LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative AI Events

# Modern ML for LHC Theory

Tilman Plehn

Universität Heidelberg

SMASH, Vipava, October 2024



#### LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative Al Events Unfolding

# Modern LHC physics

#### **Classic motivation**

- · dark matter?
- · matter vs antimatter?
- · origin of Higgs boson?





#### LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative AI Events Unfolding

# Modern LHC physics

#### **Classic motivation**

- · dark matter?
- · matter vs antimatter?
- · origin of Higgs boson?

### LHC physics

- · fundamental questions
- · huge data set
- $\cdot\,$  first-principle, precision simulations
- · complete uncertainty control

### Successful past

- measurements of total rates
- · analyses inspired by simulation
- model-driven Higgs discovery



### LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative AI Events Unfolding

# Modern LHC physics

#### Classic motivation

- · dark matter?
- matter vs antimatter?
- · origin of Higgs boson?

### LHC physics

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- · first-principle, precision simulations
- · complete uncertainty control

### Successful past

- · measurements of total rates
- $\cdot\,$  analyses inspired by simulation
- model-driven Higgs discovery

### First-principle, precision simulations

- · start with Lagrangian
- · calculate scattering using QFT
- simulate collisions
- simulate detectors
- → LHC collisions in virtual worlds

### **BSM** searches

- $\cdot\,$  compare simulations and data
- · understand LHC data systematically
- · infer underlying theory [SM or BSM]
- · publish useable results
- → Lots of data science...





LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative Al Events Unfolding

# LHC Theory

#### Turning data into knowledge

- · QFT
- start with Lagrangian generate Feynman diagrams
- compute hard scattering compute decays compute jet radiation
- partons inside protons hadron-level QCD
- $\rightarrow\,$  First-principle simulations, not modeling

### HL-LHC: optimal inference with 10 $\times more$ data

- $\cdot \,$  statistical improvement  $\sqrt{10} > 3$
- $\cdot\,$  rate over phase space to <0.1%
- $\cdot \;$  SBI starts with Simulation  $\leftrightarrow$  theory
- · speed the key to precision
- → MadNIS & Co





Generative AI Events Unfolding

# Regression with uncertainties

#### Calibration function for ATLAS calorimeter

- energy measurement for cluster/jet j  $\langle E \rangle = \int dE \ E \ p(E)$
- · weighted by reproducing training data  $p(\theta|T)$  $p(E) = \int d\theta \ p(E|\theta) \ p(\theta|T)$
- $\rightarrow \theta$ -distributions defining Bayesian NN



### LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative Al Events

### Regression with uncertainties

### Calibration function for ATLAS calorimeter

- energy measurement for cluster/jet j  $\langle E \rangle = \int dE \ E \ p(E)$
- · weighted by reproducing training data  $p(\theta|T)$  $p(E) = \int d\theta \ p(E|\theta) \ p(\theta|T)$
- $\rightarrow \theta$ -distributions defining Bayesian NN

### Variational approximation

definition of training [think 
$$q(\theta)$$
 as Gaussian with mean and width]  
 $p(E) = \int d\theta \ p(E|\theta) \ p(\theta|T) \approx \int d\theta \ p(E|\theta) \ q(\theta)$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} \cdot \mbox{ similarity through minimal KL-divergence } & \mbox{ [Bayes' theorem to remove unknown posterior]} \\ D_{\text{KL}}[q(\theta), p(\theta|T)] &= \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|T)} \\ &= \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log \frac{q(\theta)p(T)}{p(T|\theta)p(\theta)} \\ &= D_{\text{KL}}[q(\theta), p(\theta)] - \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log p(T|\theta) + \log p(T) \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \end{array}$ 



LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative AI Events

### Regression with uncertainties

### Calibration function for ATLAS calorimeter

- energy measurement for cluster/jet j  $\langle E \rangle = \int dE \ E \ p(E)$
- · weighted by reproducing training data  $p(\theta|T)$  $p(E) = \int d\theta \ p(E|\theta) \ p(\theta|T)$
- $\rightarrow \theta$ -distributions defining Bayesian NN

### Variational approximation

definition of training [think 
$$q(\theta)$$
 as Gaussian with mean and width]  

$$p(E) = \int d\theta \ p(E|\theta) \ p(\theta|T) \approx \int d\theta \ p(E|\theta) \ q(\theta)$$

· similarity through minimal KL-divergence [Bayes' theorem to remove unknown posterior]

$$\begin{split} D_{\mathsf{KL}}[q(\theta), p(\theta|T)] &= \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|T)} \\ &= \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log \frac{q(\theta)p(T)}{p(T|\theta)p(\theta)} \\ &\approx D_{\mathsf{KL}}[q(\theta), p(\theta)] - \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log p(T|\theta) \equiv \mathcal{L} \end{split}$$



→ Two-term loss: likelihood + prior

#### BNNs

Generative A Events Unfolding

## Relation to deterministic networks

#### Regularization

· BNN loss

$$\mathcal{L} = -\int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log p(T|\theta) + D_{\mathsf{KL}}[q(\theta), p(\theta)]$$
$$= -\int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log p(T|\theta) + \frac{\sigma_q^2 - \sigma_\rho^2 + (\mu_q - \mu_\rho)^2}{2\sigma_\rho^2} + \dots$$

· deterministic network

$$q( heta) = \delta( heta - heta_0) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L} \approx -\log p(T| heta_0) + rac{( heta_0 - \mu_p)^2}{2\sigma_p^2}$$

 $\rightarrow\,$  Likelihood with L2-regularization



#### Generative A Events Unfolding

### Relation to deterministic networks

#### Regularization

· BNN loss

$$\mathcal{L} = -\int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log p(T|\theta) + D_{\mathsf{KL}}[q(\theta), p(\theta)]$$
$$= -\int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log p(T|\theta) + \frac{\sigma_q^2 - \sigma_\rho^2 + (\mu_q - \mu_\rho)^2}{2\sigma_\rho^2} + \dots$$

deterministic network

$$q(\theta) = \delta(\theta - \theta_0) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L} \approx -\log p(T|\theta_0) + \frac{(\theta_0 - \mu_p)^2}{2\sigma_p^2}$$

 $\rightarrow$  Likelihood with L2-regularization

#### Dropout

· Bernoulli weights

$$q(\theta) \rightarrow q(x) = \rho^{x} (1-\rho)^{1-x} \bigg|_{x=0,1}$$
 with  $\theta = x \theta_{0}$ 

 $\rightarrow\,$  Regularized likelihood with dropout



# LHC Physics Tilman Plehn

#### LHC physics

- BNNs
- Generative Al Events Unfolding

# Statistics vs systematics

#### Network evaluation

· expectation value using trained network  $q(\theta)$ 

$$E\rangle = \int dEd\theta \ E \ p(E|\theta) \ q(\theta)$$
$$\equiv \int d\theta \ q(\theta)\overline{E}(\theta) \quad \text{with} \quad \overline{E}(\theta) = \int dE \ E \ p(E|\theta)$$

· corresponding variance

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\text{tot}}^{2} &= \int dE d\theta \ (E - \langle E \rangle)^{2} \ p(E|\theta) \ q(\theta) \\ &= \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \left[ \overline{E^{2}}(\theta) - 2 \langle E \rangle \overline{E}(\theta) + \langle E \rangle^{2} \right] \\ &= \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \left[ \overline{E^{2}}(\theta) - \overline{E}(\theta)^{2} + \left( \overline{E}(\theta) - \langle E \rangle \right)^{2} \right] \equiv \sigma_{\text{syst}}^{2} + \sigma_{\text{stat}}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

#### Two uncertainties

· statistical — vanishing for perfect training:  $q(\theta) \rightarrow \delta(\theta - \theta_0)$ 

$$\sigma_{\text{stat}}^2 = \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \left[ \overline{E}(\theta) - \langle E \rangle \right]^2 = \left[ \overline{E}(\theta_0) - \langle E \rangle \right]^2$$

 $\cdot$  systematic — vanishing for perfect data:  $p(E| heta) 
ightarrow \delta(E-E_0)$ 

$$\sigma_{\text{syst}}^{2} = \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \left[ \overline{E^{2}}(\theta) - \overline{E}(\theta)^{2} \right]$$



LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative Al Events

# Generative AI

#### Forward simulations

- $\cdot$  learn phase space density sample Gaussian  $\rightarrow$  phase space
- $\cdot$  Variational Autoencoder  $\rightarrow$  low-dimensional physics
- $\cdot$  Generative Adversarial Network  $\rightarrow$  generator trained by classifier
- · Normalizing Flow/Diffusion  $\rightarrow$  (bijective) mapping [INN]
- $\cdot\,$  JetGPT, ViT  $\rightarrow$  non-local structures
- Equivariant L-GATr
   → guarantee Lorentz symmetry
- → Combinations: equivariant transformer CFM...



Number of training samples





LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative AI Events Unfolding

# Generative Uncertainties

#### Unsupervised Bayesian networks

- data: event sample [points in 2D space] learn phase space density standard distribution in latent space [Gaussian] sample from latent space
- Bayesian version allow weight distributions learn uncertainty map
- · 2D wedge ramp

$$p(x) = ax + b = ax + \frac{1 - \frac{a}{2}(x_{\max}^2 - x_{\min}^2)}{x_{\max} - x_{\min}}$$
$$(\Delta p)^2 = \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (\Delta a)^2 + \left(1 + \frac{a}{2}\right)^2 (\Delta x_{\max})^2 + \left(1 - \frac{a}{2}\right)^2 (\Delta x_{\min})^2$$

explaining minimum in  $\sigma(x)$ 

 $\rightarrow$  INNs, diffusion just (non-parametric) fits







#### Generative A

Events

Unfolding

# Events with uncertainties

#### Bayesian network generator

- network with weight distributions [Gal (2016)] sample weights [defining error bar] frequentist: efficient ensembling
- $\Rightarrow$  Training-related error bars





LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative AI Events

# Events with uncertainties

#### Bayesian network generator

- network with weight distributions [Gal (2016)] sample weights [defining error bar] frequentist: efficient ensembling
- $\Rightarrow$  Training-related error bars

### Theory uncertainties

- · BNN regression/classification: systematics from data augmentation
- · systematic uncertainties in tails

$$w = 1 + a \left( \frac{p_{T,j_1} - 15 \text{ GeV}}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right)^2$$

- augment training data  $[a = 0 \dots 30]$
- train conditionally on a error bar from sampling a
- ⇒ Systematic/theory error bars





LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative AI

#### Events

Jnfolding

# Transforming LHC physics

#### Number of searches

- · SBI: signal and background simulations
- · CPU-limitation for many signals?

### Optimal analyses

- $\cdot\,$  theory limiting many analyses, but continuous progress
- · allow for analyses to be updated?

### Public LHC data

- common lore: LHC data too complicated for amateurs
- · in truth:

hard scattering and decay simulations public BSM physics not in hadronization and detector

→ Unfold to suitable level [EFT?]





### LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative Al Events Unfolding

# ML-Unfolding

#### **Basic structure**

· four phase space distributions



- · forward and inverse generation symmetric [stochastic]
- $\rightarrow\,$  ML for unbinned and high-dimensional unfolding?



## LHC Physics Tilman Plehn LHC physics BNNs Generative AI Events

# ML-Unfolding

#### **Basic structure**

· four phase space distributions

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_{\rm sim}(x_{\rm part}) & \xleftarrow{\text{unfolding inference}} & \rho_{\rm unfold}(x_{\rm part}) \\ \\ \rho(x_{\rm reco} \mid x_{\rm part}) & & & & & & \\ \rho_{\rm sim}(x_{\rm reco}) & \xleftarrow{\text{forward inference}} & \rho_{\rm data}(x_{\rm reco}) \end{array}$ 

- · forward and inverse generation symmetric [stochastic]
- $\rightarrow$  ML for unbinned and high-dimensional unfolding?

#### OmniFold [Andreassen, Komiske, Metodiev, Nachman, Thaler]

- $\cdot \;\; \mathsf{learn} \; \rho_{\mathsf{sim}}(x_{\mathsf{reco}}) \leftrightarrow \rho_{\mathsf{data}}(x_{\mathsf{reco}}) \quad {}_{\mathsf{[Neyman-Pearson lemma, CWoLa]}}$
- · reweight  $p_{sim}(x_{part}) \rightarrow p_{unfold}(x_{part})$



 $\rightarrow$  Driven by established ML-classification



#### Events

Unfolding

# Unfolding by generation

#### Targeting conditional probability [Butter, TP, Winterhalder,...]

- · just like forward ML-generation
- · learn inverse conditional probability from (xpart, xreco)



#### Improvements crucial

- 1 likelihood loss to generate posterior  $\rightarrow$  cINN
- 2 make networks more precise  $\rightarrow$  TraCFM
- 3 remove training prior [Backes, Butter, Dunford, Malaescu]
- $\rightarrow$  Driven by generative networks



# LHC Physics Tilman Plehn

LHC physics BNNs Generative A Events

Unfolding

# Unfolding top decays

Tough challenge [Favaro, Kogler, Paasch, Palacios Schweitzer, TP, Schwarz]

- first measure  $m_t$  in unfolded data then unfold full kinematics
- · model dependence: simulation  $m_s$  vs data  $m_d$





# Unfolding top decays

### LHC physics BNNs Generative AI Events

Unfolding

# Tough challenge [Favaro, Kogler, Paasch, Palacios Schweitzer, TP, Schwarz]

- first measure  $m_t$  in unfolded data then unfold full kinematics
- · model dependence: simulation  $m_s$  vs data  $m_d$



- 1 weaken bias by training on ms-range
- 2 strengthen data by including batch-wise  $\textit{m}_{d} \sim \textit{M}_{jjj} \in \textit{x}_{reco}$



# Unfolding top decays

#### Tough challenge [Favaro, Kogler, Paasch, Palacios Schweitzer, TP, Schwarz]

- · first measure  $m_t$  in unfolded data then unfold full kinematics
- · model dependence: simulation m<sub>s</sub> vs data m<sub>d</sub>



1 weaken bias by training on ms-range

2 strengthen data by including batch-wise  $\textit{m}_{\textit{d}} \sim \textit{M}_{jjj} \in \textit{x}_{\text{reco}}$ 

#### Preliminary unfolding results [TraCFM]

· 4D for calibrated mass measurement





Unfolding

# Unfolding top decays

#### Tough challenge [Favaro, Kogler, Paasch, Palacios Schweitzer, TP, Schwarz]

- · first measure  $m_t$  in unfolded data then unfold full kinematics
- · model dependence: simulation m<sub>s</sub> vs data m<sub>d</sub>



1 weaken bias by training on  $m_s$ -range

2 strengthen data by including batch-wise  $\textit{m}_{\textit{d}} \sim \textit{M}_{jjj} \in \textit{x}_{\text{reco}}$ 

#### Preliminary unfolding results [TraCFM]

· 4D for calibrated mass measurement





# Unfolding top decays

#### Tough challenge [Favaro, Kogler, Paasch, Palacios Schweitzer, TP, Schwarz]

- · first measure  $m_t$  in unfolded data then unfold full kinematics
- · model dependence: simulation  $m_s$  vs data  $m_d$



1 weaken bias by training on ms-range

2 strengthen data by including batch-wise  $\textit{m}_{d} \sim \textit{M}_{jjj} \in \textit{x}_{reco}$ 

#### Preliminary unfolding results [TraCFM]

- 4D for calibrated mass measurement
- 12D published data
- → CMS data next





# ML for LHC Theory

#### Developing ML for the best science

- · just another numerical tool for a numerical field
- · transformative new common language
- · driven by money from data science and medical research
- · be 10000 Einsteins,
  - ...improving established tools
  - ...developing new tools for established tasks
  - ...transforming through new ideas
- $\rightarrow$  You are the golden generation!

Modern Machine Learning for LHC Physicists

Tilman Plehn<sup>a</sup>, Anja Butter<sup>a,b</sup>, Barry Dillon<sup>a</sup>, Theo Heimel<sup>a</sup>, Claudius Krause<sup>c</sup>, and Ramon Winterhalder<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Germany <sup>b</sup> LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France <sup>c</sup> HEPHY, Austrian Academy of Sciences. Vienna, Austria <sup>d</sup> CP3, Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain-Ia-Neuve, Belgium

March 19, 2024

#### Abstract

Modern machine learning is transforming particle physics facts, bublying in way into our manufactor learning is transforming particle physics facts, bublying in way, into our manufactor and the start of the start



:2211.01421v2 [hep-ph] 17 Mar 2024