Have neutrinos to do with Dark Energy ?







Why neutrinos may play a role

Mass scales :

Dark Energy density : $\varrho \sim (2 \times 10^{-3}\ \mathrm{eV})^{-4}$.

Neutrino mass : eV or below.

Cosmological trigger : Neutrinos became non-relativistic only in the late Universe .

Neutrino energy density not much smaller than Dark Energy density .

Neutrinos can have substantial coupling to Dark Energy.

connection between dark energy and neutrino properties

$$[\rho_h(t_0)]^{\frac{1}{4}} = 1.27 \left(\frac{\gamma m_\nu(t_0)}{eV}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} 10^{-3} eV$$

present dark energy density given by neutrino mass

present equation of state given by neutrino mass !

$$w_0 \approx -1 + \frac{m_\nu(t_0)}{12\mathrm{eV}}$$

What do we know about Dark Energy ?



Dark Energy dominates the Universe

Energy - density in the Universe

=

Matter + Dark Energy

30 % + 70 %

Composition of the universe

Atoms : $\Omega_b = 0.045$

Dark Matter : $\Omega_{dm} = 0.25$

Dark Energy : $\Omega_h = 0.7$


Dark Energy :
Energy density that does not clump
Photons , gravitons : insignificant

Space between clumps
is not empty :

Dark Energy !

Dark Energy : Homogeneously distributed

Einstein's equations : (almost) static Dark Energy predicts accelerated expansion of Universe



$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$$

Dark Energy : observations fit together !



Observational bounds on Ω_h



Why now problem

Why does fraction in Dark Energy increase
in present cosmological epoch ,
and not much earlier or much later ?



What is Dark Energy ?

Cosmological Constant

or

Quintessence ?

Cosmological Constant
- Einstein -

- Constant λ compatible with all symmetries
- No time variation in contribution to energy density

- Why so small ? $\lambda/M^4 = 10^{-120}$

- Why important just today ?

Cosmological mass scales

- Energy density

  $\varrho \sim (2.4 \times 10^{-3}\ \mathrm{eV})^{4}$

- Reduced Planck mass

  $M = 2.44 \times 10^{27}\ \mathrm{eV}$

- Newton's constant

  $G_N = (8\pi M^2)$

Only ratios of mass scales are observable !

homogeneous dark energy: $\rho_h / M^4 = 7\ 10^{-121}$

matter: $\rho_m / M^4 = 3\ 10^{-121}$

Time evolution

- $\varrho_m/M^4 \sim a^{-3} \sim$ t^{-2} matter dominated universe
  $t^{-3/2}$ radiation dominated universe
- $\varrho_r/M^4 \sim a^{-4} \sim t^{-2}$ radiation dominated universe

Huge age ⇨ small ratio

Same explanation for small dark energy?

Cosm. Const.	Quintessence
static	dynamical



Quintessence

Dynamical dark energy ,
generated by scalar field
(cosmon)

C.Wetterich,Nucl.Phys.B302(1988)668, 24.9.87
P.J.E.Peebles,B.Ratra,ApJ.Lett.325(1988)L17, 20.10.87

Prediction :

homogeneous dark energy influences recent cosmology

- of same order as dark matter -

Original models do not fit the present observations …. modifications

Quintessence

Cosmon – Field $\varphi(x,y,z,t)$

similar to electric field , but no direction (scalar field)

Homogeneous und isotropic Universe : $\varphi(x,y,z,t)=\varphi(t)$

Potential und kinetic energy of the cosmon -field contribute to a dynamical energy density of the Universe !

Evolution of cosmon field

Field equations $\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} = -dV/d\phi$

$3M^2H^2 = V + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \rho$

Potential V(φ) determines details of the model

$$V(\varphi) = M^4 \exp(- \alpha\varphi/M)$$

for increasing φ the potential decreases towards zero !

exponential potential ➡
constant fraction in dark energy

$$\Omega_h = 3/\alpha^2$$

$$V(\varphi) = M^4 \exp(- \alpha\varphi/M)$$

can explain order
of magnitude
of dark energy !



Cosmon

- *Scalar field changes its value even in the* ***present*** *cosmological epoch*
- *Potential und kinetic energy of cosmon contribute to the energy density of the Universe*
- *Time - variable dark energy :*

  $\varrho_h(t)$ *decreases with time !*

Cosmon

- *Tiny mass*
- $m_c \sim H$ *(depends on time !)*
- *New long - range interaction*

“Fundamental” Interactions

Strong, electromagnetic, weak interactions



gravitation cosmodynamics

On astronomical length scales:

graviton

+

cosmon

Cosmic Attractors

Solutions independent of initial conditions

typically $V \sim t^{-2}$

$\varphi \sim \ln(t)$

$\Omega_h \sim$ const.

details depend on $V(\varphi)$ or kinetic term



realistic quintessence

fraction in dark energy has to increase in "recent time" !

Quintessence becomes important "today"



coincidence problem

What is responsible for increase of Ω_h for $z < 6$?

Why now ?



Neutrinos in cosmology

only small fraction of energy density

only sub-leading role ?

Neutrino cosmon coupling

- Strong bounds on atom-cosmon coupling from tests of equivalence principle or time variation of couplings.
- No such bounds for neutrino-cosmon coupling.
- In particle physics : Mass generation mechanism for neutrinos differs from charged fermions. Seesaw mechanism involves heavy particles whose mass may depend on the value of the cosmon field.

Neutrino cosmon coupling

- realized by dependence of neutrino mass on value of cosmon field

$$\beta(\varphi) = -M \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \ln m_\nu(\varphi)$$

- $\beta \approx 1$: cosmon mediated attractive force between neutrinos has similar strength as gravity

growing neutrino quintessence

growing neutrinos change cosmon evolution

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\beta(\varphi)}{M}(\rho_\nu - 3p_\nu),$$

$$\beta(\varphi) = -M\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}\ln m_\nu(\varphi) = \frac{M}{\varphi - \varphi_t}$$

modification of conservation equation for neutrinos

$$\dot{\rho}_\nu + 3H(\rho_\nu + p_\nu) = -\frac{\beta(\varphi)}{M}(\rho_\nu - 3p_\nu)\dot{\varphi}$$

$$= -\frac{\dot{\varphi}}{\varphi - \varphi_t}(\rho_\nu - 3p_\nu)$$

growing neutrino mass triggers transition to almost static dark energy





L.Amendola, M.Baldi,…

effective cosmological trigger for stop of cosmon evolution : neutrinos get non-relativistic

- this has happened recently !
- sets scales for dark energy !

connection between dark energy and neutrino properties

$$[\rho_h(t_0)]^{\frac{1}{4}} = 1.27 \left(\frac{\gamma m_\nu(t_0)}{eV}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} 10^{-3} eV$$

present dark energy density given by neutrino mass

present equation of state given by neutrino mass !

$$w_0 \approx -1 + \frac{m_\nu(t_0)}{12\mathrm{eV}}$$

cosmological selection

- present value of dark energy density set by cosmological event :

  neutrinos become non – relativistic

- not given by ground state properties !

basic ingredient :

cosmon coupling to neutrinos

Cosmon coupling to neutrinos

- can be large !

Fardon,Nelson,Weiner

- interesting effects for cosmology if neutrino mass is growing
- growing neutrinos can stop the evolution of the cosmon
- transition from early scaling solution to cosmological constant dominated cosmology

L.Amendola,M.Baldi,…

neutrino mass

$$M_\nu = M_D M_R^{-1} M_D^T + M_L$$

$$M_L = h_L \gamma \frac{d^2}{M_t^2}$$

seesaw and cascade mechanism

triplet expectation value ~ doublet squared

$$m_\nu = \frac{h_\nu^2 d^2}{m_R} + \frac{h_L \gamma d^2}{M_t^2}$$

omit generation structure

neutrino mass

$$M_\nu = M_D M_R^{-1} M_D^T + M_L$$

Most general neutrino mass matrix contains seesaw and cascade terms. Both involve superheavy fields.

$$M_L = h_L \gamma \frac{d^2}{M_t^2}$$

cascade (seesaw II) mechanism

M.Magg, C.W. 1980

varying neutrino mass

$$M_t^2 = c_t M_{GUT}^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \exp\left(-\epsilon \frac{\varphi}{M}\right)\right]$$

$\varepsilon \approx -0.05$

triplet mass depends on cosmon field φ

$$m_\nu(\varphi) = \bar{m}_\nu \left\{1 - \exp\left[-\frac{\epsilon}{M}(\varphi - \varphi_t)\right]\right\}^{-1}$$

➡ neutrino mass depends on φ

strong effective neutrino – cosmon coupling for $\varphi \longrightarrow \varphi_t$

$$\beta(\varphi) = -M\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\ln m_\nu(\varphi) = \frac{M}{\varphi - \varphi_t}$$

typical present value : $\beta \approx 50$ ⇒

cosmon mediated attraction between neutrinos is about 50^2 stronger than gravitational attraction

crossover from
early scaling solution to
effective cosmological constant

early scaling solution (tracker solution)

$$V(\varphi) = M^4 \exp\left(-\alpha \frac{\varphi}{M}\right)$$

$$\varphi = \varphi_0 + (2M/\alpha)\ln(t/t_0)$$

$$\Omega_{h,e} = \frac{n}{\alpha^2}$$

neutrino mass unimportant in early cosmology

effective stop of cosmon evolution

cosmon evolution almost stops once

- neutrinos get non –relativistic
- ß gets large

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{\beta(\varphi)}{M}(\rho_\nu - 3p_\nu)$$

$$\beta(\varphi) = -M\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}\ln m_\nu(\varphi) = \frac{M}{\varphi - \varphi_t}$$

$$m_\nu(\varphi) = \frac{\beta(\varphi)}{\epsilon}\bar{m}_\nu$$

This always happens for $\varphi \longrightarrow \varphi_t$!

stopped scalar field mimicks a cosmological constant (almost …)

rough approximation for dark energy :

- before redshift 5-6 : scaling (dynamical)
- after redshift 5-6 : almost static

  (cosmological constant)

cosmon evolution



neutrino lumps

neutrino fluctuations

neutrino structures become nonlinear at z~1 for supercluster scales

D.Mota , G.Robbers , V.Pettorino , …





stable neutrino-cosmon lumps exist

N.Brouzakis , N.Tetradis ,… ; O.Bertolami ; Y.Ayaita , M.Weber,…

Formation of neutrino lumps



N- body simulation M.Baldi et al

N-body code with fully relativistic neutrinos and backreaction

one has to resolve local value of cosmon field and then form cosmological average;
similar for neutrino density, dark matter and gravitational field

Y.Ayaita,M.Weber,…

Formation of neutrino lumps

Y.Ayaita,M.Weber,…



backreaction

cosmon field inside lumps does not follow cosmological evolution



neutrino mass inside lumps smaller than in environment L.Schrempp, N.Nunes,…

importance of backreaction : cosmological average of neutrino mass

Y.Ayaita , E.Puchwein,…



importance of backreaction : fraction in Dark Energy



neutrino lumps

behave as non-relativistic fluid with effective coupling to cosmon



Y.Ayaita,
M.Weber,…



φ - dependent neutrino – cosmon coupling

$$\beta(\varphi) = -M\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\ln m_\nu(\varphi) = \frac{M}{\varphi - \varphi_t}$$

neutrino lumps form and are disrupted by oscillations in neutrino mass

smaller backreaction

oscillating neutrino mass



oscillating neutrino lumps



small oscillations in dark energy



Tests for growing neutrino quintessence

Hubble parameter

as compared to ΛCDM



Hubble parameter ($z < z_c$)

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3M^2}\left\{V_t + \rho_{m,0}a^{-3} + 2\tilde{\rho}_{\nu,0}a^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right\}$$



only small difference from ΛCDM !

bounds on average neutrino mass



Looking Beyond Lambda with the Union Supernova Compilation

D. Rubin[1,2], E. V. Linder[1,3], M. Kowalski[4], G. Aldering[1], R. Amanullah[1,3], K. Barbary[1,2], N. V. Connolly[5], K. S. Dawson[1], L. Faccioli[1,3], V. Fadeyev[6], G. Goldhaber[1,2], A. Goobar[7], I. Hook[8], C. Lidman[9], J. Meyers[1,2], S. Nobili[7], P. E. Nugent[1], R. Pain[10], S. Perlmutter[1,2], P. Ruiz-Lapuente[11], A. L. Spadafora[1], M. Strovink[1,2], N. Suzuki[1], and H. Swift[1,2]
(Supernova Cosmology Project)

Small induced enhancement of dark matter power spectrum at large scales



Enhanced bulk velocities



Enhancement of gravitational potential



Test of allowed parameter space by ISW effect

Can time evolution of neutrino mass be observed ?

Experimental determination of neutrino mass may turn out higher than cosmological upper bound in model with constant neutrino mass

(KATRIN, neutrino-less double beta decay)



GERDA

Conclusions

- Cosmic event triggers qualitative change in evolution of cosmon
- Cosmon stops changing after neutrinos become non-relativistic
- Explains why now
- Cosmological selection
- Model can be distinguished from cosmological constant

End

cascade mechanism

$$U = U_0(\varphi) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(d^2 - d_0^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}M_t^2(\varphi)t^2 - \gamma d^2 t$$

triplet expectation value ~ $\gamma \frac{d^2}{M_t^2}$

M.Magg , …
G.Lazarides , Q.Shafi , …

cascade


Cascade mechanism
unification (M_X)
$\ln \frac{M_X}{M_W}$
Fermi scale $\langle\varphi\rangle$
$\ln \frac{M_W}{m_\nu} \approx \ln \frac{M_X}{M_W}$
ν – masses
$\langle t\rangle \sim \langle\varphi\rangle^2/M_X$

cascade mechanism

$$U = U_0(\varphi) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(d^2 - d_0^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}M_t^2(\varphi)t^2 - \gamma d^2 t$$

triplet expectation value ~ $\gamma \dfrac{d^2}{M_t^2}$

$$M_t^2(\varphi) = \bar{M}_t^2 \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon}{M}(\varphi - \varphi_t\right)\right]$$

"singular" neutrino mass

$$M_t^2 = c_t M_{GUT}^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{\tau}\exp\left(-\epsilon\frac{\varphi}{M}\right)\right]$$

triplet mass vanishes for $\varphi \longrightarrow \varphi_t$

$$\frac{\varphi_t}{M} = -\frac{\ln\tau}{\epsilon}$$

$$m_\nu(\varphi) = \frac{\bar{m}_\nu M}{\epsilon(\varphi - \varphi_t)}$$

⟹ neutrino mass diverges for $\varphi \longrightarrow \varphi_t$

Equation of state

p=T-V pressure

ϱ=T+V energy density

kinetic energy

$T = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2$

Equation of state

$$w = \frac{p}{\rho} = \frac{T-V}{T+V}$$

Depends on specific evolution of the scalar field

Negative pressure

- w < 0 Ω_h increases (with decreasing z)

late universe with
small radiation component :

$$w_h = \frac{1}{3\Omega_h(1-\Omega_h)} \frac{\partial \Omega_h}{\partial \ln(1+z)}$$

- w < -1/3 expansion of the Universe is accelerating

- w = -1 cosmological constant

A few early references on quintessence

C.Wetterich , Nucl.Phys.B302,668(1988) , received 24.9.1987

P.J.E.Peebles,B.Ratra , Astrophys.J.Lett.325,L17(1988) , received 20.10.1987

B.Ratra,P.J.E.Peebles , Phys.Rev.D37,3406(1988) , received 16.2.1988

J.Frieman,C.T.Hill,A.Stebbins,I.Waga , Phys.Rev.Lett.75,2077(1995)

P.Ferreira, M.Joyce , Phys.Rev.Lett.79,4740(1997)

C.Wetterich , Astron.Astrophys.301,321(1995)

P.Viana, A.Liddle , Phys.Rev.D57,674(1998)

E.Copeland,A.Liddle,D.Wands , Phys.Rev.D57,4686(1998)

R.Caldwell,R.Dave,P.Steinhardt , Phys.Rev.Lett.80,1582(1998)

P.Steinhardt,L.Wang,I.Zlatev , Phys.Rev.Lett.82,896(1999)