetries, Safety, and Self-Supervision

Barry M. Dillon¹, Gregor Kasieczka², Bass Olischlager¹, Tilman Piehn¹, Peter Sorrenson³, and Lorenz Vogd¹

1 hatitut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Beidelberg, Germany 2 hetitut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Germany 3 Heidelberg Collaboratory for Image Processing, Universität Heidelberg, Germany

August 11, 2021

Abstract

5

Cilifies wantes how the dashings of dashing a regressionities of high-dissocial data such that photol space possible and the dashing and dashing and the dash



Symmetries

Symmetric networks [contrastive learning, transformer network]

- · rotations, translations, permutations, soft splittings, collinear splittings
- · learn symmetries/augmentations
- → Symmetric latent representation



Submission Submission

Symmetries, Safety, and Self-Supervision

Barry M. Dillon¹, Gregor Kasieczka², Hans Olischlager¹, Tilman Piehn¹, Peter Sorrenson³, and Lorenz Vogel¹

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Beidelberg, Germany
 Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamberg, Germany
 Heidelberg Collaboratory for Image Processing, Universität Heidelberg, Germany

August 11, 2021

Abstract

Califor survives from the during or during a regressiontism of high-dimensional data, such duri hydrold superatives are surplished. The discriticating informers serviciable, and the during of representation in zero-dyndro agamenti. We introduces ACCLR to solve the mapping from knowledge data to optimize indevendels to duply affect depresentation for target and the during a permutation in zero-dyndro adult dense data and experiment constraints and gas a permutation and the structure of the during in the gamma of QCD jots using a permutation and during the service structure and a during in the gamma of the service structure and the during in the during of the during the symmetry properties. We compare the ACCLR representations for the service representations using inservice structure and during its weight one set.

Learning symmetries [representation, visualization]

- · (particle) physics is all symmetries
- · identify symmetries in 2D systems [paintings]
- → Networks representing structure



Symmetry meets Al

absish Barrahaine', Johanney Bine', and Verinius Same''.

est de Plaise Teirisa and IPRT, Decensitat de Talvera-COIT, K.(1200, Berjanot, Apain and

for region whethere Normal Networks (NNA) can discover the presence of equations in here been presented with the second photon, where an information are symmetry is provided. We not the sample from the first hidden second sec

NTRODUCTION

Spannetises are sensited in the underlying interactor of sintees. The discovery of a segmenticy signifies the radius wave of a fundamental principle and manifests iro? In its them of physical lasses and reference necks, hole-of, diactors fundamental lasses and flexibility in refs. Indeed, of areas fundamental lasses and flexibility in the second second lasses and lasses and the second lasses are second lasses of the second second lasses are reference on the second lasses of the second lasses in a flexibility of the second lasses of the second reference on the second lasses of the second lasses of the second lasses of the second lasses of the second second lasses of the the data, have Nowine was able to delawe the laws of sparsity, which radius a second quantity, we obtain a simplex, deeper and thus more general description of the motion of solution than the object collection of theoremisms. For the second gramy years, we are understand that Newton's laws can be obtained from ingoing a symmetry on an abstrate thight of addition the Ac-

The observation of the second second







hep-ml Tilman Plehn Examples

Integrals and perturbative QFT

Learning integrands and integrals [differentiable networks]

- · learn integrand through differiable network
- · evaluate integrated NN-structures
- → Novel ML-integrator



PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY SPRINCES

Multi-variable integration with a neural network

D. Maitre⁻¹ and R. Santos-Mateos¹

*Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, Physics Department, Darham University Durban DHI 3LE, U.K. Department of Electronics and Computing, University of Santiago de Compostela Santingo de Compostela, Spain

E-mail: daniel.maitre@durham.ac.uk, roi.mantos@usc.em

ABSTRACT: In this article we present a method for automatic integration of parametric integrals over the unit hypercube using a neural network. The method fits a neural network to the primitive of the integrand using a loss function designed to minimize the difference between multiple derivatives of the network and the function to be integrated. We apply this method to two example integrals resulting from the sector decomposition of a one-loop and two-loop scalar integrals. Our method can achieve per-mil and percent accuracy for these integrals over a range of invariant values. Once the neural network is fitted, the evaluation of the internal is between 40 and 125 times faster than the usual numerical integration method for our examples, and we expect the speed gain to increase with the complexity of the integrand.



hep-ml Examples

Integrals and perturbative QFT

Learning integrands and integrals [differentiable networks]

- learn integrand through differiable network
- evaluate integrated NN-structures
- → Novel ML-integrator



PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY SPRINCES

Multi-variable integration with a neural network

D. Maitre⁻¹ and R. Santos-Mateos¹

*Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, Physics Department, Darham University Durbers DHI 3LE, U.K. Department of Electronics and Computing, University of Santiago de Compostela Santiago de Compostela, Spain

E-mail: daniel.maitre@durham.ac.uk, roi.mantos@usc.em

ABSTRACT: In this article we present a method for automatic integration of parametric integrals over the unit hypercube using a neural network. The method fits a neural network to the primitive of the integrand using a loss function designed to minimize the difference between multiple derivatives of the network and the function to be integrated. We apply this method to two example integrals resulting from the sector decomposition of a one-loop and two-loop scalar integrals. Our method can achieve per-mil and percent accuracy for these integrals over a range of invariant values. Once the neural network is fitted, the evaluation of the internal is between 40 and 125 times faster than the usual numerical integration method for our examples, and we expect the speed gain to increase with the complexity of the integrand.

Learning integration paths [invertible networks]

- find optimal integration paths
- learn variable transformation
- \rightarrow Theory-integrator







Targeting multi-loop integrals with neural networks

Ramon Winterhalder^{1,2,3}, Vitaly Magerya⁴, Emilio Villa⁴, Stephen R Jones³, Matthias Kerner^{4,6}, Anja Butter^{1,2}, Gudrun Heinrich^{2,4} and Tilman Plehn^{1,2}

1 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Germany 2 HEIKA - Heidelberg Karlsruhe Stratogic Partnership, Heidelberg University, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT). Germany 3 Centre for Cosmology, Particle Physics and Phenomenology (CP3), Université catholique de Louvain, Beleium

4 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Germany 5 Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, Durham University, UK 6 Institut für Astroteilchenphysik, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Germany

Abstract

Numerical evaluations of Feynman integrals often proceed via a deformation of the integration contour into the complex plane. While valid contours are easy to construct, the numerical precision for a multi-loop integral can depend critically on the chosen contour. We present methods to optimize this contour using a combination of optimized global complex shifts and a normalizing flow. They can lead to a significant gain in



Event generation

hep-ml Tilman Plehn

HC physics

Examples

Generation GANplificati

nversion

Speeding up Sherpa and MadNIS [INNs for sampling]

 $uu \rightarrow t\bar{t}gus$ $u\bar{s} \rightarrow t\bar{t}gds$ 6.8e-3 6.6e-4

199

5.0e-3

0.19

7.1e-2

- · precision simulations limiting factor for HL-LHC
- · unweighting measure

2.4c-2 3.8e-2

0.9999 0.9994 0.9994 0.9994

4.80

Table 4: Performance measures for partonic channels contributing to #+3 into production

 \rightarrow Phase space sampling

zen datuer deter

end 4.3e-2

figed 3.50 8.26 3.91 2.22

	leiD'an	t Physics	
--	---------	-----------	--

MONET-21-33

Accelerating Monte Carlo event generation – rejection sampling using neural network event-weight estimates

K. Damiger¹, T. Janfen², S. Schumann², F. Siegert¹

Institut für Kern- und Teilcherphysik, TU Dresden, Deesden, Germany
 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Germany
 Germany

September 27, 2021

Abstract

The generation of unit-weight counts for complex contributing processes presents a source challenge to models Mode Calcie and generations. Here we have may assource challenge to models Mode Calcie and generations. Here we have an entry of the strength of the strength





Event generation

hep-ml ïlman Plehn

- LHC physics Examples
- Generation GANplificatio

Speeding up Sherpa and MadNIS [INNs for sampling]

 $uu \rightarrow t\bar{t}quu | u\bar{u} \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q}$

6.5e-2

199

- · precision simulations limiting factor for HL-LHC
- unweighting measure
- \rightarrow Phase space sampling

0.9999 0.9994 0.9994 0.9994

zhiii 52.03 chiii 2.40-2 april 0.0000

end 4.3c-2

(27⁴ 3.50 8.26 8.31 2.22

MCNET-21-13

Accelerating Monte Carlo event generation – rejection sampling using neural network event-weight estimates

K. Damiger¹, T. Janfen², S. Schumann², F. Siegert¹

Institut für Kern- und Telichenphysik, TU Dresden, Deesden, Germany
 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Gereg August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen,

September 27, 2021

Abstract

The generation of unit-weight ensures for complex contributing processes presents a source shading to motion Mattice Cales on any gravities. The wave may asover challing to motion Mattice Cales on any gravities, The wave may be consisted or the strength of the streng



Fast amplitudes [precision regression]

· loop-amplitudes expensive

Table 4: Performance measures for partonic channels contributing to #+3 into production

- interpolation standard
- → Precision NN-amplitudes





Optimising simulations for diphoton production at hadron colliders using amplitude neural networks

IPPP/20/116

Joseph Aylott-Bullock^{1,2} Simon Badger¹ Ryan Moodie

PRESIDENT FOR STRAINING TO JHEP

Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, Department of Physics, Durham University, Durham, DN1 3247, United Kingdom

¹Institute for Data Statuer, Darham University, Darham, DRI SLE, United Kimplem ¹Dpartitionets di Fuice and Arnold-Pagge Center, Visioreddi di Torino, end DSFN, Scaines di Torino, Van F. Genra J. - FUNET Torino, Data

E-weat j.p. bullockBdurban.ac.uk, minendavid.badger@mite.it, ryan.i.meedie@durban.ac.uk

Attracts: Madras learning technology has the potential to demandially optimise comparison and singulations. We consist so integrating the use of anomy strends are presented as the independent of the present system of the second strends of the strends of the second strends of the secon



hep-ml Tilman Plehn Examples

Invertible event generation

Precision NN-generators [Bayesian generative models]

- · control through discriminator [GAN-like]
- · uncertainties through Bayesian networks
- → Flow, diffusion, transformer



Generative networks are opening new avenues in fast event generation for the LHC. We show how generatize flow networks can reach percent-level precision for kinematic distributtime, how they can be trained jointly with a discriminator, and how this discriminator improves the generation. Our joint training relies on a need coupling of the two networks which does not require a Nash equilibrium. We then estimate the generation uncertainties through a Baymian network setup and through conditional data sugmentation, while the discriminator ensures that there are no systematic inconsistencies compared to the training data.

SciPost Physics

Abstract



Train

150



Invertible event generation

Precision NN-generators [Bayesian generative models]

- · control through discriminator [GAN-like]
- · uncertainties through Bayesian networks
- \rightarrow Flow, diffusion, transformer



training data

Unfolding and inversion [conditional normalizing flows]

- · detector/decays/QCD unfolded
- · calibrated inverse sampling
- \rightarrow Publishing analysis results







M_{W,recp} [GeV]



hep-ml Tilman Plehn LHC physics Examples

Proper theory

Navigating string landscape [reinforcement learning]

- · searching for viable vacua
- · high dimensions, unknown global structure
- → Model space sampling



Figure 1: Left: Cluster structure in dimensionally reduced flux samples for RL and 25 GA runs (PCA on all samples of GA and RL). The colors indicate individual GA runs. Right: Dependence on flux (input) values (N₃ and N₅ respectively) in relation to principal components for a PCA fit of the individual output of GA and RL.

Probing the Structure of String Theory Vacua with Genetic Algorithms and Reinforcement Learning



Identifying uting thency users with desired physical properties at low energies requires searcing through high-fitnessmal worksin square - collectively inferention the string landscape. We highlight that this search problem is attended to inforcement interacting and particit applications. It the context of far searce, we are able to receal novel futures (suggesting previously understifted symmetries) in the string theory solutions required for properties such as the state (copyling, la copyling, la copyli



Proper theory

Navigating string landscape [reinforcement learning]

- · searching for viable vacua
- · high dimensions, unknown global structure
- \rightarrow Model space sampling



Figure 1: Left: Cluster structure in dimensionally reduced flux samples for RL and 25 GA runs (PCA on all samples of GA and RL). The colors indicate individual GA runs. Right: Dependence on flux (input) values (N₃ and N₅ respectively) in relation to principal components for a PCA fit of the individual output of GA and RL.

Probing the Structure of String Theory Vacua with Genetic Algorithms and Reinforcement Learning

soni	Alex Cole University of Amsterdam Arnold a.e.cole@sva.nl sven.k	Sven Krippendorf Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics LMU Musich rippendorf@physik.uni-maenchen.de	
LIUX V3	Andreas Schachner Centre for Mathematical Sciences University of Cambridge as28739cam.ac.uk	Gary Shia University of Wisconsin-Madison ahtsuliphysics.wisc.edu	
	Abs	tract	
	Identifying string theory vacua with desired physical properties at low energies requires searching through high-dimensional solution spaces – collectively referred to as the string landscape. We highligh that this search problem is anneable to		

menuipsi unity laws? status trats during layits and polymeria in our straight program searching hangly high-finescanni solution space – collectively referent to so the string handwape. We highlight that this search problem is amenable to reinforcement learning and particit apportion. In the context of this vecan, we are able to recent aword framess (taggenting previously unidentified symmetries) in the string theory solutions required by previous symmetry and for the strenge of the biolesticity, these features (taggenting previously unidentified symmetry).

Learning formulas [genetic algorithm, symbolic regression]

- · approximate numerical function through formula
- · example: score/optimal observables
- \rightarrow PySR







Anja Butter¹, Tilman Piehn¹, Nathalio Soybelman¹, and Johann Beehmer²

1 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universitilt Heidelberg, Germany Center for Data Science, New York University, New York, United States nathalis@acybelman.de

November 16, 2021

Abstract

While near a setworks offer an attractive way to manufastly encode functions, actual formula in remain the language of theoretical portice layous, we way symbolic regressions include on matrix-densemi information to extract, for instance, optimal LHC observables. This way invert the usual functional parallel and activat study interpretable formation from constant of the start parallel parallel for the start parallel parallel parallel for the start parallel parallel parallel for the start parallel parallel for the start parallel parallel parallel for the start parallel parallel parallel parallel for the start parallel par



Generative-network revolution

Generative networks

- · generate new images, text blocks, LHC events
- encode density in target space sample from Gaussian into target space
- · reproduce training data, statistically independently
- · include uncertainty on estimated density [Bayesian NN]



Generative-network revolution

Generative networks

- · generate new images, text blocks, LHC events
- encode density in target space sample from Gaussian into target space
- $\cdot\,$ reproduce training data, statistically independently
- · include uncertainty on estimated density [Bayesian NN]
- \cdot Variational Autoencoder \rightarrow low-dimensional physics, high-dimensional representation
- \cdot Generative Adversarial Network \rightarrow generator trained by discriminator
- · Generative Transformer \rightarrow learning correlations successively
- \rightarrow Pick model for purpose





hep-ml Tilman Plehn LHC physics Examples

Generation

GANplificati

GAN algorithm

Generating events [phase space positions, possibly with weights]

- discriminator constructing D(x) by minimizing [classifier D(x) = 1, 0 true/generator]

$$\mathcal{L}_{D} = \left\langle -\log D(x) \right\rangle_{x_{\mathsf{data}}} + \left\langle -\log(1 - D(x)) \right\rangle_{x_{\mathsf{mode}}}$$

- generator constructing $r \rightarrow x_{model}$ by minimizing [D needed]

$$\mathcal{L}_{G} = \left\langle -\log D(x) \right\rangle_{x_{\mathsf{model}}}$$

- Nash equilibrium D = 0.5
- ⇒ statistically independent copy of training events





Inversion

How to GAN LHC events

- medium-complex final state $t\bar{t} \rightarrow 6$ jets t/\bar{t} and W^{\pm} on-shell with BW $6 \times 4 = 18$ dof on-shell external states $\rightarrow 12$ dof [constants hard to learn] parton level, because it is harder
- flat observables flat [phase space coverage okay]
- standard observables with tails [statistical error indicated]







How to GAN LHC events

- medium-complex final state $t\bar{t} \rightarrow 6$ jets t/\bar{t} and W^{\pm} on-shell with BW $6 \times 4 = 18$ dof on-shell external states $\rightarrow 12$ dof [constants hard to learn] parton level, because it is harder
- flat observables flat [phase space coverage okay]
- standard observables with tails [statistical error indicated]
- improved resolution [1M training events]







How to GAN LHC events

- medium-complex final state $t\bar{t} \rightarrow 6$ jets t/\bar{t} and W^{\pm} on-shell with BW $6 \times 4 = 18$ dof on-shell external states $\rightarrow 12$ dof [constants hard to learn] parton level, because it is harder
- flat observables flat [phase space coverage okay]
- standard observables with tails [statistical error indicated]
- improved resolution [10M generated events]







How to GAN LHC events

- medium-complex final state $t\bar{t} \rightarrow 6$ jets t/\bar{t} and W^{\pm} on-shell with BW $6 \times 4 = 18$ dof on-shell external states $\rightarrow 12$ dof [constants hard to learn] parton level, because it is harder
- flat observables flat [phase space coverage okay]
- standard observables with tails [statistical error indicated]
- improved resolution [50M generated events]
- Looks like GANplification







GANplification

Gain beyond training data [Butter, Diefenbacher, Kasieczka, Nachman, TP]

- true function known compare GAN vs sampling vs fit
- quantiles with χ^2 -values
- fit like 500-1000 sampled points GAN like 500 sampled points [amplifictation factor 5] requiring 10,000 GANned events
- interpolation and resolution the key [NNPDF]
- \Rightarrow GANs beyond training data







hep-ml Tilman Plehn LHC physics Examples Generation

GANPIITICati

nversion

Precision generator

Phase-space generators [typical LHC task]

- training from event samples no energy-momentum conservation
- every correlation counts
 - $\cdot \ Z_{\mu\mu} + \{1,2,3\} \ \text{jets} \ \ \text{[Z-peak, variable jet number, jet-jet topology]}$



nversion

Precision generator

Phase-space generators [typical LHC task]

- training from event samples no energy-momentum conservation
- every correlation counts
 - $\cdot \,\, Z_{\mu\mu} + \{1,2,3\} \,\, ext{jets} \,\,$ [Z-peak, variable jet number, jet-jet topology]

INN-generator

stable bijective mapping

 $G_{\theta}(r) \rightarrow$ latent $r \sim p_{\text{latent}}$ phase space $x \sim p_{data}$ $\leftarrow \overline{G}_{\theta}(x)$ tractable Jacobian Z + 1 jet exclusive nor 10-5 10-5 $dx p_{model}(x) = dr p_{latent}(r)$ Truth $\frac{\partial \overline{G}_{\theta}(x)}{\partial x}$ $p_{\text{model}}(x) = p_{\text{latent}}(\overline{G}_{\theta}(x))$ INN Train 10^{-4} likelihood loss $\mathcal{L}_{\text{INN}} = -\Big\langle \log p_{\text{model}}(x) \Big\rangle_{p_{\text{data}}}$ the state of the s 10.0

× 10.0

0.1

50

125

150

100

 p_{T,j_1} [GeV]

 \Rightarrow Per-cent precision possible



hep-ml Tilman Plehn LHC physics Examples

Inversion

Inverse simulation

Invertible ML-simulation

- \cdot forward: $r \rightarrow$ events
- · inverse: $r \rightarrow$ anything, conditioned on event





Inverse simulation

Invertible ML-simulation

- · forward: $r \rightarrow$ events
- \cdot inverse: $r \rightarrow$ anything, conditioned on event
- individual steps known problems
 detector unfolding

unfolding to QCD parton means jet algorithm unfolding jet radiation known combinatorics problem unfolding to hard process standard in top groups [needed for global analyses] matrix element method an old dream

- · improved through coherent ML-method
- · free choice of data-theory inference point
- $\rightarrow~\text{Transformative progress for HL-LHC}$





Inverting to hard process

Conditional INN

- · generate partonic events x_{parton} from $\{r\}$, given reco-event x_{reco}
- $\cdot\,$ train on paired events
- loss based on likelihood

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= -\langle \log p(\theta | x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}) \rangle_{x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}} \\ &= -\langle \log p(x_{\text{parton}} | x_{\text{reco}}, \theta) + \log p(\theta | x_{\text{reco}}) - \log p(x_{\text{parton}} | x_{\text{reco}}) \rangle_{x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}} \\ &= -\langle \log p(x_{\text{parton}} | x_{\text{reco}}, \theta) \rangle_{x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}} - \log p(\theta) + \text{const.} \\ &= -\left\langle \log p(g(x_{\text{parton}} | x_{\text{reco}})) + \log \left| \frac{\partial g(x_{\text{parton}} | x_{\text{reco}})}{\partial x_{\text{parton}}} \right| \right\rangle_{x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}} - \log p(\theta) + \text{const.} \end{split}$$

 \rightarrow Stable and statistically calibrated





Inverting to hard process

Conditional INN

- · generate partonic events x_{parton} from $\{r\}$, given reco-event x_{reco}
- · train on paired events
- loss based on likelihood

$$\begin{split} & = -\left\langle \log p(\theta | x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}) \right\rangle_{x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}} \\ & = -\left\langle \log p(g(x_{\text{parton}} | x_{\text{reco}})) + \log \left| \frac{\partial g(x_{\text{parton}} | x_{\text{reco}})}{\partial x_{\text{parton}}} \right| \right\rangle_{x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}} - \log p(\theta) + \text{const} \end{split}$$

 \rightarrow Stable and statistically calibrated

Undo detector and QCD jet radiation in $pp \rightarrow ZW$ +jets

- hard process given
- $\cdot\,$ detector and reconstruction universal
- jet radiation (approximately) universal
- · model-independence: Butter-Malaescu
- \rightarrow Stable and statistically calibrated





Inverting to hard process

Conditional INN

- · generate partonic events x_{parton} from $\{r\}$, given reco-event x_{reco}
- $\cdot\,$ train on paired events
- loss based on likelihood

$$\begin{split} L &= -\left\langle \log p(\theta | x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}) \right\rangle_{x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}} \\ &= -\left\langle \log p(g(x_{\text{parton}} | x_{\text{reco}})) + \log \left| \frac{\partial g(x_{\text{parton}} | x_{\text{reco}})}{\partial x_{\text{parton}}} \right| \right\rangle_{x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}} - \log p(\theta) + \text{const} \end{split}$$

 \rightarrow Stable and statistically calibrated

Undo detector and QCD jet radiation in $pp \rightarrow ZW$ +jets

- hard process given
- · detector and reconstruction universal
- · jet radiation (approximately) universal
- · model-independence: Butter-Malaescu
- \rightarrow Stable and statistically calibrated





Inverting to hard process

Conditional INN

- · generate partonic events x_{parton} from $\{r\}$, given reco-event x_{reco}
- · train on paired events
- loss based on likelihood

$$\begin{split} & = -\left\langle \log p(\theta | x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}) \right\rangle_{x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}} \\ & = -\left\langle \log p(g(x_{\text{parton}} | x_{\text{reco}})) + \log \left| \frac{\partial g(x_{\text{parton}} | x_{\text{reco}})}{\partial x_{\text{parton}}} \right| \right\rangle_{x_{\text{parton}}, x_{\text{reco}}} - \log p(\theta) + \text{const} \end{split}$$

 \rightarrow Stable and statistically calibrated

Undo detector and QCD jet radiation in $pp \rightarrow ZW$ +jets

- hard process given
- · detector and reconstruction universal
- · jet radiation (approximately) universal
- · model-independence: Butter-Malaescu
- \rightarrow Stable and statistically calibrated





ML for particle physics

ML-applications

- $\cdot\,$ just another numerical tool for a numerical field
- $\cdot\,$ driven by money from data science and medical research
- · goals are...

...improve established tasks ...develop new tools for established tasks ...transform through new ideas

- · xAI through...
 - ...precision control
 - ...uncertainties
 - ...symmetries
 - ...formulas

 \rightarrow Lots of fun with hard LHC problems

Modern Machine Learning for LHC Physicists

Tilman Plehna; Anja Buttera, Barry Dillona, Claudius Krausea, and Ramon Winterhalderd

^a Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Germany ^b LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France ^c NHETC, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, Piscataway, USA ^d CP3, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium

July 21, 2023

Abstract

Moders machine learning in transforming particle physics, faster than we can follow, and hulping its way into our merical also loss. For your generatories in its cando loss us parts of poli is development, which mean gaphying entitypes edge methods, and solis to the full arrange of LHC physics problems. These learns notes are meant to lead indexes with possible. They are wire in high-specific methods are also also also policy of policy and the physical entity of the structure of the physical entity of the physical entity of the physical entity of the physical entity of the distances are well and the loss functions entite entities and a non-entital warman entrows. As and on the physical entities of the physical entity of the physical entities of the distances and the entities of the high entities

