LHC

Neural networks

Examples

Amplitudes

Generative Al

Transformation

Transforming Particle Physics with AI

Tilman Plehn

Universität Heidelberg

DAMTP, March 2025



LHC

Neural networks Examples Amplitudes Generative Al Transformation

LHC: precision & uncertainties

Classic motivation

- · dark matter?
- · matter vs antimatter?
- · origin of Higgs boson?

Strengths

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- · first-principle, precision simulations







LHC

Neural networks Examples Amplitudes Generative Al Transformation

LHC: precision & uncertainties

Classic motivation

- · dark matter?
- · matter vs antimatter?
- · origin of Higgs boson?

Strengths

- · fundamental questions
- huge data set
- · first-principle, precision simulations

First-principle simulations

- · start with Lagrangian
- · calculate scattering using QFT
- simulate collisions
- \rightarrow LHC events in virtual worlds

Searches and measurements

- · compare simulations and data
- · infer underlying theory [SM or BSM]
- · publish data to re-interpret
- \rightarrow Understand LHC data systematically





LHC

- Neural networks
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Brief ML-intro

Similar to fit

- · approximate $f_{\theta}(x) \approx f(x)$
- $\cdot \,$ no function, but very many θ
- · data representation θ

Applications

- \cdot regression $x
 ightarrow f_{ heta}(x)$
- · classification $x \rightarrow p$
- · generation
- $egin{aligned} & x o p_ heta(x) \in [0,1] \ & r \sim \mathcal{N} o p_ heta(r) \end{aligned}$
- · conditional generation $r \sim \mathcal{N}
 ightarrow p_{ heta}(r|x)$

LHC

- · x always phase space
- · symmetries, locality, etc known
- \rightarrow Is LHC data images or language?



LHC

Neural networks

- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative A
- Transformation

Network training

Learned scalar field $f_{\theta}(x) \approx f(x)$

· maximize parameter probability given (f_i, σ_i)

$$\theta = \operatorname{argmax} p(\theta|x) = \operatorname{argmax} \frac{p(x|\theta) p(\theta)}{p(x)}$$

 \rightarrow Gaussian likelihood loss

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) \propto \prod_{j} \exp\left(-\frac{|f_{j} - f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{j})|^{2}}{2\sigma_{j}^{2}}\right)$$
$$\Rightarrow \qquad \mathcal{L} \equiv -\log p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \sum_{j} \frac{|f_{j} - f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{j})|^{2}}{2\sigma_{j}^{2}}$$



LHC

- Neural networks Examples Amplitudes
- Generative Al
- Transformation

Network training

Learned scalar field $f_{\theta}(x) \approx f(x)$

· maximize parameter probability given (f_j, σ_j)

$$\theta = \operatorname{argmax} p(\theta|x) = \operatorname{argmax} \frac{p(x|\theta) p(\theta)}{p(x)}$$

 \rightarrow Gaussian likelihood loss

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) \propto \prod_{j} \exp\left(-\frac{|f_{j} - f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{j})|^{2}}{2\sigma_{j}^{2}}\right)$$
$$\Rightarrow \qquad \mathcal{L} \equiv -\log p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \sum_{j} \frac{|f_{j} - f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{j})|^{2}}{2\sigma_{j}^{2}}$$

Unknown uncertainties

· loss including normalization

$$\mathcal{L} = rac{|f(x) - f_{ heta}(x)|^2}{2\sigma_{ heta}(x)^2} + \log \sigma_{ heta}(x) + \cdots$$

- $\cdot\,$ if needed replace with Gaussian mixture model
- $\rightarrow\,$ Learning function and (systematic) uncertainty



LHC

Neural networks

Examples

- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

ML in experiment

Top tagging [classification, 2016-today]

- · 'hello world' of LHC-ML
- · end of QCD-taggers
- · ever-improving [Huilin Qu]
- → Driving NN-architectures





Submission

The Machine Learning Landscape of Top Taggers

 Kasteczka (ed)¹, T. Fishu (ed)², A. Bortse², K. Crazzers³, D. Debasth⁴, B. M. Dillon⁵, M. Falriatzin⁶, D. A. Farcaghy², W. Federlov², C. Gay², L. Goudos⁴, J. F. Kaszeth³, Y. F. T. Komidel³, S. Labei, A. Laber², S. Macchano⁴, E. M. McCarlet⁴, L. Moorl⁴, B. Nachman, ^{D.D.}, K. Norkarisul^{4,15}, J. Posches⁴, H. Qu⁴, Y. Bath⁹, M. Rieger³⁰, D. Shih⁴, J. M. Thermarele, and S. Verma⁴

 Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Handwarg, Germany 2 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Germany 3 Center for Concendege and Particle Physics and Center for Dan Science, NYU, USA 4 NHEUT, Dept. of Physics and Astroneurg, Enzymer, The State University of NJ, USA 5 Josef Stellan Institute, Japhiane, Slovenia

6 Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology, King's Odlege London, United Kingdom 7 Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Betliah Columbia, Canada 9 Description of Columbia (Santa Baser, 198).





ML in experiment

Top tagging [classification, 2016-todav]

- · 'hello world' of LHC-ML
- end of QCD-taggers
- · ever-improving [Huilin Qu]
- **Driving NN-architectures**



SciPost Physics

The Machine Learning Landscape of Top Taggers

G. Kasiscaka (ed)¹, T. Pisha (ed)², A. Butter², K. Crazmer³, D. Dobnath⁴, B. M. Dillon⁵, M. Fairbaira⁸, D. A. Faroughy⁵, W. Fedorko⁷, C. Goy², L. Gouskos⁸, J. F. Kamenik^{5,8} P. T. Komidze¹⁰, S. Leise¹, A. Lister¹, S. Mazaluzo¹⁴, E. M. Metodies¹⁴, L. Moore¹¹, B. Nachman, ^{12,10}, K. Nordström^{14,15}, J. Posckes⁷, H. Qu⁴, Y. Rath¹⁰, M. Rieger¹⁶, D. Shild⁴ J. M. Thompson², and S. Varma⁶

1 Institut für Experimentalshysik, Universitik Bankerr, Germany 2 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Germany & Center for Councilors and Particle Physics and Center for Data Science, NYU, USA 4 NHECT, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Butgers, The State University of NJ, USA

6 Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology, King's College London, United Kingdom 7 Department of Physics and Astronomy. The University of British Columbia, Canada 8 Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, USA 9 Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Liubliana, Liubliana, Slovenia 10 Center for Theoretical Physics, MIT, Cambridge, USA 11 CP3, Universitées Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Beleisa 13 Simons Inst. for the Theory of Computing, University of California, Berkeley, USA 14 National Institute for Subatomic Physics (NIKHEF), Amsterdam, Netherlands 15 LPTHE, CNRS & Sorboane Université, Paris, Phane 16 III. Physics Institute A, RWTH Aachen University, Germany





Progress towards an improved particle flow algorithm Towards a Computer Vision Particle Flow * at CMS with machine learning

Francesco Armando Di Bello^{4,1}, Sanmay Ganouly^{3,1}, Eilam Gross¹, Maruni Kada^{3,4}, Mauricio Pierini³ and Jean-Roch Vilmant⁴ (on behalf of the CMS Collaboration) ¹Universitä di Roma Supienza, Piazza Aldo Moro, 2, 0035 Roma, Italy e INFN, Italy ¹Universitä Paris-Saclay, CNRS/INIP3, IJCLab, 91405, Osay, Finnee

¹Luterestry of California Nan Diego, La Jolin, CA VEREI, USA "NECPR, Riveland pet B, 100:11 Tallium, Estamin "European Organizations for Nucleur Research (CERN), CH 1213, Geneva 23, Switzerdami "Collipsein Intellister of Twinnellery, Panadama, CA 19122, USA."

E-mail: desibitarband.edu, joursy.patalisera.eb, plaarieband.edu



Particle flow [2020-today]

- basis of jet analyses
- combining detectors with different resolution
- \rightarrow Optimality the key

Michael Pitt², Lorenzo Santi³, Jonathan Shlomi

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rohevot 76100, Israel

²CERN, CH 1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland



LHC

Neural networks

Examples

- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

ML in phenomenology

Parton densities [NNPDF, 2002-today]

- · LHC-ML classic
- · pdfs with uncertainties and without bias
- \rightarrow Driving precision



The Path to N³LO Parton Distributions

The NNPDF Collaboration:

Richard D. Balf¹, Andrea Barontin², Alsonados Candido²³, Stefano Carazza², Juan Cruz-Martinez³, Inigi Del Debblé¹, Softano Forte², Temmaso Gami^{1,5}, Felix Hicharn^{2,6,7}, Zahari Kasoshor⁴, Niccoli Laurenti,² Giacomo Magul^{4,5}, Emanuele R. Noerra³, Tanjens R. Balemannajura^{4,5}, Juan Bojo⁴⁵, Christopher Shomas³¹, Roy Steppman², and Maria Ushal⁴

¹⁴Is digg cross for Benerical Planes, Thursday at Adamset, 147 and 147 and

> This paper is dedicated to the memory of Stefano Catani, Grand Master of QCD, great scientist and human being



ML in phenomenology

Parton densities [NNPDF, 2002-today]

- · I HC-ML classic
- · pdfs with uncertainties and without bias
- → Driving precision



Ultra-fast simulations [Sherpa, MadNIS, MLHad]

- · event generation modular
- better ML-modules
- \rightarrow MadNIS \rightarrow MadGraph7

$u\bar{d} \rightarrow W^+W^+W^-$		
$uc \to W^+W^+ds$		
$gg \rightarrow W^+ d\bar{u}$	$gg \to W^+ d\bar{u}g$	$gg \rightarrow W^+ di$
$gg \to t\bar{t} + g$	$gg \to t \bar{t} + gg$	$gg \rightarrow t\bar{t} + gg$
	$\begin{split} & u\bar{d} \rightarrow W^+W^+W^- \\ & uc \rightarrow W^+W^+ ds \\ & gg \rightarrow W^+d\bar{u} \\ & gg \rightarrow t\bar{t} + g \end{split}$	$\begin{split} & u \bar{d} \to W^+ W^+ W^- \\ & u c \to W^+ W^+ ds \\ & g g \to W^+ d \bar{u} \qquad g g \to W^+ d \bar{u} g \\ & g g \to t \bar{t} + g \qquad g g \to t \bar{t} + g g \end{split}$



The Path to N³LO Parton Distributions

The NNPDF Collaboration

Richard D. Ball¹, Andrea Barontini², Alessandro Candido^{2,3}, Stefano Carrazza², Juan Cruz-Martinez³ Luiri Del Debbio¹, Stefano Forte², Tommaso Giani^{4,5}, Felix Hekhorn^{2,6,7}, Zahari Kassabov⁸, Niccolò Laurenti,² Giacomo Magui^{4,3}, Emanuele R. Nocera⁰, Tanjona R. Rabemananjara^{4,3}, Juan Rojo⁴ Christopher Schwan¹⁰, Roy Steerman¹, and Maria Ubiali⁸

¹ The Higgs Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Edinburgh ICMR, KR. Musfeld Rd. Edinburth ER9 23Z. Sontland ² Til Leb. Disertiments & Fision. Università di Milane and INFN, Sezione di Milano, Via Celeria 16, I-20127 Milano, Italu 3 CERN. Theoretical Physics Department, CH-1211 Genera 23, Switzerland Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vrije Universiteit, NL-1081 HV Amsterdam ¹Nillef Theory Group, Science Park 185, 1998 XG Amsterdam, The Netherlands ⁶University of Jynakyla, Department of Physics, P.O. Box 25, FI-40014 University of Jynakyla, Fisland ⁷Helainki Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 64, FI-60014 University of Helainki, Finland * DAMTP, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CR3 0WA, United Kingdom ⁹ Dipartimente di Finice, Università degli Stadi di Torino and INFN, Scienze di Terino, Via Pietre Giuria 1, I-10125 Terino, Italy

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Stefano Catani



Theo Heimel¹, Nathan Huetsch¹, Jubio Maltoni^{2,3} Olivier Mattelaer2, Tilman Piehn1, and Ramon Winterhalder

1 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Meidelbere, Germany 2 CP3. Université catholique de Louvain. Louvain-la-Neuve. Beleium

December 17, 2024

Abstract

In pursuit of precise and fast theory predictions for the LNC, we present an implemtion of the MADNIS method in the MADGRAPH event concrator. A series of improvements in MADNIS further enhance its efficiency and speed. We validate this implementation for realistic partonic processes and find significant gains from using modern machine



LHC

- Neural networks
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative Al
- Transformation

ML in theory

Optimizing integration paths [invertible networks]

- · compute Feynman integrals
- · learn optimal integration pat
- \rightarrow To be implemented...







Targeting multi-loop integrals with neural networks

Ramon Winterhalder^{1,2,3}, Vitaly Magerya⁴, Emilio Villa⁴, Stephen P. Jones³, Matthias Kerner^{4,6}, Anja Butter^{1,2}, Gudrun Heinrich^{2,4} and Tilman Plehn^{1,2}

1 Insuin für Theoretische Physik, Usabernik Huhshberg, Gerausy 2 HEAR, Heidelberg Rachnach Scoragel, Formanship, Heidelberg Usimenity, Karlenden Iarsteine d Technology (UTI), Geranoy 3 Gartase för Cannology, Paricia Physica di Phonomenology (CDI), Usabernik at Schwarcher Physik, Rahlenste attalism für Freihoologis, Germany 3 Bantise för Theoretisch Physica Phonomenology (Darban Usarenit), Germany 3 Bantise för FörsteicherPhysik, Rahlenste Institut für Freihonologis, Germany 3 Bantise för Paricia Physica Phonomenology, Darban Utarenity, EK

Abstract

Numerical evaluations of Feynman integrals often proceed via a deformation of the integration contour into the complex plane. While valid contour are easy to construct, the numerical precision for a multi-loop integral can depend critically on the chosen contour. We present methods to optimize the contour uning a combination of optimized, global complex shifts and a normalizing flow. They can lead to a significant gain in precision.



- 2.1.0
- Neural networks
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

ML in theory

Optimizing integration paths [invertible networks]

- · compute Feynman integrals
- · learn optimal integration pat
- \rightarrow To be implemented...





SciPost Phys. 12, 129 (2022

Targeting multi-loop integrals with neural networks

Ramon Winterhalder^{1,2,3}, Vitaly Magerya⁴, Emilio Villa⁴, Stephen P. Jones³, Matthias Kerner^{4,6}, Anja Butter^{1,2}, Gudrun Heinrich^{2,4} and Tilman Plehn^{1,2}

 Initiate für Theoretische Kynjk, Universität Heidelberg, Gernavy 21 BERA - Heidelberg Kahreho Strauegie Paramathy, Heidelberg University, Kachenke kantune of Technology (2011, Gernary 32 Carters för Cannology, Paritick Physics and Phensensonelogy (2023), Universitä canbeligue de Lorovin, Belgian Hentiste für Theoretische Physik, Euflenheimer kantung für Statistise för Paritick Physica Phensensendegy, Darkan Utiversity, UK 6 Janitus für Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut für Technologis, Germany 5 Janitiste för Nachelskenphysik, Karlandher Institut für Henologis, Germany 5 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologis, Germany 5 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologis, Germany 5 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologis, Germany 5 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologisk, Germany 5 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologisk, Germany 5 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologisk, Germany 5 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologisk, Germany 5 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologisk, Germany 5 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologisk, Germany 5 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologisk, Germany 5 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologisk, Germany 1 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologisk, Germany 1 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Institut för Henologisk, Germany 1 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Hentiste för Henologisk, Germany 1 Janitiste för Artonologiskenphysik, Karlandher Hentiste för Henologiskenphysik, Karlandher Hentiste för Henologiskenphysik, Karlandher Hentister för Henologiskenphysik, Karlandher Hentister för Henologiskenphysik, Karlandher Hentister för Heno

Abstract

Numerical evaluations of Paynman integrals often proceed via a deformation of the integration contour into the complex plane. While valid contours are easy to construct, the numerical procession for a multi-loop integral can depend critically on the chosen contour. We present methods to optimize this contour using a combination of optimized, global complex shifts and a normalizing flow. They can lead to a significant gain in precision.

String landscape [reinforcement learning]

- · searching for viable vacua
- · high dimensions, unknown global structure
- \rightarrow Life on Mars?



Figure 1: Left: Cluster structure in dimensionally reduced flux samples for RL and 25 GA runs (PCA on all samples of GA and RL). The colors indicate individual GA runs. Right: Dependence on flux (input) values (N₃ and N₅ respectively) in relation to principal components for a PCA fit of the individual output of GA and RL.

Probing the Structure of String Theory Vacua with Genetic Algorithms and Reinforcement Learning

C 101	Alex Cole University of Amsterdam a.e.cole@uva.nl	Sven Krippendørf Amold Sommerfold Center for Theoretical Physi LMU Manich aven. krippendorf@physik.uni-meenchen.o	
	Andreas Schachner Centre for Mathematical Sciens University of Cambridge as26730cam.oc.uk	Gary Shia University of Wisconsin-Madison ahiu@physics.uisc.edu	
		Abstract	

Identifying arting theory uses with deniced physical properties at low energies, mappins searching freephysical heads in a second problem is a strength by a transformation of the second physical memory of the second problem is a method on the second physical memory and the second problem is a strength on the second physical second p



LHC

Neural networks

Examples

- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Statistics and systematics

Statistical approach [Yarin Gal (2016)]

· expectation value with internal representation θ

$$\langle A \rangle = \int dA A p(A|x) = \int dA A \int d\theta p(A|\theta) p(\theta|A_{\text{train}})$$

· training a generalization

$$\int d\theta \ p(A|\theta) \ p(\theta|A_{\text{train}}) \approx \int d\theta \ p(A|\theta) \ q(\theta)$$



LHC

Neural networks

Examples

- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Statistics and systematics

Statistical approach [Yarin Gal (2016)]

• expectation value with internal representation θ

$$\langle A \rangle = \int dA A p(A|x) = \int dA A \int d\theta p(A|\theta) p(\theta|A_{\text{train}})$$

· training a generalization

$$\int d heta \ p(A| heta) \ p(heta|A_{ ext{train}}) pprox \int d heta \ p(A| heta) \ q(heta)$$

- $\begin{array}{l} \cdot \mbox{ similarity from minimal KL-divergence} \\ D_{\mathsf{KL}}[q(\theta), p(\theta|\mathsf{A}_{\mathrm{train}})] \equiv \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|\mathsf{A}_{\mathrm{train}})} \\ = \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log \frac{q(\theta)p(\mathsf{A}_{\mathrm{train}})}{p(\mathsf{A}_{\mathrm{train}}|\theta)p(\theta)} \\ = -\int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log p(\mathsf{A}_{\mathrm{train}}|\theta) + \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta)} + \cdots$
- · regularized likelihood loss

$$\mathcal{L} = -\int d\theta \; q(\theta) \; \log p(A_{\text{train}}|\theta) + D_{\text{KL}}[q(\theta), p(\theta)]$$



LHC

Neural networks

Examples

- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Statistics and systematics

Statistical approach [Yarin Gal (2016)]

• expectation value with internal representation θ

$$\langle A \rangle = \int dA A p(A|x) = \int dA A \int d\theta p(A|\theta) p(\theta|A_{\text{train}})$$

· training a generalization

$$\int d\theta \ p(A|\theta) \ p(\theta|A_{\text{train}}) \approx \int d\theta \ p(A|\theta) \ q(\theta)$$

- $\begin{array}{l} \cdot \mbox{ similarity from minimal KL-divergence} \\ D_{\mathsf{KL}}[q(\theta), p(\theta|\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{train}})] \equiv \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta|\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{train}})} \\ = \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log \frac{q(\theta)p(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{train}})}{p(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{train}}|\theta)p(\theta)} \\ = -\int d\theta \ q(\theta) \ \log p(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{train}}|\theta) + \int d\theta \ q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{p(\theta)} + \cdots$
- · regularized likelihood loss

$$\mathcal{L} = -\int d heta \; q(heta) \; \log p(A_{ ext{train}}| heta) + D_{ ext{KL}}[q(heta), p(heta)]$$

→ Variance

$$\sigma^{2} = \int dA \int d\theta \ (A - \langle A \rangle)^{2} \ p(A|\theta) \ q(\theta) \equiv \sigma_{syst}^{2} + \sigma_{stat}^{2}$$



LHC

- Neural network
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative Al
- Transformation

Amplitudes with calibrated uncertainties

Loop amplitude $gg ightarrow \gamma\gamma g(g)$ over phase space

- · systematics: artificial noise
- statistics plateau





- LHC
- Neural network
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Amplitudes with calibrated uncertainties

Loop amplitude $gg ightarrow \gamma \gamma g(g)$ over phase space

- · systematics: artificial noise
- · statistics plateau
- · accuracy over phase space

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{A_{\rm NN}(x) - A_{\rm true}(x)}{A_{\rm true}(x)}$$





- LHC
- Neural networl
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Amplitudes with calibrated uncertainties

Loop amplitude $gg ightarrow \gamma \gamma g(g)$ over phase space

- · systematics: artificial noise
- · statistics plateau
- · accuracy over phase space

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{A_{\rm NN}(x) - A_{\rm true}(x)}{A_{\rm true}(x)}$$

· pull over phase space

$$t_{\rm syst}(x) = \frac{A_{\rm NN}(x) - A_{\rm true}(x)}{\sigma_{\rm syst}(x)}$$





- LHC
- Neural networ
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Amplitudes with calibrated uncertainties

Loop amplitude $gg ightarrow \gamma\gamma g(g)$ over phase space

- · systematics: artificial noise
- · statistics plateau
- · accuracy over phase space

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{A_{\rm NN}(x) - A_{\rm true}(x)}{A_{\rm true}(x)}$$

· pull over phase space

$$t_{
m syst}(x) = rac{A_{
m NN}(x) - A_{
m true}(x)}{\sigma_{
m syst}(x)}$$

Towards zero noise

· scaling

$$\sigma_{\rm syst}^2 - \sigma_{\rm syst,0}^2 \approx \sigma_{\rm train}^2$$





- LHC
- Neural networ
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Amplitudes with calibrated uncertainties

Loop amplitude $gg ightarrow \gamma \gamma g(g)$ over phase space

- · systematics: artificial noise
- · statistics plateau
- · accuracy over phase space

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{A_{\rm NN}(x) - A_{\rm true}(x)}{A_{\rm true}(x)}$$

pull over phase space

$$t_{
m syst}(x) = rac{A_{
m NN}(x) - A_{
m true}(x)}{\sigma_{
m syst}(x)}$$

Towards zero noise

scaling

$$\sigma_{\rm syst}^2 - \sigma_{\rm syst,0}^2 \approx \sigma_{\rm train}^2$$

- \cdot plateau $\langle \sigma_{
 m syst}/{\it A}
 angle \sim$ 0.4%
- \rightarrow Limiting factor??





- LHC
- Neural networ
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Amplitudes with calibrated uncertainties

Loop amplitude $gg ightarrow \gamma \gamma g(g)$ over phase space

- · systematics: artificial noise
- statistics plateau
- · accuracy over phase space

pull over phase space

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{A_{\rm NN}(x) - A_{\rm true}(x)}{A_{\rm true}(x)}$$

$$t_{
m syst}(x) = rac{A_{
m NN}(x) - A_{
m tri}}{\sigma_{
m syst}(x)}$$

Data pre-processing

- · amplitude from invariants
- · learn Minkowski metric
- · Deep-sets-invariant network L-GATr transformer



 $A_{\rm true}(x)$



- LHC
- Neural network
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Amplitudes with calibrated uncertainties

Loop amplitude $gg ightarrow \gamma \gamma g(g)$ over phase space

- · systematics: artificial noise
- · statistics plateau
- · accuracy over phase space

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{A_{\rm NN}(x) - A_{\rm true}(x)}{A_{\rm true}(x)}$$

pull over phase space

$$t_{
m syst}(x) = rac{A_{
m NN}(x) - A_{
m true}(x)}{\sigma_{
m syst}(x)}$$

Data pre-processing

- · amplitude from invariants
- · learn Minkowski metric
- · Deep-sets-invariant network L-GATr transformer
- \rightarrow Calibrated systematics





LHC

- Neural network
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative Al
- Transformation

ATLAS calibration

Energy calibration with uncertainties [ATLAS + Heidelberg]

- · interpretable calorimeter phase space x
- · learned calibration function

$$\mathcal{R}_{NN}(x) \pm \Delta \mathcal{R}_{NN}(x) pprox rac{E^{
m obs}(x)}{E^{
m dep}(x)}$$

 systematics: noise in data network expressivity data representation ...



LHC

- Neural network
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

ATLAS calibration

Energy calibration with uncertainties [ATLAS + Heidelberg]

- · interpretable calorimeter phase space x
- · learned calibration function

$$\mathcal{R}_{
m NN}(x)\pm\Delta\mathcal{R}_{
m NN}(x)pprox rac{E^{
m obs}(x)}{E^{
m dep}(x)}$$

- systematics: noise in data network expressivity data representation ...
- \rightarrow Understand (simulated) detector





LHC

- Neural networks
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Generative AI

Simulations, MadNIS, calorimeters,...

- \cdot learn phase space density fast sampling Gaussian \rightarrow phase space Bayesian generative network \rightarrow uncertainties
- · Variational Autoencoder \rightarrow low-dimensional physics
- \cdot Generative Adversarial Network \rightarrow generator trained by classifier
- Normalizing Flow/Diffusion
 → (bijective) mapping
- · JetGPT, ViT
 - \rightarrow non-local structures
- · Equivariant L-GATr
 - \rightarrow Lorentz symmetry for efficiency
- \rightarrow Equivariant transformer CFM...







LHC

- Neural networks
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Controlling generative AI

Compare generated with training data

- · generation: unsupervised density
- classify training vs generated events D(x)
 learned density ratio [Neyman-Pearson]

$$w(x_i) = \frac{D(x_i)}{1 - D(x_i)} = \frac{p_{\text{data}}(x_i)}{p_{\text{model}}(x_i)}$$

 $\rightarrow~$ Test ratio over phase space



LHC

- Neural networks
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Controlling generative AI

Compare generated with training data

- · generation: unsupervised density
- · classify training vs generated events D(x)learned density ratio [Neyman-Pearson]

$$w(x_i) = \frac{D(x_i)}{1 - D(x_i)} = \frac{p_{\text{data}}(x_i)}{p_{\text{model}}(x_i)}$$

 \rightarrow Test ratio over phase space

Testing NN-generators

- $\cdot\,$ accuracy from width of weight distribution
- · tails indicating failure mode
- \rightarrow Systematic performance test





LHC

- Neural network
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Conorativo Al
- Generative Ar
- Transformation

Transforming LHC physics

Number of searches

- · optimal inference: signal and background simulations
- · CPU-limitation for many signals?

Optimal analyses

- · theory limiting many analyses
- · include predictions not in event generators

Public LHC data

- common lore: LHC data too complicated for amateurs
- · in truth:

hard scattering and decay simulations public BSM physics not in hadronization and detector

 \rightarrow Unfold to suitable level





Transformation

ML-Unfolding

View as generative inference [Köthe etal, Macke etal]

· four phase space distributions



 $\rightarrow\,$ Unbinned and high-dimensional unfolding



Neural networks Examples Amplitudes Generative AI Transformation

ML-Unfolding

View as generative inference [Köthe etal, Macke etal]

· four phase space distributions

 $\begin{array}{ccc} p_{sim}(x_{part}) & \xleftarrow{unfolding inference} & p_{unfold}(x_{part}) \\ & & & & & \\ p(x_{reco} \mid x_{part}) \\ & & & & & & \\ p_{sim}(x_{reco}) & \xleftarrow{forward inference} & p_{data}(x_{reco}) \\ & & & & \\ \cdot \mbox{ learn conditional probabilities from } (x_{part}, x_{reco}) & & \\ (forward-inverse symmetric) \\ \end{array}$

 \rightarrow Unbinned and high-dimensional unfolding

OmniFold





- LHC
- Neural netwo
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Unfolding top decays



- \cdot first measure m_t in unfolded data then unfold full kinematics
- · simulation *m_s* vs data *m_d* [too bad to reweight]



ightarrow train on *m_s*-range include batch-wise *M_{jjj}* \in *x*_{reco}



- LHC
- Neural network
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation



- 12D published data
- → CMS data next





LHC

- Neural network
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

ML for LHC Theory

Developing ML for the best science

- 1 just another numerical tool for a numerical field
- 2 completely transformative new language
- $\cdot\,$ driven by money from data science and medical research
- · physics should be leading scientific AI
- · 10000 Einsteins...
 - ...improving established tools
 - ...developing new tools for established tasks
 - ...transforming through new ideas
- → Complexity your friend

:2211.01421v2 [hep-ph] 17 Mar 2024

Modern Machine Learning for LHC Physicists

Tilman Plehn^a, Anja Butter^{a,b}, Barry Dillon^a, Theo Heimel^a, Claudius Krause^c, and Ramon Winterhalder^d

^a Institut f
ür Theoretische Physik, Universit
üt Heidelberg, Germany ^b LPNHE, Sorbonne Universit
^c, Universit
^c AEPHY, Austrian Academy of Sciences. Vienna, Austria ^d CP3, Universit
^c catholique de Louvain, Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium

March 19, 2024

Abstract

Modern mechanic learning is transforming particle physics face, bubying in we prints our armential tool bars. For young treatments r is recall to one roop of this development, which human applying cutting-edge endpointed and holds to hold in endpointed and the straight of the straight endpointed and the straight endpointed and hold to hold the endpointed on reachine learning to release and endpointed and the straight endpointed and the straight endpointed inducations for machine learning to release and endpointed and the straight endpointed and the straigh



- LHC
- Neural networks
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Generative AI with uncertainties

Bayesian generative networks

- · encoding phase space probabilities
- · events with error bars on weights
- · learned density & uncertainty reflecting network learning
- \rightarrow Generative networks like fitted densities



LHC

- Neural network
- Examples
- Amplitudes
- Generative AI
- Transformation

Generative AI with uncertainties

Bayesian generative networks

- $\cdot\,$ encoding phase space probabilities
- $\cdot\,$ events with error bars on weights
- · learned density & uncertainty reflecting network learning
- \rightarrow Generative networks like fitted densities

Z+jets events

- · per-cent accuracy on density
- · statistical uncertainty from BNN
- · systematics in training data

$$w = 1 + a \left(\frac{p_{T,j_1} - 15 \text{ GeV}}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right)^2$$

- training with condition a sampling including a
- $\rightarrow~$ Precision and uncertainty control



