

Emergence of Quantum Mechanics from Classical Statistics

what is an atom ?

- quantum mechanics : isolated object
- quantum field theory : excitation of complicated vacuum
- classical statistics : sub-system of ensemble with infinitely many degrees of freedom

*quantum mechanics can be described
by classical statistics !*

quantum mechanics from classical statistics

- probability amplitude
- entanglement
- interference
- superposition of states
- fermions and bosons
- unitary time evolution
- transition amplitude
- non-commuting operators

probabilistic observables

Holevo; Beltrametti, Bugajski

classical ensemble , discrete observable

- Classical ensemble with probabilities \hat{p}_τ

$$\hat{p}_\tau \geq 0 \quad , \quad \sum_{\tau} \hat{p}_\tau = 1$$

- one discrete observable A , values +1 or -1

effective micro-states

group states together

$$\tau = (\sigma, t_\sigma)$$

σ labels effective **micro-states**, t_σ labels **sub-states**

$$p_\sigma = \sum_{t_\sigma} \hat{p}_{(\sigma, t_\sigma)} \quad , \quad p_\sigma \geq 0 \quad , \quad \sum_{\sigma} p_\sigma = 1$$

in effective micro-states σ :

probabilities to find $A=1$: $w_{\sigma+}$ and $A=-1$: $w_{\sigma-}$

mean value in micro-state σ :

$$\overline{A}_\sigma = w_{\sigma+} - w_{\sigma-} \quad , \quad \overline{A_\sigma^2} = 1$$

expectation values

$$\langle A \rangle = \sum_{\sigma} p_{\sigma} \bar{A}_{\sigma} \quad , \quad \langle A^2 \rangle = \sum_{\sigma} p_{\sigma} \bar{A}_{\sigma}^2 = 1$$

only measurements +1 or -1 possible !

*probabilistic observables have a probability
distribution of values in a microstate ,
classical observables a sharp value*

deterministic and probabilistic observables

- **classical or deterministic observables** describe atoms and environment
- probabilities for infinitely many sub-states needed for computation of classical correlation functions $\tau = (\sigma, t_\sigma)$
- **probabilistic observables** can describe atom only
- environment is integrated out
- suitable system observables need only state of system for computation of expectation values and correlations

three probabilistic observables

- characterize by vector (e_1, e_2, e_3)

$$A^{(1)} : e = (1, 0, 0)$$

$$A^{(2)} : e = (0, 1, 0) \quad , \quad A^{(3)} : e = (0, 0, 1)$$

- each $A^{(k)}$ can only take values ± 1 ,
“orthogonal spins”
- expectation values :

$$\langle A^{(k)} \rangle = \rho_k e_k \quad , \quad \rho_k = \sum_{\sigma} p_{\sigma} \overline{A}_{\sigma}^{(k)}$$

density matrix and pure states

elements of density matrix

- probability weighted mean values of basis unit observables are sufficient to characterize the state of the system

$$\rho_k = \sum_{\sigma} p_{\sigma} \overline{A}_{\sigma}^{(k)}$$

- $\rho_k = \pm 1$ \longleftrightarrow sharp value for $A^{(k)}$

- in general:

$$\rho_k^2 \leq 1$$

purity

- How many observables can have sharp values ?

- depends on **purity**

$$P = \rho_k \rho_k$$

- $P=1$: one sharp observable ok

- for two observables with sharp values :

$$\rho_1^2 = 1 \quad , \quad \rho_2^2 = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad P \geq 2$$

purity

for $M \leq P < M + 1$:

at most M discrete observables can be sharp

consider $P \leq 1$

“ three spins , at most one sharp “

density matrix

- define hermitean 2x2 matrix :

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho_k \tau_k)$$

- properties of density matrix

$$\text{tr} \rho = 1$$

$$\rho_{\alpha\alpha} \geq 1$$

$$\text{tr} \rho^2 \leq 1$$

M – state quantum mechanics

- density matrix for $P \leq M+1$:

$$\rho = \frac{1}{M}(1 + \rho_k L_k) \quad , \quad tr L_k L_\ell = M \delta_{k\ell}$$

$$tr \rho^2 = \frac{1}{M}(1 + \rho_k \rho_k) = \frac{1}{M}(1 + P)$$

- choice of M depends on observables considered
- restricted by maximal number of “commuting observables”

$$M_{\min} = N_{\max} + 1$$

quantum mechanics for isolated systems

- classical ensemble admits infinitely many observables (atom and its environment)
- we want to describe isolated subsystem (atom) : finite number of independent observables
- “isolated” situation : subset of the possible probability distributions
- not all observables simultaneously sharp in this subset
- given purity : conserved by time evolution if subsystem is perfectly isolated
- different M describe different subsystems (atom or molecule)

density matrix for two quantum states

hermitean 2x2 matrix :

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho_k \tau_k)$$

$$P \leq 1$$

“ three spins , at most one sharp “

operators

hermitean operators

$$\hat{A}(e_k) = e_k \tau_k$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A(e_k) \rangle &= \text{tr}(\hat{A}(e_k) \rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \rho_k e_l \{ \tau_k, \tau_l \} = \rho_k e_k\end{aligned}$$

quantum law for expectation values

$$\langle A \rangle = \text{tr}(\hat{A}\rho)$$

operators do not commute

at this stage : convenient way to express
expectation values

deeper reasons behind it ...

rotated spins

- correspond to rotated unit vector e_k
- new two-level observables
- expectation values given by

$$\langle A(e_k) \rangle = \rho_k e_k$$

$$\langle A \rangle = \text{tr}(\hat{A}\rho)$$

- only density matrix needed for computation of expectation values ,

$$\rho_k = \sum_{\sigma} p_{\sigma} \bar{A}_{\sigma}^{(k)}$$

not full classical probability distribution \hat{p}_{τ}

pure states

- pure states show no dispersion with respect to one observable A

$$\langle A \rangle^2 = \langle A^2 \rangle$$

- recall classical statistics definition

$$\langle A \rangle = \sum_{\sigma} p_{\sigma} \bar{A}_{\sigma} \quad , \quad |\bar{A}_{\sigma}| \leq 1$$

quantum pure states are classical pure states

- probability vanishing except for one micro-state

$$\langle A \rangle = 1 : \begin{cases} p_\sigma = 0 & \text{for } \bar{A}_\sigma < 1 \\ p_\sigma = 1 & \text{for } \bar{A}_\sigma = 1 \end{cases}$$

pure state density matrix

- elements ρ_k are vectors on unit sphere
- can be obtained by unitary transformations

$$\rho = U\hat{\rho}_1U^\dagger \quad , \quad UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = 1$$

$$\hat{\rho}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & , & 0 \\ 0 & , & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- $SO(3)$ equivalent to $SU(2)$

wave function

- “root of pure state density matrix “

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\psi}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi = U\hat{\psi}_1$$

$$\rho_{\alpha\beta} = \psi_\alpha \psi_\beta^*$$

$$\text{tr}(\hat{A}\rho) = \hat{A}_{\alpha\beta}\rho_{\beta\alpha} = \hat{A}_{\alpha\beta}\psi_\beta\psi_\alpha^*$$

- quantum law for expectation values

$$\langle A \rangle = \psi^\dagger \hat{A} \psi$$

time evolution

transition probability

time evolution of probabilities

$$\partial_t p_\sigma = F_\sigma(p_{\sigma'}) \quad (\text{fixed observables})$$

induces transition probability matrix

$$p_\sigma(t) = \tilde{S}_{\sigma\tau}(t, t') p_\tau(t')$$

reduced transition probability

- induced evolution

$$\partial_t \rho_k = \sum_{\sigma} \partial_t p_{\sigma} \bar{A}_{\sigma}^{(k)} = \sum_{\sigma} F_{\sigma}(p_{\sigma'}) \bar{A}_{\sigma}^{(k)}$$

- reduced transition probability matrix

$$\rho_k(t) = S_{k\ell}(t, t') \rho_{\ell}(t')$$

$$S_{k\ell}(t, t') = \frac{\sum_{\sigma\tau\rho} \tilde{S}_{\sigma\tau}(t, t') p_{\tau}(t') p_{\rho}(t') \bar{A}_{\sigma}^{(k)} \bar{A}_{\rho}^{(\ell)}}{\rho_m(t') \rho_m(t')}$$

evolution of elements of density matrix

- infinitesimal time variation

$$\partial_t \rho_k(t) = \partial_t S_{kl}(t, t') S_{lm}^{-1}(t, t') \rho_m(t)$$

- scaling + rotation

$$S_{kl} = \hat{S}_{kl} d$$

$$\hat{S}_{kl}^{-1} = \hat{S}_{lk}$$

$$\partial_t S S^{-1} = \partial_t \hat{S} \hat{S}^T + \partial_t \ln d$$

time evolution of density matrix

- Hamilton operator and scaling factor

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_t \hat{S} \hat{S}^T)_{lm} \varepsilon_{lmk} \tau_k$$

$$\lambda = \partial_t \ln d$$

- Quantum evolution and the rest ?

$$\partial_t \rho = -i[\hat{H}, \rho] + \lambda(\rho - \frac{1}{2})$$

$\lambda=0$ and pure state :

$$i\partial_t \psi = \hat{H} \psi$$

quantum time evolution

It is easy to construct explicit ensembles where

$$\lambda = 0$$



quantum time evolution

evolution of purity

change of purity

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t P &= \partial_t(\rho_k \rho_k) = \partial_t(2\text{tr}\rho^2 - 1) \\ \partial_t P &= 2\lambda P\end{aligned}$$

$$P = \rho_k \rho_k$$

attraction to randomness :
decoherence

$$\lambda < 0 \quad : \quad P \rightarrow 0$$

attraction to purity :
syncoherence

$$\lambda > 0 \quad : \quad P \rightarrow 1$$

*classical statistics can describe
decoherence and syncoherence !
unitary quantum evolution : special case*

pure state fixed point

pure states are special :

“ no state can be purer than pure “

fixed point of evolution for

$$P = 1 \quad , \quad \lambda = 0$$

approach to fixed point

$$\partial_t \lambda = \beta_\lambda(\lambda, P, \rho_k / \sqrt{P}, \dots)$$

$$\beta_\lambda = -a\lambda + b(1 - P)$$

approach to pure state fixed point

solution :

$$1 - P = x_1 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} + x_2 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$$

$$\lambda = \varepsilon_1 x_1 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} + \varepsilon_2 x_2 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$$

$$\varepsilon_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}(a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 4b})$$

syncoherence describes exponential approach to pure state if

$$a > 0 \quad , \quad a < b < \frac{1}{4}a^2$$

decay of mixed atom state to ground state

purity conserving evolution :
subsystem is well isolated

two bit system and entanglement

ensembles with $P=3$

non-commuting operators

15 spin observables labeled by e_k , $k = 1 \dots 15$

$$\rho_k = \sum_{\sigma} p_{\sigma} \overline{A}_{\sigma}^{(k)} \quad , \quad \langle A(e_k) \rangle = \sum_k \rho_k e_k \quad , \quad -1 \leq \rho_k \leq 1$$

density matrix

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4} (1 + \rho_k L_k)$$

$$L_k^2 = 1 \quad , \quad \text{tr} L_k = 0 \quad , \quad \text{tr}(L_k L_{\ell}) = 4\delta_{k\ell}$$

SU(4) - generators

$$L_k^2 = 1, \quad \text{tr} L_k = 0, \quad \text{tr}(L_k L_l) = 4\delta_{kl}$$

$$L_1 = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1), \quad L_2 = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1)$$

$$L_3 = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, 1)$$

$$L_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L_6 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\tau_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_7 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\tau_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

density matrix

- pure states : $P=3$

$$\text{tr} \rho^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + \rho_k \rho_k) = \frac{1}{4}(1 + P)$$

$$P \leq 3 \quad : \quad \text{tr} \rho^2 \leq 1$$

$$\hat{A}(e_k) = e_k L_k \quad , \quad e_k e_k = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \hat{A}^2(e_k) = 1$$

entanglement

- three commuting observables

$$L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

L_1 : bit 1 , L_2 : bit 2 L_3 : product of two bits

- expectation values of associated observables related to probabilities to measure the combinations $(++)$, etc.

$$\langle T_1 \rangle = W_{++} + W_{+-} - W_{-+} - W_{--}$$

$$\langle T_2 \rangle = W_{++} - W_{+-} + W_{-+} - W_{--}$$

$$\langle T_3 \rangle = W_{++} - W_{+-} - W_{-+} + W_{--}$$

“classical” entangled state

- pure state with maximal anti-correlation of two bits

$$W_{++} = W_{--} = 0 \quad , \quad W_{+-} = W_{-+} = \frac{1}{2}$$

- bit 1: random , bit 2: random
- **if bit 1 = 1 necessarily bit 2 = -1 , and vice versa**

$$\langle L_1 \rangle = \langle L_2 \rangle = 0 \quad , \quad \langle L_3 \rangle = -1$$

classical state described by entangled density matrix

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0, & 0, & 0, & 0 \\ 0, & 1, & \pm 1, & 0 \\ 0, & \pm 1, & 1, & 0 \\ 0, & 0, & 0, & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{tr} \rho^2 = 1$$

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4}(1 - L_3 \pm (L_{12} - L_{14}))$$

$$\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle T_1 \rangle = \langle T_2 \rangle = 0$$

$$\rho_3 = -1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle T_3 \rangle = -1$$

entangled quantum state

$$\psi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_2 \pm \psi_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \pm 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

conditional correlations

classical correlation

- pointwise multiplication of classical observables on the level of sub-states
- not available on level of probabilistic observables
- definition depends on details of classical observables , while many different classical observables correspond to the same probabilistic observable
- classical correlation depends on probability distribution for the atom and its environment

needed : correlation that can be formulated in terms of probabilistic observables and density matrix !

pointwise or conditional correlation ?

- Pointwise correlation appropriate if two measurements do not influence each other.
- Conditional correlation takes into account that system has been changed after first measurement.

Two measurements of same observable immediately after each other should yield the same value !

pointwise correlation

pointwise product of observables

$$(\overline{A \cdot B})_\alpha = \bar{A}_\alpha \bar{B}_\alpha$$

$$(\overline{A \cdot B})_\alpha = w_{+,\alpha}^{AB} - w_{-,\alpha}^{AB}$$

$$\alpha = \sigma$$

$$w_{+,\alpha}^{AB} = w_{+,\alpha}^A w_{+,\alpha}^B + w_{-,\alpha}^A w_{-,\alpha}^B$$

$$w_{-,\alpha}^{AB} = w_{+,\alpha}^A w_{-,\alpha}^B + w_{-,\alpha}^A w_{+,\alpha}^B$$

does not describe $A^2 = 1$:

$$\langle A \cdot A \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \bar{A}_{\alpha}^2 \leq 1$$

conditional correlations

$$w_{+, \alpha}^{AB}$$

probability to find value +1 for product of measurements of A and B

$$\begin{aligned} w_{+, \alpha}^{AB} &= (w_{+}^A)^B w_{+, \alpha}^B + (w_{-}^A)^B w_{-, \alpha}^B \\ w_{-, \alpha}^{AB} &= (w_{+}^A)^B w_{-, \alpha}^B + (w_{-}^A)^B w_{+, \alpha}^B \end{aligned}$$

$$(w_{+}^A)^B$$

probability to find $A=1$ after measurement of $B=1$

... can be expressed in terms of expectation value of A in eigenstate of B

$$\begin{aligned} (w_{\pm}^A)^B &= \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \langle A \rangle_{+B}) \\ (w_{\pm}^A)^B &= \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \langle A \rangle_{-B}) \end{aligned}$$

conditional product

■ conditional product of observables

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(A \circ B)}_{\alpha} &= w_{+,\alpha}^{AB} - w_{-,\alpha}^{AB} = \langle A \rangle_{+B} w_{+,\alpha}^B - \langle A \rangle_{-B} w_{-,\alpha}^B \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + \bar{B}_{\alpha}) \langle A \rangle_{+B} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \bar{B}_{\alpha}) \langle A \rangle_{-B}. \end{aligned}$$

■ conditional correlation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A \circ B \rangle &= \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \overline{(A \circ B)}_{\alpha} = \langle A \rangle_{+B} w_{+,s}^B - \langle A \rangle_{-B} w_{-,s}^B \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + \langle B \rangle) \langle A \rangle_{+B} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \langle B \rangle) \langle A \rangle_{-B}, \end{aligned}$$

■ does it commute ?

conditional product and anticommutators

- conditional two point correlation commutes

$$\langle A \circ B \rangle = \langle B \circ A \rangle$$

=

$$\text{Re}(\langle AB \rangle) = \text{Re}(\text{tr}(\hat{A}\hat{B}\rho)) = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\}\rho)$$

quantum correlation

- conditional correlation in classical statistics equals quantum correlation !
- no contradiction to Bell's inequalities or to Kochen-Specker Theorem

conditional three point correlation

$\langle A \circ B \circ C \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (w_+^A)_+ (w_+^B)_+ w_{+,s}^C - (w_+^A)_+ (w_+^B)_- w_{-,s}^C \\ &- (w_+^A)_- (w_-^B)_+ w_{+,s}^C + (w_+^A)_- (w_-^B)_- w_{-,s}^C \\ &- (w_-^A)_+ (w_+^B)_+ w_{+,s}^C + (w_-^A)_+ (w_+^B)_- w_{-,s}^C \\ &+ (w_-^A)_- (w_-^B)_+ w_{+,s}^C - (w_-^A)_- (w_-^B)_- w_{-,s}^C. \end{aligned}$$

conditional three point correlation in quantum language

- conditional three point correlation is not commuting !

$$\langle A \circ B \circ C \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \left(\{ \{ \hat{A}, \hat{B} \}, \hat{C} \} \rho \right).$$

$$\langle A^{(k)} \circ A^{(l)} \circ A^{(m)} \rangle = \delta^{kl} \langle A^{(m)} \rangle.$$

conditional correlations and quantum operators

- conditional correlations in classical statistics can be expressed in terms of operator products in quantum mechanics

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\langle AB \rangle) &= \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}(\hat{A}\hat{B}\rho)) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\}\rho), \\ \operatorname{Re}(\langle ABC \rangle) &= \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{tr}(\hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C}\rho)) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}((\hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C} + \hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A})\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\{\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\}, \hat{C}\} + [[\hat{A}, \hat{B}], \hat{C}]\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\{\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\}, \hat{C}\} + \{\hat{A}, \{\hat{B}, \hat{C}\}\} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \{\hat{B}, \{\hat{A}, \hat{C}\}\}\right)\rho\right). \end{aligned}$$

*non – commutativity
of operator product
is closely related to
conditional correlations !*

conclusion

- quantum statistics arises from classical statistics
states, superposition , interference ,
entanglement , probability amplitudes
- quantum evolution embedded in classical
evolution
- conditional correlations describe measurements
both in quantum theory and classical statistics



end