

- Examples Anomalies Generation
- Inversion
- Formulas



Tilman Plehn

Universität Heidelberg

KIAS, July 2023



LHC physics Examples Anomalies Generation Inversion Formulas

Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- · dark matter?
- · baryogenesis?
- · origin of Higgs field?







LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn LHC physics

Examples Anomalies Generation Inversion Formulas

Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- · dark matter?
- · baryogenesis?
- · origin of Higgs field?

LHC physics

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- $\cdot\,$ first-principle precision simulations
- · complete uncertainty control



LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn LHC physics

Examples Anomalies Generation Inversion Formulas

Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- dark matter?
- baryogenesis?
- · origin of Higgs field?

LHC physics

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- · first-principle precision simulations
- · complete uncertainty control

Successful past

- · measurements of event counts
- · analyses inspired by simulation
- model-driven Higgs discovery





LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn LHC physics Examples

Anomalies Generatior

- nversion
- Formulas

Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- · dark matter?
- baryogenesis?
- origin of Higgs field?

LHC physics

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- first-principle precision simulations
- · complete uncertainty control

Successful past

- · measurements of event counts
- $\cdot\,$ analyses inspired by simulation
- model-driven Higgs discovery

First-principle simulations

- · start with Lagrangian
- calculate scattering using QFT
- simulate collisions
- simulate detectors
- \rightarrow LHC collisions in virtual worlds





LHC Data Science Tilman Plehr LHC physics Examples

Modern LHC physics

Classic motivation

- dark matter?
- · baryogenesis?
- · origin of Higgs field?

LHC physics

- fundamental questions
- huge data set
- · first-principle precision simulations
- · complete uncertainty control

Successful past

- · measurements of event counts
- $\cdot\,$ analyses inspired by simulation
- model-driven Higgs discovery

First-principle simulations

- · start with Lagrangian
- · calculate scattering using QFT
- simulate collisions
- · simulate detectors
- → LHC collisions in virtual worlds

BSM searches

- $\cdot\,$ compare simulations and data
- · analyze data systematically
- · infer underlying theory [SM or BSM]
- understand LHC dataset
- · publish useable results
- → Lots of data science...





- LHC physics
- Examples
- Anomalie
- Generatio
- Inversion
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

LHC questions

· How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?



- LHC physics
- Anomalia
- Inversion
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- · How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 - Data compression [Netflix]



LHC physics

- Anomali
- Generatio
- Inversion
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
- Data compression [Netflix]
- $\cdot\,$ How to analyze events with 4-vectors?



- LHC physics Examples Anomalies Generation
- Inversion
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]



LHC physics Examples

- Anomalie
- Generatio
- Inversion
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- · How to incorporate symmetries?



- LHC physics Examples Anomalies
- Inversion
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Nettlix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 - Contrastive learning [Google]



- LHC physics Examples Anomalies
- Inversion
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- · How to combine tracker and calorimeter?



- LHC physics Examples Anomalies Generation
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter?
 Super-resolution [Gaming]



- LHC physics Examples Anomalies Generation
- Inversion
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- · How to remove pile-up?



- LHC physics Examples Anomalies
- Inversion
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]



LHC physics Examples Anomalies

- Generatio
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]
- · How to look for BSM physics?



LHC physics Examples Anomalies

- Generatio
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]
- $\cdot\,$ How to look for BSM physics?
 - Autoencoders [SAP]



- LHC physics Examples Anomalies
- Generatio
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]
- How to look for BSM physics?
- Autoencoders [SAP]
- How to analyse LHC data?



LHC physics Examples Anomalies

- Generatio
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Netflix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]
- How to look for BSM physics?
 Autoencoders [SAP]
- How to analyse LHC data?
 Simulation-based inference



- LHC physics Examples Anomalies
- Generatio
- Formulas

LHC physicist vs data scientist

- How to trigger from 3 PB/s to 300 MB/s?
 Data compression [Nettlix]
- How to analyze events with 4-vectors?
 Graph neural networks [Cars]
- How to incorporate symmetries?
 Contrastive learning [Google]
- How to combine tracker and calorimeter? Super-resolution [Gaming]
- How to remove pile-up?
 Data denoising [Cars]
- How to look for BSM physics?
 Autoencoders [SAP]
- How to analyse LHC data? Simulation-based inference
- · How to treat uncertatinties??



LHC physics Examples

- Anomalies
- Inversion
- Formulas

Shortest ML-intro ever

Fit-like approximation [ask Ramon or NNPDF]

- · approximate known f(x) using $f_{\theta}(x)$
- · no parametrization, just very many values θ
- · new representation/latent space θ

Construction and contol

- · define loss function
- \cdot minimize loss to find best θ
- · compare $x o f_{ heta}(x)$ for training/test data

LHC applications

. . . .

- · regression $x \to f_{\theta}(x)$
- · classification $x \to f_{\theta}(x) \in [0, 1]$
- · generation $r \sim \mathcal{N} \rightarrow f_{\theta}(r)$
- · conditional generation $r \sim \mathcal{N} \rightarrow f_{\theta}(r|x)$
- → Transforming numerical science



LHC physics

Examples

- Anomalie
- Generatio
- Inversion
- Formulas

ML-applications for analysis

Top tagging [supervised classification]

- · 'hello world' of LHC-ML
- · end of QCD-taggers
- · different NN-architectures
- \rightarrow Non-NN left in the dust...





SciPost Physics

8 Opartnesis of Physics, Ultravisty of Californi, Statt. Barbara, USA. Foculty: diMetantisti and Physics, Urcenity of Lajhana, Bownia 10 Octore for Thousekial Physics, Mirr, Canatridge, USA. 11 CPU, Ultravistica Undergrad, Marca Canatridge, USA. 13 CPU, Ultravistica, Larvence Berleip Naticnal Laboratory, Berloip, USA. 13 Biones Inter, for the Theory of Computing, Usardior J, Gartinger, Berloip, USA. 14 National Institute for Subscience Physics (NINES), Associates, Berloip, USA. 14 Marina Institute for Subscience Trajois (NINES), Associates, Nationalids J ETUTI, CNIS & Schwarze Ultravist, Parae

16 III. Physics Institute A, RWTH Aachen University, Germany



LHC physic Examples

- Anomalie
- Generatio
- Inversion
- Formulas

ML-applications for analysis

Top tagging [supervised classification]

- · 'hello world' of LHC-ML
- · end of QCD-taggers
- · different NN-architectures
- → Non-NN left in the dust...



- mother of jet tools
- · combined detector channels
- · similar studies in CMS
- → Beyond just concepts



The Machine Learning Landscape of Top Taggers 6. Denotes by \mathbb{T}^n . For the $[n]^n$, A. There, \mathbb{T} . Convert, \mathbb{T} . Denotes \mathbb{T} , A. The \mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{T}^n is called \mathbb{T}^n . The $[n]^n$ is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n \mathbb{T}^n is the \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . The \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . Is is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n is \mathbb{T}^n . Is $\mathbb{$

6 Janef State, Jardina, Lipkins, Sheemin, Diversiti, G. Sheemin, B. Janef State, Janefin, J. Lipkins, Sheemin, D. Sheemin, S. Sheemin,

I.P.THE, UNIS & Surbana University, Paris, Prane
 III. Physics Institute A, RWTH Aachen University, Germany





Towards a Computer Vision Particle Flow *

Francesco Armando Di Bello^{8,1}, Sanmay Gangely^{3,1}, Eilam Gross¹, Marumi Kado^{3,4}, Michael Pitt², Lorenzo Santi ³, Jonathan Shlomi¹

¹Weizmann Institute of Science, Robevot 76100, Junei ²CERN, CHI 1211, Genera 23, Steinerland ³Universitä di Roma Sapierus, Piazza Aldo Moo, 2, 60185 Roma, Italy e INPN, Italy ¹Universit\u00e9 Paris-Soligo, CMSR/R2P2, IJCLub, 51405, Ossay, France Fig. 7: An event display of total energy abover (within topecluster), as captured by a calorimeter layer of 8 × 8 granularity, along with the location of the track, denoted by a red cross (left) and the same shower is captured by a calorimeter layer of 32×32 granularity (middle). The bottom right panel shows the corresponding event predicted by the NN. The figure shows that the shower originating from a $n^2 \rightarrow \gamma$ is resolved by a 32 × 32 granularity layer.



LHC Data Science

Tilman Plehn

LHC physics

- Examples
- Anomalie
- Generatio
- Inversion
- Formulas

Non-QCD and parton densities

Anomaly searches [unsupervised training, see later]

- · train on QCD-jets, SM-events
- · look for non-QCD jets, non-SM events
- \rightarrow NAE later

Better Latent Spaces for Better Autoencoders

Burry M. Dillon¹, Tilman Pielm¹, Christol Suser², and Peter Surresson²

1 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Germany 2 Physikalisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, Germany 2 Heidelberg Collaboratory für Image Processing, Universität Heidelberg, Germany

April 20, 2821

Abstra

Automotion as task behavior assessing sources at the DDC here the structured problem hists from york works are direction, entering just will highly compared with the other way around. To address this, we derive closeliters beam to be intent space of (warbindus in sourcedows, prediction) in Gaussian structures and Erdelide traiter spaces. The spaceline, the Dirichles in temperatural problem and improves both the performance and the interpretability of the astronometers.





LHC Data Science

LHC physics

Examples

- Anomalie
- Generation
- Inversion
- Formulas

Non-QCD and parton densities

Anomaly searches [unsupervised training, see later]

- · train on QCD-jets, SM-events
- · look for non-QCD jets, non-SM events
- \rightarrow NAE later





1 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Beidelberg, Germany 2 Physikalisches Institut, Universität Beidelberg, Germany 2 Beidelberg Collaboratory for Image Processing, Universität Beidelberg, Germany

April 20, 2821

Abstract

Astarwards as task behavior assumpty searchers at the LDC have the observing problem that, free only see the one direction, entertaining for will Madder complexity has at the solar way around. To address this, we derive cheathers from the intent space of (variational) second-one, prediction is Gaussian and intermediate spaces. In prediction, the Dirich effect with the solar model is a spectra of the solar space of the solar space of the star solar the prediction and Erdefields their spaces. In prediction, the Dirich effect with.



NNPDF/N3PDF parton densities [full blast]

- · starting point: pdfs without functional ansatz
- · moving on: cutting-edge ML everywhere
- \rightarrow Leaders in ML-theory

N

wood team pilo Research Deliverable Boourients - For the public

A data-based parametrization of parton distribution functions

Steines Carrama^{11,2}, Jana Cruz-Martinos¹, and Boy Stegeman²
¹ THE Lab, Dipartnessis of Fairs, Université degl Bodd di Milano and INNY Stations di Milano ¹ (SNN) Amazziati di Stationa Dissectional (CMA10) Carram 20 Sectional of Science (CMA10) Carrama 20 Sectional of Science (CMA10) Carrama 20 Sectional (CMA10) Carama 20 Sectional (CMA10) Carrama 20 Sectional (CMA10) Cara

⁴ Quantum Research Centre, Technology Incomition Institute, Alm Diabi, UAF

Received. date / Berind venios: dat

Attracts. Since the fine determination of a structure function many decide sp, all periodicality and or discretion structure in the structure function framework (Figs. 2014). The structure field of the structure of the structure field of the structure of the structure field of the structure of

PACS. 32.38.4 Quantum chromodynamics - 12.38. a Phenomenological quark methol. - 88.35.+1 Neural Networks





Symmetries

Learning symmetries [representation, visualization]

- · (particle) physics is all symmetries
- · identify symmetries in 2D systems [paintings]
- → Networks representing structure



Symmetry 1.000.50

Symmetry





LHC Data

Science Tilman Plehn

Examples



Symmetries

LHC Data

Examples

Learning symmetries [representation, visualization]

- · (particle) physics is all symmetries
- · identify symmetries in 2D systems [paintings]
- → Networks representing structure





0.0

 \mathcal{R}

Againstives are created to the sud-ledging structure of Meson. The discourse of a cyamotry signifies the risk toror of a bandamental principle and manihest intel in the form of physical Bows and reference area. Indeed, and haven bandamental Bows and Persites area her derived Bounan anion of hereinsters which a functionaria. This is a recouplished in Calibra and the physica can be derived Bounanion of hereinsters which a functionaria. This is never the bandamental Bows of Physics and Dynamics and Dynamics of the structure of the structure of the bandamental Enters is if which Pippins.



Symmetric networks [contrastive learning, transformer network]

- · rotations, translations, permutations, soft splittings, collinear splittings
- learn symmetries/augmentations
- → Symmetric latent representation





Symmetries, Safety, and Self-Supervision

Barry M. Dillon¹, Gregor Kasieczka², Hans Olsehlager¹, Tikman Piehn¹, Peter Sorrenson³, and Lorenz Vogel¹

1 hatitut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Beidelberg, Germany 2 hetitut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg, Germany 3 Heidelberg Collaboratory for Image Processing, Universität Heidelberg, Germany

August 11, 2021

Abstract

Califies another from the duffunge of duffung a regressration of high-dimensional data, such that high-duff assumeria are assumed in the discriminating informs are vertained, and the duffe of representation in an exploying squarkit. We intersisten ACCLR is only the manying from low-duff of alta to spitzbin discrimination through of dufferentiation of the low dufferentiation in the dufferentiation of the duffer



Events and amplitudes

Speed

· pr

LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn

- Examples

ling up Sherpa and MadNIS	[INNs, sampling]
recision simulations limiting fa	actor for Runs 3&4

- unweighting critical
- \rightarrow Phase space sampling

 $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}ggg$ $u \rho \rightarrow t \bar{t} \rho \rho u$ $uu \rightarrow t\bar{t}quu | u\bar{u} \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q}$

2.40-2

0.0049 0.9954 0.9995 0.9941

661

39312

sad amod amod 4.30-2 6.4e-2

 f_{i}^{apl} 3.50 8.26 3.91 2.22

6.5e-2

199 64

3.8e-2

4.80

0.9966 0.9943 0.5921

Table 4: Performance measures for partonic channels contributing to #+3 into production

3.6e-4

325.19

5.0e-3

0.19

7.1e-2

MCNET-21-13

Accelerating Monte Carlo event generation - rejection sampling using neural network event-weight estimates

K. Dansiger¹, T. Jaeflen², S. Schumsen², F. Siegert¹

1 Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, TU Dreiden, Deeiden, Germany 2 Institut für Theoretische Physik, George August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

September 27, 2021

Abstract

The generation of unit-weight events for complex scattering processes presents a severe challenge to modern Monte Carlo event generators. Even when using sophisticated phase-space sampling techniques adapted to the underlying transition printing operations of the efficiency for generating unit-weight events from weighted samples can become a limiting factor is practical applications. Here we present a newel two-singed unweighting procedure that makes use of a neural-network surrogate for the full event weight. The algorithm can significantly accelerate the unweighting process, while it still guarantees unbiased sampling from the correct target distribution. We apply, validate and henchmark the new approach in high-multiplicity LHC production processes, including Z/W+4 jets and H+3 jets, where we find speed-up factors up to ten.





Events and amplitudes

LHC Data Science

LHC physics

- Examples
- Anomalie
- Generation
- Inversion
- Formulas

S	needina	un	Sherna	and	MadNIS	[INNs, sampling]
J	peeuing	up	Sherpa	anu	Maurio	[IININS, sampling]

- · precision simulations limiting factor for Runs 3&4
- unweighting critical
- \rightarrow Phase space sampling

	$gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}ggg$	$ug \rightarrow t\bar{t}ggu$	$su \rightarrow t\bar{t}\rho ss$	$u\bar{u} \rightarrow t\bar{t}gd\bar{d}$
661	1.1e-2	7.3e-3	6.5e-3	4.6e - 4
Catalan	6.7e-3	5.8e-3	4.7e-3	3.6e-4
feat)/(fears)	39312	2417	199	64
x2.2	52.03	32.52	03.75	325.19
enter.	2.4:-2	3.8e-2	2.1e-2	5.6e-3
opm.	0.0069	0.9954	0.9994	0.9951
En.	2.21	4.89	1.47	0.29
yord	30.40	19.14	27.78	35.34
e mod	4.3e-2	6.4e-2	5.1e-2	7.1e-2
amed	0.9963	0.9966	0.9943	0.5921
5374	3.50	8.26	3.91	2.22

Table 4: Performance measures for parionic channels contributing to $t\bar{t}{+}3$ jets production at the LHC.



MCNET-21-13

Accelerating Monte Carlo event generation – rejection sampling using neural network event-weight estimates

K. Damiger¹, T. Janfen², S. Schumann², F. Siegert¹

Institut für Kern- und Tellchenphysik, TU Drenden, Deesden, Germany
 Institut für Theoretische Physik, George August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen,

September 27, 2021

Abstract

The generation of unit-wight sense for couples contribute presences presents as severe shalting to move Markov fits one way agreen show. Severe shalting to move the severe shalting the severe shalt severe shalting the severe shalting severe shalting the severe shalting severe shalting the severe shalting severe shalt severe sever



Speeding up amplitudes [precision regression]

- · loop-amplitudes expensive
- · interpolation standard
- → Precision NN-amplitudes





PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JHEP

IPPP/20/135

Optimising simulations for diphoton production at hadron colliders using amplitude neural networks

Joseph Aylott-Bullock^{1,2} Simon Badger¹ Ryan Moodie

Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, Department of Physics, Darham University, Darham, DRI 3247, United Kingdom

³Instituté for Data Science, Darkam University, Darkam, DHI IEE, United Einplem ⁴Dpartiments de Paise and Arsold-Pappe Centre, Université de Tavina, and JMPN, Science de Tortes. Na F. Centra J. - Patrill Tortes. Bach.

E-wait j.p. bulleckBdurham.ac.uk, minendavid.badger@mite.it, ryam.i.meedie@durham.ar.uk

Attracts: Madras learning technology has the potential to demandially optimise course prevation and similarias. We confirm to integrating the test of anomy structure gravitational structure is the high-mixing prevation prevation of the similarity optimise course. We have an the course the structure is the structure is the structure of the structure is the structure is the structure of the structure is the structure of the structure of the structure is the structure of the structure of the structure is the structure of the stru



LHC physics

- Examples
- Anomalie
- Generatio
- Inversion
- Formulas

Invertible event generation

Precision NN-generators [Bayesian discriminator-flows]

- · control through discriminator [GAN-like]
- · uncertainties through Bayesian networks
- \rightarrow JetGPT later

Construction Retroction Endowment Construction Retroction Endowment Sector 2014 Annual Research of Partice Kerkel Participation Research of Participation Research Research Retroction Research Science Ret. 2015 Construction Research Construction

Generative networks are opening new areases in fast event generation for the LHC. We

show how generative flow networks can reach percent-level prevision for kinematic distrilations, how they can be routed jointly with a distribution of the distribution improves the generation. Our joint training roles on a need coupling of the two networks which does not require a Nuch equilibrium. We then estimate the generation uncertaintion through a Responsa network weight and through conditional data suggestuality, while

the discriminator ensures that there are no systematic inconsistencies compared to the





_HC physics

- Examples
- Anomalie
- Generatio
- Inversion
- Formulas

Invertible event generation

Precision NN-generators [Bayesian discriminator-flows]

- · control through discriminator [GAN-like]
- · uncertainties through Bayesian networks
- → JetGPT later



training data.

Unfolding and inversion [conditional normalizing flows]

- · detector/decays/QCD unfolded
- · calibrated inverse sampling
- \rightarrow Publishing analysis results



For simulations where the forward and the increase directions have a physics manning, invertible means a stress are equivally model. A conditional NN con increase is directors simulation in forma of high-bend observables, specifically for 2W production at the LTIC. It allows for a per-versa trainfalsical interpretation. Next, we allow for a writely manner of QCD lists. We middle distorter effects and QCD multialists to a per-versa trainfallistic interpretations core particularly distorts process, again with a per-versa physicalistic interpretations core particularly distorts pass quarks.



85 90 91

Mayroom [GeV]



LHC physics

- Examples
- Anomalie
- Generatio
- Inversion
- Formulas

Proper theory

Navigating string landscape [reinforcement learning]

- · searching for viable vacua
- · high dimensions, unknown global structure
- \rightarrow Model space sampling



Figure 1: Left: Cluster structure in dimensionally reduced flux samples for RL and 25 GA runs (PCA on all samples of GA and RL). The colors indicate individual GA runs. Right: Dependence on flux (input) values (N₂ and N₅ respectively) in relation to principal components for a PCA fit of the individual output of GA and RL.

Probing the Structure of String Theory Vacua with Genetic Algorithms and Reinforcement Learning

Alex Cale University of Amsterdam a.e. coledura.nl	Sven Krippendorf Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics LMU Manich aven.krippendorf@physik.uni-maenchen.de
Andress Schachmer Centre for Mathematical Scie University of Cambridge an26738cam.ac.uk	Gary Shia cos University of Wisconsin-Madison shiu@physico.wisc.edu
	Abstract

Identifying uting therey wave with denired physical properties at low energies requires searcing through high-fitnessimal obtaion squortor of the string landscape. We highlight that this search problem is anreable to minforcement interaing and pantic algorithms. In the context of the versus, we are able to receal novel features (suggesting previously unidentified symmetries) in the string theory solutions required for properties such as the static copyling, la order to is distributed by the strength of the static strength of the strength of the static strength of the static strength of the strengt



Proper theory

Navigating string landscape [reinforcement learning]

- · searching for viable vacua
- · high dimensions, unknown global structure
- → Model space sampling



Figure 1: Left: Cluster structure in dimensionally reduced flux samples for RL and 25 GA runs (PCA on all samples of GA and RL). The colors indicate individual GA runs. Right: Dependence on flux (input) values (N₃ and N₅ respectively) in relation to principal components for a PCA fit of the individual output of GA and RL.

Probing the Structure of String Theory Vacua with Genetic Algorithms and Reinforcement Learning

2	a.e.cole@uva.nl	Sven Krippendorf Arnold Sommenfeld Center for Theoretical Physics LAU Munich area, krippendarf Golyntik, uni-maenchen, de		
Flux values	Andreas Schachner Centre for Mathematical Sciences University of Cambridge an29738cen.ac.uk	Gary Shia University of Wisconsin-Madison ahistiphysaica.visc.edu		
	Abr	tract		
•	requires searching through high-dimensi to as the string landscape. We highligh	sized physical properties at low energies snal solution spaces – collectively referred at that this search problem is amenable to induce the this search problem is an enable to		

instances and the second second provide a second provide a low entropy requires seconding thready high dimensional solution square – coefficiently referent to as the string hardware. It is the highlight that this second problem is arranged to referencement learning and genetic algorithms. In the context of this vecans, we are able to result as the second second second second second second tring theory solutions required for preventions such as the starting operation in the second second second second second second second second to be second second second second second second second second second to be second se

Learning formulas [genetic algorithm, symbolic regression, see later]

- · approximate numerical function through formula
- · example: score/optimal observables
- \rightarrow PySR later







Back to the Formula — LHC Edition

Anja Butter¹, Tilman Piehn¹, Nathalio Soybelman¹, and Johann Beehmer²

1 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universitilt Heidelberg, Germany Center for Data Science, New York University, New York, United States nathalis@acybelman.de

November 16, 2021

Abstract

While near a setworks offer an attractive way to manufastly encode functions, actual formula in remain the language of theoretical portice layous, we way syndar regression trained to matterise dense in the set of the se



Examples

Anomalies

Generatio

Inversion

ormulas

Spirit of LHC



Unsupervised classification

- train on background only extract unknown signal from reconstruction error
- $\cdot \,$ reconstruct QCD jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ top jets hard to describe
- $\cdot \,$ reconstruct top jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ QCD jets just simple top-like jet
- \rightarrow Symmetric performance $S \leftrightarrow B$?



LHC Data

Spirit of LHC

Unsupervised classification

10@20x20 5@20x20 400 100 100 400

5@20x20 5@40x40 10@40x40 1@40x40

- train on background only extract unknown signal from reconstruction error
- \cdot reconstruct QCD jets \rightarrow top jets hard to describe
- \cdot reconstruct top jets \rightarrow QCD jets just simple top-like jet

1/m40x40

10@40x40

 \rightarrow Symmetric performance $S \leftrightarrow B$?

Moving to latent space [Dillon, Favaro, TP, Sorrensen, Krämer]

- anomaly score from latent space?
- \cdot VAE \rightarrow does not work Gaussian mixture VAE \rightarrow does not work Dirichlet VAE \rightarrow works okay density estimation \rightarrow does not work




- Examples
- Anomalies
- Generatio
- Inversion
- Formulas

Spirit of LHC

- Unsupervised classification
 - train on background only extract unknown signal from reconstruction error
 - $\cdot \,$ reconstruct QCD jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ top jets hard to describe
 - $\cdot \;$ reconstruct top jets \; \rightarrow \; QCD jets just simple top-like jet
 - \rightarrow Symmetric performance $S \leftrightarrow B$?

Normalized autoencoder [Sangwoong Yoon, Noh, Park]

- normalized probability loss
- · Boltzmann mapping $[E_{\theta} = MSE]$

$$\begin{split} p_{\theta}(x) &= \frac{e^{-E_{\theta}(x)}}{Z_{\theta}} \\ L &= -\big\langle \log p_{\theta}(x) \big\rangle = \big\langle E_{\theta}(x) + \log Z_{\theta} \big\rangle \end{split}$$

- · inducing background metric
- $\cdot\,$ large MSE for too much and missing structure
- \rightarrow Symmetric autoencoder, at last





- Examples
- Anomalies
- Generatio
- Inversion
- Formulas

Spirit of LHC

Unsupervised classification

- train on background only extract unknown signal from reconstruction error
- $\cdot \,$ reconstruct QCD jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ top jets hard to describe
- $\cdot \,$ reconstruct top jets $\, \rightarrow \,$ QCD jets just simple top-like jet
- \rightarrow Symmetric performance $S \leftrightarrow B$?

Normalized autoencoder [Sangwoong Yoon, Noh, Park]

- normalized probability loss
- · Boltzmann mapping $[E_{\theta} = MSE]$

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\theta}(x) &= \frac{e^{-E_{\theta}(x)}}{Z_{\theta}} \\ L &= -\big\langle \log p_{\theta}(x) \big\rangle = \big\langle E_{\theta}(x) + \log Z_{\theta} \big\rangle \end{split}$$

- · inducing background metric
- · large MSE for too much and missing structure
- \rightarrow Symmetric autoencoder, at last





Anomalies

- Generatior
- Inversion
- Formulas

Modern generative networks

Generative networks [Butter, Heimel, Krause, TP, Winterhalder,...]

- · generate new images, text blocks, etc
- encode density in target space sample from Gaussian into target space
- $\cdot\,$ reproduce training data, statistically independently
- · include uncertainty on estimated density [BNN]



Generatior

- Inversion
- Formulas

Modern generative networks

Generative networks [Butter, Heimel, Krause, TP, Winterhalder,...]

- · generate new images, text blocks, etc
- encode density in target space sample from Gaussian into target space
- $\cdot\,$ reproduce training data, statistically independently
- · include uncertainty on estimated density [BNN]
- \cdot Variational Autoencoder \rightarrow low-dimensional physics, high-dimensional objects
- \cdot Generative Adversarial Network \rightarrow generator trained by classifier
- Generative Pre-trained Transformer
 - \rightarrow learning correlations successively
- \rightarrow Pick best model for purpose





- Generation
- Inversion
- Formulas

Modern generative networks

Generative networks [Butter, Heimel, Krause, TP, Winterhalder,...]

- · generate new images, text blocks, etc
- encode density in target space sample from Gaussian into target space
- $\cdot\,$ reproduce training data, statistically independently
- · include uncertainty on estimated density [BNN]
- \cdot Variational Autoencoder \rightarrow low-dimensional physics, high-dimensional objects
- \cdot Generative Adversarial Network \rightarrow generator trained by classifier
- Generative Pre-trained Transformer \rightarrow learning correlations successively
- \rightarrow Pick best model for purpose

Fundamental question: GANplification

- · first generated instances reproducing structures
- · too many generated instances reproducing noise?



JetGPT

Correlations through self-attention

- $\cdot\,$ think of data as bins in phase-space directions
- $\cdot\,$ self-attention: encode relation between bins
- · input x, need link of x_i and x_j
- latent query representation $q = W^{Q}x$ latent key representation $k = W^{K}x$ define correlation as $A_{ij} = q_i \cdot k_j$
- · latent value representation $v = W^V x$ output z = A v
- → Learning all correlations





JetGPT

Correlations through self-attention

- $\cdot\,$ think of data as bins in phase-space directions
- · self-attention: encode relation between bins
- · input x, need link of x_i and x_j
- · latent query representation $q = W^{Q}x$ latent key representation $k = W^{K}x$ define correlation as $A_{ij} = q_i \cdot k_j$
- · latent value representation $v = W^V x$ output z = A v
- \rightarrow Learning all correlations

Autoregressive transformer

· factorized density

$$p_{\text{model}}(x| heta) = \prod_i p(x_i|x_1,...,x_{i-1})$$

- $\cdot \ \text{bins} \rightarrow \text{Gaussian}$ mixture model
- · autoregressive $A_{ij} = 0$ for j > i
- · Bayesian version for uncertainties
- \rightarrow Most famous generative model







Generation

Precision generator

ML-playground: end-to-end generators

- · generative network over phase space
- training from event samples no momentum conservation no detector effects [sharper structures]
- $\cdot ~Z_{\mu\mu} + \{1,2,3\}~ ext{jets}~$ [Z-peak, variable jet number, jet-jet topology]



Precision generator

ML-playground: end-to-end generators

- · generative network over phase space
- training from event samples no momentum conservation no detector effects [sharper structures]
- $\cdot \,\, Z_{\mu\mu} + \{1,2,3\} \,\, ext{jets} \,\,$ [Z-peak, variable jet number, jet-jet topology]

JetGPT [Butter, Huetsch, Palacios Schweitzer, Sorrenson, Spinner]

- · uncertainties from limited training statistics
- $\cdot \,$ variable number of jets from condition





Precision generator

ML-playground: end-to-end generators

- · generative network over phase space
- training from event samples no momentum conservation no detector effects [sharper structures]
- $\cdot \,\, Z_{\mu\mu} + \{1,2,3\} \,\, jets \,\,$ [Z-peak, variable jet number, jet-jet topology]

JetGPT [Butter, Huetsch, Palacios Schweitzer, Sorrenson, Spinner]

- · uncertainties from limited training statistics
- · variable number of jets from condition
- · challenging ΔR_{jj} and mass peaks





LHC Data Science Tilman Plehn

Examples

- Anomalies
- Generatio
- Inversion
- Formulas

Inverse simulation

Invertible ML-simulation [Ramon's lecture]

- \cdot forward: $r \rightarrow$ events trained on model
- \cdot inverse: $r \rightarrow$ anything trained on model, conditioned on event





Formulas

Inverse simulation

Invertible ML-simulation [Ramon's lecture]

- $\cdot\,$ forward: $r \rightarrow$ events trained on model
- \cdot inverse: $r \rightarrow$ anything trained on model, conditioned on event
- · individual steps known problems

detector unfolding unfolding to QCD parton means jet algorithm unfolding jet radiation known combinatorics problem unfolding to hard process standard in top groups [needed for global analyses] matrix element method an old dream

- · improved through coherent ML-method
- · free choice of data-theory inference point
- \rightarrow Major progress for towards HL-LHC





Formulas

Learning optimal observables

Measure model parameter θ optimally [Butter, TP, Soybelman, Brehmer]

· single-event likelihood

$$p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\theta)} \frac{d^m \sigma(x|\theta)}{dx^m}$$

 \cdot expanded in θ around θ_0 , define score

$$\log \frac{p(x|\theta)}{p(x|\theta_0)} \approx (\theta - \theta_0) \nabla_{\theta} \log p(x|\theta) \bigg|_{\theta_0} \equiv (\theta - \theta_0) t(x|\theta_0) \equiv (\theta - \theta_0) \mathcal{O}^{\mathsf{opt}}(x)$$

 $\cdot\,$ to leading order at parton level

$$p(x|\theta) \approx |\mathcal{M}|_0^2 + \theta |\mathcal{M}|_{int}^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t(x|\theta_0) \sim \frac{|\mathcal{M}|_{int}^2}{|\mathcal{M}|_0^2}$$

 \Rightarrow And including everything?



Formulas

Learning optimal observables

Measure model parameter θ optimally [Butter, TP, Soybelman, Brehmer]

· single-event likelihood

$$p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}(\theta)} \frac{d^m \sigma(x|\theta)}{dx^m}$$

 $\cdot \,$ expanded in θ around $\theta_0,$ define score

$$\log \frac{p(x|\theta)}{p(x|\theta_0)} \approx (\theta - \theta_0) \nabla_{\theta} \log p(x|\theta) \bigg|_{\theta_0} \equiv (\theta - \theta_0) t(x|\theta_0) \equiv (\theta - \theta_0) \mathcal{O}^{\mathsf{opt}}(x)$$

 $\cdot\,$ to leading order at parton level

$$p(x|\theta) \approx |\mathcal{M}|_0^2 + \theta |\mathcal{M}|_{int}^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad t(x|\theta_0) \sim \frac{|\mathcal{M}|_{int}^2}{|\mathcal{M}|_0^2}$$

H

 \Rightarrow And including everything?

CP-violating Higgs production

· unique CP-observable

$$\propto \epsilon_{\mu
u
ho\sigma} \; k_1^{\mu} \; k_2^{
u} \; q_1^{
ho} \; q_2^{\sigma} \; {
m sign} \left[(k_1 - k_2) \cdot (q_1 - q_2)
ight] \stackrel{{
m lab frame}}{\longrightarrow} \sin \Delta \phi_{jj}$$

· CP-effect in $\Delta \phi_{jj}$ D6-effect in $p_{T,j}$

t

 \Rightarrow Established LHC task



Symbolic regression

Symbolic regression of score [PySR (M Cranmer) + final fit]

- · function to approximate $t(x|\theta)$
- \cdot phase space parameters $x_{
 m p}= p_T/m_H, \Delta\eta, \Delta\phi$ [node]
- · operators $\sin x, x^2, x^3, x + y, x y, x * y, x/y$ [node]
- · represent formula as tree [complexity = number of nodes]
- ⇒ Figures of merit

$$\mathsf{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[g_i(x) - t(x, z|\theta) \right]^2 \to \mathsf{MSE} + \mathsf{parsimony} \cdot \mathsf{complexity}$$

Score around Standard Model

compl dof function			MSE	•
3	1	$a \Delta \phi$	$1.30\cdot 10^{-1}$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4	1	$\sin(a\Delta\phi)$	$2.75\cdot 10^{-1}$	
5	1	$a\Delta\phi x_{p,1}$	$9.93\cdot 10^{-2}$	10-1
6	1	$-x_{p,1}\sin(\Delta\phi+a)$	$1.90\cdot10^{-1}$	ш 🖕
7	1	$(-x_{p,1}-a)\sin(\sin(\Delta\phi))$	$5.63\cdot 10^{-2}$	
8	1	$(a - x_{p,1})x_{p,2}\sin(\Delta\phi)$	$1.61\cdot 10^{-2}$	
14	2	$x_{p,1}(a\Delta\phi - \sin(\sin(\Delta\phi)))(x_{p,2} + b)$	$1.44\cdot 10^{-2}$	
15	3	$-(x_{p,2}(a\Delta\eta^2 + x_{p,1}) + b)\sin(\Delta\phi + c)$	$1.30\cdot10^{-2}$	
16	4	$-x_{p,1}(a-b\Delta\eta)(x_{p,2}+c)\sin(\Delta\phi+d)$	$8.50\cdot10^{-3}$	10-2
28	7	$\begin{array}{l} (x_{p,2}+a)(bx_{p,1}(c-\Delta\phi)\\ -x_{p,1}(d\Delta\eta+ex_{p,2}+f)\sin(\Delta\phi+g))\end{array}$	$8.18\cdot 10^{-3}$	5 10 15 20 25 30 complexity



Conoratio

- Invorsion
- Formulas

Symbolic regression

Symbolic regression of score [PySR (M Cranmer) + final fit]

- function to approximate $t(x|\theta)$
- \cdot phase space parameters $x_{p}=p_{T}/m_{H},\Delta\eta,\Delta\phi$ [node]
- · operators $\sin x, x^2, x^3, x + y, x y, x * y, x/y$ [node]
- · represent formula as tree [complexity = number of nodes]
- ⇒ Figures of merit

$$\mathsf{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[g_i(x) - t(x, z|\theta) \right]^2 \to \mathsf{MSE} + \mathsf{parsimony} \cdot \mathsf{complexity}$$

Score around Standard Model

- · expected limits:
 - very wrong formula wrong formula
- same within statistical limitation: right formula MadMiner
- ⇒ Formulas to numerics and back





ML for LHC Theory

ML-applications

- · just another numerical tool for a numerical field
- · driven by money from data science and medical research
- · goals are...

...improve established tasks ...develop new tools for established tasks ...transform through new ideas

- · xAI through...
 - ...precision control
 - ... uncertainties
 - ...symmetries
 - ...formulas
- \rightarrow Fun with LHC problems

Modern Machine Learning for LHC Physicists

Tilman Plehno, Anja Buttera, Barry Dillono, and Claudius Krausea,

^a Institut f
ür Theoretische Physik, Universit
üt Heidelberg, Germany
^b LPNHE, Sorbonne Universit
üt, Universit
üt Paris Cit
üt, CNRS/IN293, Paris, France
^c NHETC, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, Piscataway, USA

November 2, 2022

Abstract

Moder mechanic learning in transforming particle physics, faster than we can follow, and bullying its way into our mortical tool lock. Two your escaterbar its its calls to use on up of the lockerbanent, which mean applying utilized edge methods, and tools to the full image of LHZ physics problems. These lecture naises are meant to lad alloadens with possible. They at net with a LHZ-nepecific materiation and a non-method method method of the distances of the lock of the distances of the lock of



LHC Data